

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. Odis C. Meredith Jr.
The Hahn Equipment Company
1625 North Garvin Street
Evansville, Indiana 47711

Re: Interim Significant Source Modification Petition

I-163-11982-00007

Dear Mr. Meredith :

On May 12, 2000 the Office of Air Management (OAM) received an interim significant source modification petition from The Hahn Equipment Company (a subsidiary of Toro Corporation) located at 1625 North Garvin Street, Evansville, Indiana for construction of three (3) spray paint booths with dry filters.

Public notice of the interim significant source modification petition was published on May 10, 2000. The public comment period ended on May 27, 2000. Since there are no comments received during the public comment period, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(i), the interim significant source modification petition is in effect on May 31, 2000 and expires on the effective date of the final significant source modification permit. The interim significant source modification petition may be revoked after this effective date upon a written finding by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) that any of the reasons for denial in 326 IAC 2-13-1(h) exist or if the final significant source modification permit is denied. The IDEM has reviewed this interim significant source modification petition and has not found any such reason. The facilities specified in the interim significant source modification petition may not operate until the final significant source modification permit is issued by OAM.

The interim significant source modification petition is federally enforceable. Detailed construction and operation conditions will be specified in the final significant source modification permit 163-11982-00007.

If you have any questions regarding this interim significant source modification petition, please contact Mack E. Sims of my staff at 317-233-0867, or at 1-800-451-6027 (ask for extension 3-0867).

Sincerely,

Paul Dubenetzky, Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Management

sims

Enclosure: Interim Permit Evaluation (3 pages)

cc: File -- Vanderburgh County
Vanderburgh County Health Department
Air Compliance Section -- Scott Anslinger
Southwest Regional Office
Permit Tracking -- Janet Mobley

**Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Management**

**Interim Significant Permit Revision/ Significant Source Modification
Evaluation Sheet**

Company Name: The Hahn Equipment Company (a subsidiary of Toro Corporation)		
Location: Evansville, IN	Permit No: I-163-11982-00007	
Permit Reviewer: M. E. Sims	Date Receipt of Application: 05/12/00	Date of review: 05/20/00
Description of the interim construction: three (3) spray paint booths with dry filters		
Public Notice Date + 17 days = May 27, 2000		
Date the Application was received + 19 days = May 31, 2000		

Interim Petition Applicability: 326 IAC 2-13-1

- (a) Existing Source with valid permit;
- (b) Exemptions:
- (1) construction of a PSD source or PSD modification;
 - (2) construction or modification in nonattainment area that would emit those pollutants for which the nonattainment designation is based.
- (3) any modification subject to 326 IAC 2-4.1.
- (c) Public notice comment period is 14 calendar days.

Instructions: Check (T) appropriate answers and make a recommendation.

1. Did the applicant submit a written petition for an interim permit?
 Yes Go to question 2.
 No Ignore verbal request.
2. Did the applicant pay the \$500 interim permit fee?
 Yes Go to question 3.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(1).
3. Did the applicant state acceptance of federal enforceability of an interim permit?
 Yes Go to question 4.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(D).
4. Did the applicant or its authorized agent sign the application?
 Yes Go to question 5.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(E).
5. Did the applicant submit a notarized affidavit stating that the applicant will proceed at its own risk (if the interim permit is issued), including, but not limited to:
 - (a) Financial risk,
 - (b) Risk that additional emission controls may be required,
 - (c) Risk that the final permit may be denied. Yes Go to question 6.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(F).
6. Did the applicant begin construction prior to submitting the interim permit application?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(6).

17. Does the applicant dispute applicability of any applicable state or federal rule?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).
 No Go to question 18.
18. Is there good reason to believe that the applicant does not intend to construct in accordance with the interim permit petition?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(1).
 No Go to question 19.
19. Is there good reason to believe that information in the petition has been falsified?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(7).
 No Approve the interim permit petition.
20. Has the petition been adequately public noticed? A proof of publication copy is necessary.
 Yes Go to question 21.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(e).

Newspaper: The Evansville Courier (Evansville, IN)

Date of publication: May 10, 2000

21. Were comments received within seventeen (17) days after the public notice of the interim permit? (14 calendar days for comment period + 3 working days for mailing)
 Yes Evaluate the comments received, and make a recommendation.
 No Issue the final interim permit approval.

Comments: _____

Recommendation: approve interim significant source modification approval

Date the applicant was informed of the decision: May 26, 2000

Method of informing the applicant: phone