

August 12, 2002

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. Kyle Morton
Bremen Castings Inc.
P. O. Box 129
Bremen, Indiana 46506

Re: Interim Significant Source Modification Petition

I-099-15758-00001

Dear Morton:

On July 01, 2001, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) received an interim significant source modification petition from Bremen Castings Inc. located at 500 North Baltimore St., Bremen, Indiana for replacing two of the existing molding line designated as Hunter #1 and Hunter #2 with one new molding line identified as Hunter #1 with a maximum capacity of 240 molds per hour and 9.0 tons of gray or ductile iron castings per hour.

Public notice of the interim significant source modification petition was published on July 24, 2002. The public comment period ended on August 07, 2002. Since there are no comments received during the public comment period, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(i), the interim significant source modification petition is in effect on August 10, 2002 and expires on the effective date of the final significant source modification permit. The interim significant source modification petition may be revoked after this effective date upon a written finding by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) that any of the reasons for denial in 326 IAC 2-13-1(h) exist or if the final significant source modification permit is denied. The IDEM has reviewed this interim significant source modification petition and has not found any such reason. The facilities specified in the interim significant source modification petition may not operate until the final significant source modification permit is issued by OAQ.

The interim significant source modification petition is federally enforceable. Detailed construction and operation conditions will be specified in the final significant source modification permit 099-15758-00001.

If you have any questions regarding this interim significant source modification petition, please contact Ghassan Shalabi of my staff at 317-233-0431, or at 1-800-451-6027 (ask for extension 3-0431).

Sincerely,

Original signed by Paul Dubenetzky

Paul Dubenetzky, Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Management

GAS

Enclosure: Interim Permit Evaluation (3 pages)

cc: File -- Marshall County
Marshall County Health Department
Air Compliance Section -- Rick Reynolds
Permit Tracking -- Janet Mobley

**Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Management**

**Interim Significant Permit Revision/ Significant Source Modification
Evaluation Sheet**

Company Name: Bremen Castings, Inc.	
Location: 500 North Baltimore St., Bremen, IN 46506	Permit No: I-099-15758-00001
Permit Reviewer: Ghassan Shalabi Date of review: August 9, 2002	Date Receipt of Application: July 01, 2002
Description of the interim construction: Replacing two of the existing molding line designated as Hunter #1 and Hunter #2 with one new molding line identified as Hunter #1 with a maximum capacity of 240 molds per hour and 9.0 tons of gray or ductile iron castings per hour.	
Public Notice Date + 17 days = August 10, 2002	
Date the Application was received + 19 days = July 20, 2002	

Interim Petition Applicability: 326 IAC 2-13-1

- (a) Existing Source with valid permit;
- (b) Exemptions:
- (1) construction of a PSD source or PSD modification;
 - (2) construction or modification in nonattainment area that would emit those pollutants for which the nonattainment designation is based.
- (3) any modification subject to 326 IAC 2-4.1.
- (c) Public notice comment period is 14 calendar days.

Instructions: Check (T) appropriate answers and make a recommendation.

1. Did the applicant submit a written petition for an interim permit?
 Yes Go to question 2.
 No Ignore verbal request.
2. Did the applicant pay the \$500 interim permit fee?
 Yes Go to question 3.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(1).
3. Did the applicant state acceptance of federal enforceability of an interim permit?
 Yes Go to question 4.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(D).
4. Did the applicant or its authorized agent sign the application?
 Yes Go to question 5.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(E).
5. Did the applicant submit a notarized affidavit stating that the applicant will proceed at its own risk (if the interim permit is issued), including, but not limited to:
 - (a) Financial risk,
 - (b) Risk that additional emission controls may be required,
 - (c) Risk that the final permit may be denied. Yes Go to question 6.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(F).

6. Did the applicant begin construction prior to submitting the interim permit application?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(6).
 No Go to question 7.
7. What is the type of the interim construction?
 New Source Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(a)
 Modification to an existing source Go to question 8.
8. Did the applicant present data in the interim permit that is sufficient to determine PSD, NSPS, NESHAP, and state rule compliance?
 Yes Go to question 9.
 No Deny the application pursuant to:
326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(B), for PSD ;
326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C), for NSPS or NESHAP;
326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C), for state rules.
9. Is the proposed modification to be located in a nonattainment area?
 Yes Go to question 10.
 No Go to question 11. County: Marshal County
10. Will the proposed modification emit the pollutant for which the area is nonattainment in quantities greater than the significant levels?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(a)(2).
 No Go to question 11.
11. Did the petition include a complete description of the process?
 Yes Go to question 12.
 No Deny the petition, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2).
12. Did the interim permit petition contain conditions accepting either emission controls (baghouse, afterburners, scrubbers, etc.) or enforceable limits or other suitable restriction to avoid PSD applicability; as well as control parameters (incinerator operating temperature, baghouse pressure drop, etc.)? The specific limits must be explicitly spelled out (i.e.: The gas consumption of the boiler shall not exceed 29 million cubic feet per month.) A statement such as that the company agrees to conditions such that PSD rules are not applicable is not acceptable.
 Yes Go to question 13.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(B).
13. Do the emission controls and/or throughput limits prevent PSD applicability?
 Yes Go to question 14.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(B).
14. Will the modification, after application of all emission controls and/or throughput limitations comply with all applicable New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) (40 CFR 60)?
 Yes Go to question 15.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).
15. Will the modification, after application of all emission controls and/or throughput limitations comply with all applicable National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)?
 Yes Go to question 16.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).
16. Will the modification, after application of all emission controls and/or throughput limitations, comply with all applicable state rules?
 Yes Go to question 17.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).

17. Does the applicant dispute applicability of any applicable state or federal rule?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).
 No Go to question 18.
18. Is there good reason to believe that the applicant does not intend to construct in accordance with the interim permit petition?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(1).
 No Go to question 19.
19. Is there good reason to believe that information in the petition has been falsified?
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(7).
 No Approve the interim permit petition.
20. Has the petition been adequately public noticed? A proof of publication copy is necessary.
 Yes Go to question 21.
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(e).

Newspaper: Plymouth Pilot News _____

Date of publication: July 24, 2002 _____

21. Were comments received within seventeen (17) days after the public notice of the interim permit? (14 calendar days for comment period + 3 working days for mailing)
 Yes Evaluate the comments received, and make a recommendation.
 No Issue the final interim permit approval.

Comments: _____

Recommendation: **Approve Interim Petition** _____

Date the applicant was informed of the decision: _____

Method of informing the applicant: _____