



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr.
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-8603
Toll Free (800) 451-6027
www.idem.IN.gov

TO: Interested Parties / Applicant

DATE: June 8, 2009

RE: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc. / 005-26965-00043

FROM: Matthew Stuckey, Branch Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

Notice of Decision: Approval - Effective Immediately

Please be advised that on behalf of the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Management, I have issued a decision regarding the enclosed matter. Pursuant to IC 13-15-5-3, this permit is effective immediately, unless a petition for stay of effectiveness is filed and granted according to IC 13-15-6-3, and may be revoked or modified in accordance with the provisions of IC 13-15-7-1.

If you wish to challenge this decision, IC 4-21.5-3 and IC 13-15-6-1 require that you file a petition for administrative review. This petition may include a request for stay of effectiveness and must be submitted to the Office of Environmental Adjudication, 100 North Senate Avenue, Government Center North, Suite N 501E, Indianapolis, IN 46204, **within eighteen (18) calendar days of the mailing of this notice**. The filing of a petition for administrative review is complete on the earliest of the following dates that apply to the filing:

- (1) the date the document is delivered to the Office of Environmental Adjudication (OEA);
- (2) the date of the postmark on the envelope containing the document, if the document is mailed to OEA by U.S. mail; or
- (3) The date on which the document is deposited with a private carrier, as shown by receipt issued by the carrier, if the document is sent to the OEA by private carrier.

The petition must include facts demonstrating that you are either the applicant, a person aggrieved or adversely affected by the decision or otherwise entitled to review by law. Please identify the permit, decision, or other order for which you seek review by permit number, name of the applicant, location, date of this notice and all of the following:

- (1) the name and address of the person making the request;
- (2) the interest of the person making the request;
- (3) identification of any persons represented by the person making the request;
- (4) the reasons, with particularity, for the request;
- (5) the issues, with particularity, proposed for considerations at any hearing; and
- (6) identification of the terms and conditions which, in the judgment of the person making the request, would be appropriate in the case in question to satisfy the requirements of the law governing documents of the type issued by the Commissioner.

If you have technical questions regarding the enclosed documents, please contact the Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch at (317) 233-0178. Callers from within Indiana may call toll-free at 1-800-451-6027, ext. 3-0178.

Enclosures
FNPER.dot12/03/07



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr.
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-8603
Toll Free (800) 451-6027
www.idem.IN.gov

Scott Larrison
Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
6875 South Inwood Drive
Columbus, IN, 47201

June 8, 2009

Re: 005-26965-00043
First Significant Revision to
F005-14161-00043

Dear Mr. Larrison:

Nikkei M C Aluminum, Inc was issued a Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit (FESOP) Renewal No. F005 -14161-00043 on August 13, 2008 for a stationary secondary aluminum production located at 6875 South Inwood Drive Columbus, IN. On September 2, 2008, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) received an application from the source requesting the following changes to their permit based on the resolution of the appeal. The attached Technical Support Document (TSD) provides additional explanation of the changes to the permit. Pursuant to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-8-11.1, these changes to the permit are required to be reviewed in accordance with the Significant Permit Revision (SPR) procedures of 326 IAC 2-8-11.1(f). Pursuant to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-8-11.1, a significant permit revision to this permit is hereby approved as described in the attached Technical Support Document (TSD).

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-8-11.1, this permit shall be revised by incorporating the significant permit revision into the permit. All other conditions of the permit shall remain unchanged and in effect. Attached please find the entire revised permit.

This decision is subject to the Indiana Administrative Orders and Procedures Act - IC 4-21.5-3-5. If you have any questions on this matter, please contact Josiah Balogun, of my staff, at 317-234-5257 or 1-800-451-6027, and ask for extension 4-5257.

Sincerely,

Tripurari P. Sinha, Ph. D., Section Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

Attachments: Technical Support Document and revised permit

JB

cc: File - Bartholomew County
Bartholomew County Health Department
U.S. EPA, Region V
Air Compliance Section
Compliance Data Section
Office of Legal Counsel - Justin Barrett



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr.
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-8603
Toll Free (800) 451-6027
www.idem.IN.gov

Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
6875 South Inwood Drive
Columbus, Indiana 47201

(herein known as the Permittee) is hereby authorized to operate subject to the conditions contained herein, the source described in Section A (Source Summary) of this permit.

The Permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Noncompliance with any provisions of this permit is grounds for enforcement action; permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application. It shall not be a defense for the Permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit. An emergency does constitute an affirmative defense in an enforcement action provided the Permittee complies with the applicable requirements set forth in Section B, Emergency Provisions.

This permit is issued in accordance with 326 IAC 2 and 40 CFR Part 70 Appendix A and contains the conditions and provisions specified in 326 IAC 2-8 as required by 42 U.S.C. 7401, et. seq. (Clean Air Act as amended by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments), 40 CFR Part 70.6, IC 13-15 and IC 13-17. Indiana statutes from IC 13 and rules from 326 IAC, quoted in conditions in this permit, are those applicable at the time the permit was issued. The issuance or possession of this permit shall not alone constitute a defense against an alleged violation of any law, regulation or standard, except for the requirement to obtain a FESOP under 326 IAC 2-8.

Operation Permit No.: F005-14161-00043	
Issued by: Tripurari P. Sinha, Ph.D., Section Chief Permits Branch Office of Air Quality	Issuance Date: August 13, 2008 Expiration Date: August 13, 2018

Significant Permit Revision No.: F005-26965-00043	
Issued by:  Tripurari P. Sinha, Ph.D., Section Chief Permits Branch Office of Air Quality	Issuance Date: June 8, 2009 Expiration Date: August 13, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. SOURCE SUMMARY

- A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-8-3(b)]
- A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary [326 IAC 2-8-3(c)(3)]
- A.3 Insignificant Activities [326 IAC 2-7-1(21)][326 IAC 2-8-3(c)(3)(I)]
- A.4 FESOP Applicability [326 IAC 2-8-2]

B. GENERAL CONDITIONS

- B.1 Definitions [326 IAC 2-8-1]
- B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-8-4(2)][326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][IC 13-15-3-6(a)]
- B.3 Term of Conditions [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]
- B.4 Enforceability [326 IAC 2-8-6]
- B.5 Severability [326 IAC 2-8-4(4)]
- B.6 Property Rights or Exclusive Privilege [326 IAC 2-8-4(5)(D)]
- B.7 Duty to Provide Information [326 IAC 2-8-4(5)(E)]
- B.8 Certification [326 IAC 2-8-3(d)][326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)(i)][326 IAC 2-8-5(1)]
- B.9 Annual Compliance Certification [326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]
- B.10 Compliance Order Issuance [326 IAC 2-8-5(b)]
- B.11 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3][326 IAC 2-8-4(9)]
[326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]
- B.12 Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-8-12]
- B.13 Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]
- B.14 Termination of Right to Operate [326 IAC 2-8-9][326 IAC 2-8-3(h)]
- B.15 Permit Modification, Reopening, Revocation and Reissuance, or Termination
[326 IAC 2-8-4(5)(C)][326 IAC 2-8-7(a)][326 IAC 2-8-8]
- B.16 Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-8-3(h)]
- B.17 Permit Amendment or Revision [326 IAC 2-8-10][326 IAC 2-8-11.1]
- B.18 Operational Flexibility [326 IAC 2-8-15][326 IAC 2-8-11.1]
- B.19 Source Modification Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-11.1]
- B.20 Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(2)][IC 13-14-2-2][IC 13-17-3-2]
[IC 13-30-3-1]
- B.21 Transfer of Ownership or Operational Control [326 IAC 2-8-10]
- B.22 Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-7-19] [326 IAC 2-8-4(6)] [326 IAC 2-8-16]
[326 IAC 2-1.1-7]
- B.23 Credible Evidence [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)][326 IAC 2-8-5][62 FR 8314] [326 IAC 1-1-6]

C. SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

- C.1 Particulate Emission Limitations For Processes with Process Weight Rates
Less Than One Hundred (100) Pounds per Hour [326 IAC 6-3-2]
- C.2 Overall Source Limit [326 IAC 2-8]
- C.3 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]
- C.4 Open Burning [326 IAC 4-1] [IC 13-17-9]
- C.5 Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]
- C.6 Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]
- C.7 Stack Height [326 IAC 1-7]
- C.8 Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]

Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- C.9 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- C.10 Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- C.11 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]
- C.12 Monitoring Methods [326 IAC 3] [40 CFR 60] [40 CFR 63]
- C.13 Instrument Specifications [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]
[326 IAC 2-8-5(1)]

Corrective Actions and Response Steps [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- C.14 Risk Management Plan [326 IAC 2-8-4] [40 CFR 68]
- C.15 Response to Excursions or Exceedances [326 IAC 2-8-4] [326 IAC 2-8-5]
- C.16 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-8-4]
[326 IAC 2-8-5]

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- C.17 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)] [326 IAC 2-8-5]
- C.18 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Stratospheric Ozone Protection

- C.19 Compliance with 40 CFR 82 and 326 IAC 22-1

D.1. EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

- D.1.1 PSD and Part 70 Minor Limits [326 IAC 2-2][326 IAC 2-8-4]
- D.1.2 PSD and FESOP Minor Limits [326 IAC 2-2][326 IAC 2-8-4]
- D.1.3 Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Compliance Determination Requirements

- D.1.4 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-8-4(9)]
- D.1.5 Particulate Matter (PM)
- D.1.6 Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- D.1.7 Broken or Failed Bag detection

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- D.1.8 Record Keeping Requirement
- D.1.9 Reporting Requirements

D.2. EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

- D.2.1 FESOP Minor Limits [326 IAC 2-8-4]
- D.2.2 Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) [326 IAC 8-1-6]

Compliance Determination Requirements

- D.2.3 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-8-4(9)]
- D.2.4 Afterburners
- D.2.5 Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- D.2.6 Parametric Monitoring

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- D.2.7 Record Keeping Requirement
- D.2.8 Reporting Requirements

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

E.1. EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

E.1.1 General Provisions Relating to NESHAP Subpart RRR (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Secondary Aluminum Production) [326 IAC 20-1-1] [40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A]

E.1.2 NESHAP Subpart RRR Requirements [40 CFR, Subpart RRR]

Certification Form

Emergency Occurrence Form

FESOP Usage Report Form (Throughput Limit)

Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report Form

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

SECTION A

SOURCE SUMMARY

This permit is based on information requested by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ). The information describing the source contained in conditions A.1 through A.3 is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions. However, the Permittee should be aware that a physical change or a change in the method of operation that may render this descriptive information obsolete or inaccurate may trigger requirements for the Permittee to obtain additional permits or seek modification of this permit pursuant to 326 IAC 2, or change other applicable requirements presented in the permit application.

A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-8-3(b)]

The Permittee owns and operates a stationary secondary aluminum production.

Source Address:	6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address:	6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
General Source Phone Number:	812-342-1141
SIC Code:	3341
County Location:	Bartholomew
Source Location Status:	Attainment for all criteria pollutants
Source Status:	Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit Program Minor Source, under PSD Rules Minor Source, Section 112 of the Clean Air Act 1 of 28 Source Categories

A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary [326 IAC 2-8-3(c)(3)]

This stationary source consists of the following emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) One (1) 30.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (H), identified as EU-01, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 8.6 million British thermal unit per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #3, exhausting through Stacks S6 and S8, constructed in 1998, with a nominal capacity of 6,150 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (b) One (1) 40.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (E), identified as EU-02, equipped with four (4) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 5.50 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse, known as DC #4, exhausting through Stack S7, constructed 1994, with a nominal capacity of 8050 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (c) One (1) 20.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (M), identified as EU-03, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of at 8.0 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #1, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a nominal capacity of 4,260 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (d) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#1), with a maximum capacity of at 1.59 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-04, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 8.50 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a nominal capacity of 4,400 pounds of aluminum chips per hour;
- (e) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#2), with a maximum capacity of at 3.26 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-05, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 11.2 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S5, constructed in 1994, with a nominal capacity of 8,419 pounds of aluminum chips per hour; and

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (f) Three (3) pouring/casting areas, identified as EU-08, exhausting through general ventilation, constructed in 1990, 1994 and 1998, with a nominal capacity of 44,000 pounds of aluminum per hour; and

A.3 Insignificant Activities [326 IAC 2-7-1(21)][326 IAC 2-8-3(c)(3)(I)]

This stationary source also includes the following insignificant activities:

- (a) The dross processing has a throughput of 0.382 tons per hour.

A.4 FESOP Applicability [326 IAC 2-8-2]

This stationary source, otherwise required to have a Part 70 permit as described in 326 IAC 2-7-2(a), has applied to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ) to renew a Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit (FESOP).

SECTION B GENERAL CONDITIONS

B.1 Definitions [326 IAC 2-8-1]

Terms in this permit shall have the definition assigned to such terms in the referenced regulation. In the absence of definitions in the referenced regulation, the applicable definitions found in the statutes or regulations (IC 13-11, 326 IAC 1-2 and 326 IAC 2-7) shall prevail.

B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-8-4(2)][326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][IC 13-15-3-6(a)]

- (a) This permit, F005-14161-00043, is issued for a fixed term of ten (10) years from the issuance date of this permit. Subsequent revisions, modifications, or amendments of this permit do not affect the expiration date of this permit.
- (b) If IDEM, OAQ, upon receiving a timely and complete renewal permit application, fails to issue or deny the permit renewal prior to the expiration date of this permit, this existing permit shall not expire and all terms and conditions shall continue in effect, until the renewal permit has been issued or denied.

B.3 Term of Conditions [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

Notwithstanding the permit term of a permit to construct, a permit to operate, or a permit modification, any condition established in a permit issued pursuant to a permitting program approved in the state implementation plan shall remain in effect until:

- (a) the condition is modified in a subsequent permit action pursuant to Title I of the Clean Air Act; or
- (b) the emission unit to which the condition pertains permanently ceases operation.

B.4 Enforceability [326 IAC 2-8-6]

Unless otherwise stated, all terms and conditions in this permit, including any provisions designed to limit the source's potential to emit, are enforceable by IDEM, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and by citizens in accordance with the Clean Air Act.

B.5 Severability [326 IAC 2-8-4(4)]

The provisions of this permit are severable; a determination that any portion of this permit is invalid shall not affect the validity of the remainder of the permit.

B.6 Property Rights or Exclusive Privilege [326 IAC 2-8-4(5)(D)]

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege.

B.7 Duty to Provide Information [326 IAC 2-8-4(5)(E)]

- (a) The Permittee shall furnish to IDEM, OAQ, within a reasonable time, any information that IDEM, OAQ may request in writing to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The submittal by the Permittee does require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1). Upon request, the Permittee shall also furnish to IDEM, OAQ copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
- (b) For information furnished by the Permittee to IDEM, OAQ, the Permittee may include a claim of confidentiality in accordance with 326 IAC 17.1. When furnishing copies of requested records directly to U. S. EPA, the Permittee may assert a claim of confidentiality in accordance with 40 CFR 2, Subpart B.

B.8 Certification [326 IAC 2-8-3(d)][326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)(i)][326 IAC 2-8-5(1)]

- (a) Where specifically designated by this permit, any application form, report, or compliance certification submitted shall contain certification by the "authorized individual" of truth,

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

accuracy, and completeness. This certification shall state that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.

- (b) One (1) certification shall be included, using the attached Certification Form, or another form meeting the requirements of 326 IAC 2-8-3(d) with each submittal requiring certification. One (1) certification may cover multiple forms in one (1) submittal.
- (c) The "authorized individual" is defined at 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

B.9 Annual Compliance Certification [326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- (a) The Permittee shall annually submit a compliance certification report which addresses the status of the source's compliance with the terms and conditions contained in this permit, including emission limitations, standards, or work practices. All certifications shall cover the time period from January 1 to December 31 of the previous year, and shall be submitted no later than July 1 of each year to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

- (b) The annual compliance certification report required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.
- (c) The annual compliance certification report shall include the following:
 - (1) The appropriate identification of each term or condition of this permit that is the basis of the certification;
 - (2) The compliance status;
 - (3) Whether compliance was continuous or intermittent;
 - (4) The methods used for determining the compliance status of the source, currently and over the reporting period consistent with 326 IAC 2-8-4(3); and
 - (5) Such other facts, as specified in Sections D of this permit, as IDEM, OAQ may require to determine the compliance status of the source.

The submittal by the Permittee does require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

B.10 Compliance Order Issuance [326 IAC 2-8-5(b)]

IDEM, OAQ may issue a compliance order to this Permittee upon discovery that this permit is in nonconformance with an applicable requirement. The order may require immediate compliance or contain a schedule for expeditious compliance with the applicable requirement.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

B.11 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3][326 IAC 2-8-4(9)][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- (a) If required by specific condition(s) in Section D of this permit, the Permittee shall maintain and implement Preventive Maintenance Plans (PMPs) for the source as described in 326 IAC 1-6-3. At a minimum a PMP that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 1-6-3 includes:
- (1) Identification of the individual(s) responsible for inspecting, maintaining, and repairing emission control devices;
 - (2) A description of the items or conditions that will be inspected and the inspection schedule for said items or conditions; and
 - (3) Identification and quantification of the replacement parts that will be maintained in inventory for quick replacement.
- (b) A copy of the PMPs shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request and within a reasonable time, and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. If an exceedance of an emission limit has occurred and a deficient PMP was the cause or the primary contributor of the exceedance, then IDEM, OAQ may require the Permittee to revise its PMPs.
- (c) To the extent the Permittee is required by 40 CFR Part 60/63 to have an Operation Maintenance, and Monitoring (OMM) Plan for a unit, such Plan is deemed to satisfy the PMP requirements of 326 IAC 1-6-3 for that unit.

B.12 Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-8-12]

- (a) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), is not an affirmative defense for an action brought for noncompliance with a federal or state health-based emission limitation except as provided in 326 IAC 2-8-12 or this condition.
- (b) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with a health-based or technology-based emission limitation if the affirmative defense of an emergency is demonstrated through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that describe the following:
- (1) An emergency occurred and the Permittee can, to the extent possible, identify the causes of the emergency;
 - (2) The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
 - (3) During the period of an emergency, the Permittee took all reasonable steps to minimize levels of emissions that exceeded the emission standards or other requirements in this permit;
 - (4) For each emergency lasting one (1) hour or more, the Permittee notified IDEM, OAQ, within four (4) daytime business hours after the beginning of the emergency, or after the emergency was discovered or reasonably should have been discovered;

Telephone Number: 1-800-451-6027 (ask for Office of Air Quality,
Compliance Section), or
Telephone Number: 317-233-0178 (ask for Compliance Section)
Facsimile Number: 317-233-6865

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (5) For each emergency lasting one (1) hour or more, the Permittee submitted the attached Emergency Occurrence Report Form or its equivalent, either by mail or facsimile to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

within two (2) working days of the time when emission limitations were exceeded due to the emergency.

The notice fulfills the requirement of 326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)(ii) and must contain the following:

- (A) A description of the emergency;
(B) Any steps taken to mitigate the emissions; and
(C) Corrective actions taken.

The notification which shall be submitted by the Permittee does not require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

- (6) The Permittee immediately took all reasonable steps to correct the emergency.
- (c) In any enforcement proceeding, the Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an emergency has the burden of proof.
- (d) This emergency provision supersedes 326 IAC 1-6 (Malfunctions). This permit condition is in addition to any emergency or upset provision contained in any applicable requirement.
- (e) The Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an emergency shall make records available upon request to ensure that failure to implement a PMP did not cause or contribute to an exceedance of any limitations on emissions. However, IDEM, OAQ may require that the Preventive Maintenance Plans required under 326 IAC 2-8-3(c)(6) be revised in response to an emergency.
- (f) Failure to notify IDEM, OAQ by telephone or facsimile of an emergency lasting more than one (1) hour in accordance with (b)(4) and (5) of this condition shall constitute a violation of 326 IAC 2-8 and any other applicable rules.
- (g) Operations may continue during an emergency only if the following conditions are met:
- (1) If the emergency situation causes a deviation from a technology-based limit, the Permittee may continue to operate the affected emitting facilities during the emergency provided the Permittee immediately takes all reasonable steps to correct the emergency and minimize emissions.
- (2) If an emergency situation causes a deviation from a health-based limit, the Permittee may not continue to operate the affected emissions facilities unless:
- (A) The Permittee immediately takes all reasonable steps to correct the emergency situation and to minimize emissions; and

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (B) Continued operation of the facilities is necessary to prevent imminent injury to persons, severe damage to equipment, substantial loss of capital investment, or loss of product or raw material of substantial economic value.

Any operations shall continue no longer than the minimum time required to prevent the situations identified in (g)(2)(B) of this condition.

- (h) The Permittee shall include all emergencies in the Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report. Any emergencies that have been previously reported pursuant to paragraph (b)(5) of this condition and certified by an "authorized individual" need only be referenced by the date of the original report.

B.13 Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

- (a) All terms and conditions of permits established prior to F005-14161-00043 and issued pursuant to permitting programs approved into the state implementation plan have been either:
 - (1) incorporated as originally stated,
 - (2) revised, or
 - (3) deleted.
- (b) All previous registrations and permits are superseded by this permit.

B.14 Termination of Right to Operate [326 IAC 2-8-9][326 IAC 2-8-3(h)]

The Permittee's right to operate this source terminates with the expiration of this permit unless a timely and complete renewal application is submitted at least nine (9) months prior to the date of expiration of the source's existing permit, consistent with 326 IAC 2-8-3(h) and 326 IAC 2-8-9.

B.15 Permit Modification, Reopening, Revocation and Reissuance, or Termination [326 IAC 2-8-4(5)(C)][326 IAC 2-8-7(a)][326 IAC 2-8-8]

- (a) This permit may be modified, reopened, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Permittee for a Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or of a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any condition of this permit. [326 IAC 2-8-4(5)(C)] The notification by the Permittee does require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).
- (b) This permit shall be reopened and revised under any of the circumstances listed in IC 13-15-7-2 or if IDEM, OAQ determines any of the following:
 - (1) That this permit contains a material mistake.
 - (2) That inaccurate statements were made in establishing the emissions standards or other terms or conditions.
 - (3) That this permit must be revised or revoked to assure compliance with an applicable requirement. [326 IAC 2-8-8(a)]
- (c) Proceedings by IDEM, OAQ to reopen and revise this permit shall follow the same procedures as apply to initial permit issuance and shall affect only those parts of this permit for which cause to reopen exists. Such reopening and revision shall be made as expeditiously as practicable. [326 IAC 2-8-8(b)]

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (d) The reopening and revision of this permit, under 326 IAC 2-8-8(a), shall not be initiated before notice of such intent is provided to the Permittee by IDEM, OAQ at least thirty (30) days in advance of the date this permit is to be reopened, except that IDEM, OAQ may provide a shorter time period in the case of an emergency. [326 IAC 2-8-8(c)]

B.16 Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-8-3(h)]

- (a) The application for renewal shall be submitted using the application form or forms prescribed by IDEM, OAQ and shall include the information specified in 326 IAC 2-8-3. Such information shall be included in the application for each emission unit at this source, except those emission units included on the trivial or insignificant activities list contained in 326 IAC 2-7-1(21) and 326 IAC 2-7-1(40). The renewal application does require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Request for renewal shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permits Administration and Support Section (PASS), Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

- (b) A timely renewal application is one that is:
 - (1) Submitted at least nine (9) months prior to the date of the expiration of this permit; and
 - (2) If the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.
- (c) If the Permittee submits a timely and complete application for renewal of this permit, the source's failure to have a permit is not a violation of 326 IAC 2-8 until IDEM, OAQ takes final action on the renewal application, except that this protection shall cease to apply if, subsequent to the completeness determination and as required by 326 IAC 2-8-3, the Permittee fails to submit by the deadline specified in writing by IDEM, OAQ any additional information identified as being needed to process the application.

B.17 Permit Amendment or Revision [326 IAC 2-8-10][326 IAC 2-8-11.1]

- (a) Permit amendments and revisions are governed by the requirements of 326 IAC 2-8-10 or 326 IAC 2-8-11.1 whenever the Permittee seeks to amend or modify this permit.
- (b) Any application requesting an amendment or modification of this permit shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permits Administration and Support Section (PASS), Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

Any such application shall be certified by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (c) The Permittee may implement administrative amendment changes addressed in the request for an administrative amendment immediately upon submittal of the request. [326 IAC 2-8-10(b)(3)]

B.18 Operational Flexibility [326 IAC 2-8-15][326 IAC 2-8-11.1]

- (a) The Permittee may make any change or changes at the source that are described in 326 IAC 2-8-15(b) through (d) without a prior permit revision, if each of the following conditions is met:
 - (1) The changes are not modifications under any provision of Title I of the Clean Air Act;
 - (2) Any approval required by 326 IAC 2-8-11.1 has been obtained;
 - (3) The changes do not result in emissions which exceed the limitations provided in this permit (whether expressed herein as a rate of emissions or in terms of total emissions);
 - (4) The Permittee notifies the:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permits Administration and Support Section (PASS), Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

and

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V
Air and Radiation Division, Regulation Development Branch - Indiana (AR-18J)
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

in advance of the change by written notification at least ten (10) days in advance of the proposed change. The Permittee shall attach every such notice to the Permittee's copy of this permit; and
 - (5) The Permittee maintains records on-site, on a rolling five (5) year basis, which document all such changes and emission trades that are subject to 326 IAC 2-8-15(b) through (d). The Permittee shall make such records available, upon reasonable request, for public review.

Such records shall consist of all information required to be submitted to IDEM, OAQ in the notices specified in 326 IAC 2-8-15(b)(2), (c)(1), and (d).
- (b) Emission Trades [326 IAC 2-8-15(c)]
The Permittee may trade emissions increases and decreases at the source, where the applicable SIP provides for such emission trades without requiring a permit revision, subject to the constraints of Section (a) of this condition and those in 326 IAC 2-8-15(c).
- (c) Alternative Operating Scenarios [326 IAC 2-8-15(d)]
The Permittee may make changes at the source within the range of alternative operating scenarios that are described in the terms and conditions of this permit in accordance with 326 IAC 2-8-4(7). No prior notification of IDEM, OAQ, or U.S. EPA is required.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (d) Backup fuel switches specifically addressed in, and limited under, Section D of this permit shall not be considered alternative operating scenarios. Therefore, the notification requirements of part (a) of this condition do not apply.

B.19 Source Modification Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-11.1]

A modification, construction, or reconstruction is governed by the requirements of 326 IAC 2 and 326 IAC 2-8-11.1.

B.20 Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(2)][IC 13-14-2-2][IC 13-17-3-2][IC 13-30-3-1]

Upon presentation of proper identification cards, credentials, and other documents as may be required by law, and subject to the Permittee's right under all applicable laws and regulations to assert that the information collected by the agency is confidential and entitled to be treated as such, the Permittee shall allow IDEM, OAQ, U.S. EPA, or an authorized representative to perform the following:

- (a) Enter upon the Permittee's premises where a FESOP source is located, or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (b) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (c) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, inspect, at reasonable times, any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit;
- (d) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, sample or monitor, at reasonable times, substances or parameters for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements; and
- (e) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, utilize any photographic, recording, testing, monitoring, or other equipment for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements.

B.21 Transfer of Ownership or Operational Control [326 IAC 2-8-10]

- (a) The Permittee must comply with the requirements of 326 IAC 2-8-10 whenever the Permittee seeks to change the ownership or operational control of the source and no other change in the permit is necessary.
- (b) Any application requesting a change in the ownership or operational control of the source shall contain a written agreement containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage and liability between the current and new Permittee. The application shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permits Administration and Support Section (PASS), Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

The application which shall be submitted by the Permittee does require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (c) The Permittee may implement administrative amendment changes addressed in the request for an administrative amendment immediately upon submittal of the request. [326 IAC 2-8-10(b)(3)]

B.22 Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-7-19] [326 IAC 2-8-4(6)] [326 IAC 2-8-16][326 IAC 2-1.1-7]

- (a) The Permittee shall pay annual fees to IDEM, OAQ within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of a billing. Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-19(b), if the Permittee does not receive a bill from IDEM, OAQ the applicable fee is due April 1 of each year.
- (b) Failure to pay may result in administrative enforcement action or revocation of this permit.
- (c) The Permittee may call the following telephone numbers: 1-800-451-6027 or 317-233-4230 (ask for OAQ, Billing, Licensing, and Training Section), to determine the appropriate permit fee.

B.23 Credible Evidence [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)][326 IAC 2-8-5][62 FR 8314] [326 IAC 1-1-6]

For the purpose of submitting compliance certifications or establishing whether or not the Permittee has violated or is in violation of any condition of this permit, nothing in this permit shall preclude the use, including the exclusive use, of any credible evidence or information relevant to whether the Permittee would have been in compliance with the condition of this permit if the appropriate performance or compliance test or procedure had been performed.

SECTION C SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS

Entire Source

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

C.1 Particulate Emission Limitations For Processes with Process Weight Rates Less Than One Hundred (100) Pounds per Hour [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2(e)(2), particulate emissions from any process not exempt under 326 IAC 6-3-1(b) or (c) which has a maximum process weight rate less than 100 pounds per hour and the methods in 326 IAC 6-3-2(b) through (d) do not apply shall not exceed 0.551 pounds per hour.

C.2 Overall Source Limit [326 IAC 2-8]

The purpose of this permit is to limit this source's potential to emit to less than major source levels for the purpose of Section 502(a) of the Clean Air Act.

(a) Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-8:

- (1) The potential to emit any regulated pollutant, except particulate matter (PM), from the entire source shall be limited to less than one hundred (100) tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period.
- (2) The potential to emit any individual hazardous air pollutant (HAP) from the entire source shall be limited to less than ten (10) tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period; and
- (3) The potential to emit any combination of HAPs from the entire source shall be limited to less than twenty-five (25) tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period.

(b) The potential to emit particulate matter (PM) from the entire source shall be limited to less than one hundred (100) tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period. This limitation shall make the requirements of 326 IAC 2-2 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) not applicable.

(c) This condition shall include all emission points at this source including those that are insignificant as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(21). The source shall be allowed to add insignificant activities not already listed in this permit, provided that the source's potential to emit does not exceed the above specified limits.

(d) Section D of this permit contains independently enforceable provisions to satisfy this requirement.

C.3 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 5-1-2 (Opacity Limitations), except as provided in 326 IAC 5-1-1 (Applicability) and 326 IAC 5-1-3 (Temporary Alternative Opacity Limitations), opacity not including condensed water vapor shall meet the following, unless otherwise stated in this permit:

- (a) Opacity shall not exceed an average of forty percent (40%) in any one (1) six (6) minute averaging period as determined in 326 IAC 5-1-4.
- (b) Opacity shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) for more than a cumulative total of fifteen (15) minutes (sixty (60) readings as measured according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A,

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

Method 9 or fifteen (15) one (1) minute nonoverlapping integrated averages for a continuous opacity monitor) in a six (6) hour period.

C.4 Open Burning [326 IAC 4-1] [IC 13-17-9]

The Permittee shall not open burn any material except as provided in 326 IAC 4-1-3, 326 IAC 4-1-4 or 326 IAC 4-1-6. The previous sentence notwithstanding, the Permittee may open burn in accordance with an open burning approval issued by the Commissioner under 326 IAC 4-1-4.1.

C.5 Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]

The Permittee shall not operate an incinerator or incinerate any waste or refuse except as provided in 326 IAC 4-2 and 326 IAC 9-1-2.

C.6 Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]

The Permittee shall not allow fugitive dust to escape beyond the property line or boundaries of the property, right-of-way, or easement on which the source is located, in a manner that would violate 326 IAC 6-4 (Fugitive Dust Emissions).

C.7 Stack Height [326 IAC 1-7]

The Permittee shall comply with the applicable provisions of 326 IAC 1-7 (Stack Height Provisions), for all exhaust stacks through which a potential (before controls) of twenty-five (25) tons per year or more of particulate matter or sulfur dioxide is emitted by using ambient air quality modeling pursuant to 326 IAC 1-7-4.

C.8 Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]

- (a) Notification requirements apply to each owner or operator. If the combined amount of regulated asbestos containing material (RACM) to be stripped, removed or disturbed is at least 260 linear feet on pipes or 160 square feet on other facility components, or at least thirty-five (35) cubic feet on all facility components, then the notification requirements of 326 IAC 14-10-3 are mandatory. All demolition projects require notification whether or not asbestos is present.
- (b) The Permittee shall ensure that a written notification is sent on a form provided by the Commissioner at least ten (10) working days before asbestos stripping or removal work or before demolition begins, per 326 IAC 14-10-3, and shall update such notice as necessary, including, but not limited to the following:
- (1) When the amount of affected asbestos containing material increases or decreases by at least twenty percent (20%); or
 - (2) If there is a change in the following:
 - (A) Asbestos removal or demolition start date;
 - (B) Removal or demolition contractor; or
 - (C) Waste disposal site.
- (c) The Permittee shall ensure that the notice is postmarked or delivered according to the guidelines set forth in 326 IAC 14-10-3(2).
- (d) The notice to be submitted shall include the information enumerated in 326 IAC 14-10-3(3).

All required notifications shall be submitted to:

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Asbestos Section, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-52 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

The notice shall include a signed certification from the owner or operator that the information provided in this notification is correct and that only Indiana licensed workers and project supervisors will be used to implement the asbestos removal project. The notifications do not require a certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

- (e) **Procedures for Asbestos Emission Control**
The Permittee shall comply with the applicable emission control procedures in 326 IAC 14-10-4 and 40 CFR 61.145(c). Per 326 IAC 14-10-1, emission control requirements are applicable for any removal or disturbance of RACM greater than three (3) linear feet on pipes or three (3) square feet on any other facility components or a total of at least 0.75 cubic feet on all facility components.
- (f) **Demolition and Renovation**
The Permittee shall thoroughly inspect the affected facility or part of the facility where the demolition or renovation will occur for the presence of asbestos pursuant to 40 CFR 61.145(a).
- (g) **Indiana Accredited Asbestos Inspector**
The Permittee shall comply with 326 IAC 14-10-1(a) that requires the owner or operator, prior to a renovation/demolition, to use an Indiana Accredited Asbestos Inspector to thoroughly inspect the affected portion of the facility for the presence of asbestos.

Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

C.9 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

- (a) All testing shall be performed according to the provisions of 326 IAC 3-6 (Source Sampling Procedures), except as provided elsewhere in this permit, utilizing any applicable procedures and analysis methods specified in 40 CFR 51, 40 CFR 60, 40 CFR 61, 40 CFR 63, 40 CFR 75, or other procedures approved by IDEM, OAQ.

A test protocol, except as provided elsewhere in this permit, shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance Data Section, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

no later than thirty-five (35) days prior to the intended test date. The protocol submitted by the Permittee does not require certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

- (b) The Permittee shall notify IDEM, OAQ of the actual test date at least fourteen (14) days prior to the actual test date. The notification submitted by the Permittee does not require certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).
- (c) Pursuant to 326 IAC 3-6-4(b), all test reports must be received by IDEM, OAQ not later than forty-five (45) days after the completion of the testing. An extension may be granted

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

by IDEM, OAQ if the Permittee submits to IDEM, OAQ, a reasonable written explanation not later than five (5) days prior to the end of the initial forty-five (45) day period.

Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

C.10 Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

The commissioner may require stack testing, monitoring, or reporting at any time to assure compliance with all applicable requirements by issuing an order under 326 IAC 2-1.1-11. Any monitoring or testing shall be performed in accordance with 326 IAC 3 or other methods approved by the commissioner or the U. S. EPA.

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

C.11 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

Unless otherwise specified in this permit, all monitoring and record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented not later than ninety (90) days after permit issuance. The Permittee shall be responsible for installing any equipment required by this permit and initiating any required monitoring related to that equipment. If due to circumstances beyond its control, that equipment cannot be installed and operated within ninety (90) days, the Permittee may extend the compliance schedule related to the equipment for an additional ninety (90) days provided the Permittee notifies:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

in writing, prior to the end of the initial ninety (90) day compliance schedule, with full justification of the reasons for the inability to meet this date.

The notification which shall be submitted by the Permittee does require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Unless otherwise specified in the approval for the new emission unit(s), compliance monitoring for new emission units or emission units added through a permit revision shall be implemented when operation begins.

C.12 Monitoring Methods [326 IAC 3] [40 CFR 60] [40 CFR 63]

Any monitoring or testing required by Section D of this permit shall be performed according to the provisions of 326 IAC 3, 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, 40 CFR 60, Appendix B, 40 CFR 63, or other approved methods as specified in this permit.

C.13 Instrument Specifications [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)][326 IAC 2-8-5(1)]

(a) When required by any condition of this permit, an analog instrument used to measure a parameter related to the operation of an air pollution control device shall have a scale such that the expected maximum reading for the normal range shall be no less than twenty percent (20%) of full scale.

(b) The Permittee may request that the IDEM, OAQ approve the use of an instrument that does not meet the above specifications provided the Permittee can demonstrate that an alternative instrument specification will adequately ensure compliance with permit conditions requiring the measurement of the parameters.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

Corrective Actions and Response Steps [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

C.14 Risk Management Plan [326 IAC 2-8-4] [40 CFR 68]

If a regulated substance, as defined in 40 CFR 68, is present at a source in more than a threshold quantity, the Permittee must comply with the applicable requirements of 40 CFR 68.

C.15 Response to Excursions or Exceedances [326 IAC 2-8-4] [326 IAC 2-8-5]

- (a) Upon detecting an excursion or exceedance, the Permittee shall restore operation of the emissions unit (including any control device and associated capture system) to its normal or usual manner of operation as expeditiously as practicable in accordance with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions.
- (b) The response shall include minimizing the period of any startup, shutdown or malfunction and taking any necessary corrective actions to restore normal operation and prevent the likely recurrence of the cause of an excursion or exceedance (other than those caused by excused startup or shutdown conditions). Corrective actions may include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (1) initial inspection and evaluation;
 - (2) recording that operations returned to normal without operator action (such as through response by a computerized distribution control system); or
 - (3) any necessary follow-up actions to return operation to within the indicator range, designated condition, or below the applicable emission limitation or standard, as applicable.
- (c) A determination of whether the Permittee has used acceptable procedures in response to an excursion or exceedance will be based on information available, which may include, but is not limited to, the following:
 - (1) monitoring results;
 - (2) review of operation and maintenance procedures and records; and/or
 - (3) inspection of the control device, associated capture system, and the process.
- (d) Failure to take reasonable response steps shall be considered a deviation from the permit.
- (e) The Permittee shall maintain the following records:
 - (1) monitoring data;
 - (2) monitor performance data, if applicable; and
 - (3) corrective actions taken.

C.16 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5]

- (a) When the results of a stack test performed in conformance with Section C - Performance Testing, of this permit exceed the level specified in any condition of this permit, the Permittee shall take appropriate response actions. The Permittee shall submit a description of these response actions to IDEM, OAQ, not later than thirty (30) days after receipt of the test results. The Permittee shall take appropriate action to minimize excess emissions from the affected facility while the response actions are being implemented.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (b) A retest to demonstrate compliance shall be performed not later than one hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of the original test results. Should the Permittee demonstrate to IDEM, OAQ that retesting in one hundred twenty (120) days is not practicable, IDEM, OAQ may extend the retesting deadline.
- (c) IDEM, OAQ reserves the authority to take any actions allowed under law in response to noncompliant stack tests.

The response action documents submitted pursuant to this condition do require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

C.17 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)] [326 IAC 2-8-5]

- (a) Records of all required monitoring data, reports and support information required by this permit shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of monitoring sample, measurement, report, or application. These records shall be physically present or electronically accessible at the source location for a minimum of three (3) years. The records may be stored elsewhere for the remaining two (2) years as long as they are available upon request. If the Commissioner makes a request for records to the Permittee, the Permittee shall furnish the records to the Commissioner within a reasonable time.
- (b) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, all record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented not later than ninety (90) days after permit issuance.

C.18 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) The Permittee shall submit the attached Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report or its equivalent. Any deviation from permit requirements, the date(s) of each deviation, the cause of the deviation, and the response steps taken must be reported except that a deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of this permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in this report. This report shall be submitted not later than thirty (30) days after the end of the reporting period. The Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report shall include the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1). A deviation is an exceedance of a permit limitation or a failure to comply with a requirement of the permit.
- (b) The report required in (a) of this condition and reports required by conditions in Section D of this permit shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance Data Section, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251
- (c) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, any notice, report, or other submission required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

- (d) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, all reports required in Section D of this permit shall be submitted within thirty (30) days of the end of the reporting period. All reports do require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).
- (e) Reporting periods are based on calendar years, unless otherwise specified in this permit. For the purpose of this permit "calendar year" means the twelve (12) month period from January 1 to December 31 inclusive.

Stratospheric Ozone Protection

C.19 Compliance with 40 CFR 82 and 326 IAC 22-1

Pursuant to 40 CFR 82 (Protection of Stratospheric Ozone), Subpart F, except as provided for motor vehicle air conditioners in Subpart B, the Permittee shall comply with the standards for recycling and emissions reduction:

- (a) Persons opening appliances for maintenance, service, repair, or disposal must comply with the required practices pursuant to 40 CFR 82.156.
- (b) Equipment used during the maintenance, service, repair, or disposal of appliances must comply with the standards for recycling and recovery equipment pursuant to 40 CFR 82.158.
- (c) Persons performing maintenance, service, repair, or disposal of appliances must be certified by an approved technician certification program pursuant to 40 CFR 82.161.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

SECTION D.1 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description:

- (a) One (1) 30.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (H), identified as EU-01, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 8.6 million British thermal unit per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #3, exhausting through Stacks S6 and S8, constructed in 1998, with a nominal capacity of 6,150 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (b) One (1) 40.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (E), identified as EU-02, equipped with four (4) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 5.50 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse, known as DC #4, exhausting through Stack S7, constructed 1994, with a nominal capacity of 8050 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (c) One (1) 20.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (M), identified as EU-03, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of at 8.0 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #1, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a nominal capacity of 4,260 pounds of aluminum per hour;

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

D.1.1 PSD and Part 70 Minor Limits [326 IAC 2-2] [326 IAC 2-8-4]

The Permittee shall comply with the following:

- (a) The total throughput of raw materials to the three reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 shall be less than 80,855 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period with compliance determined at the end of each month.
- (b) The PM emissions from the three reverberatory furnaces shall not exceed 2.45 pounds per ton of raw material.
- (c) The PM₁₀ emissions from the three reverberatory furnaces shall not exceed 2.40 pounds per ton of raw materials.

Compliance with the above limits in combination with the PM and PM₁₀ emissions from other emission units will limit the source wide PM and PM₁₀ to less than 100 ton per twelve (12) consecutive month period and will render 326 IAC 2-2 and 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70) not applicable to this source.

D.1.2 PSD and FESOP Minor Limit [326 IAC 2-2] [326 IAC 2-8-4]

The Permittee shall comply with the following:

The total throughput of flux to the three reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 shall be limited to less than 399 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period consecutive month period with compliance determined at the end of each month. HCL emissions shall not exceed 0.025 pounds of HCL per pound of flux.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

Compliance with the above limits in combination with potential emissions from other emission units will ensure that the source wide potential to emit of any single HAP and total HAPs will be less than 10 and 25 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period, respectively and will render 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70) not applicable to this source.

D.1.3 Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2(e), (Particulate Emissions Limitations for Manufacturing Processes), the allowable particulate matter (PM) emissions from the three (3) reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 shall not exceed the pounds per hour when operating at the process weight shown in the table below:

Operation	Process Weight (tons/hr)	Allowable Limits (lbs/hr)
30 MT Reverberatory Fur. EU-01	3.075	8.7
40 MT Reverberatory Fur. EU-02	4.025	10.4
20 MT Reverberatory Fur. EU-03	2.13	6.8

Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to sixty thousand (60,000) pounds per hour was determined by use of the equation:

$$E = 4.10 \times P^{0.67}$$

Where:

P = process weight in tons/hr; and
E = rate of emission in pounds per hour.

Compliance Determination Requirements

D.1.4 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-8-4(9)]

A Preventative Maintenance Plan, in accordance with Section B - Preventive Maintenance Plan of this permit, is required for these facilities and their control devices.

D.1.5 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)(D)]

- (a) In order to comply with Conditions D.1.1 and D.1.3, the baghouses for PM control shall be in operation at all times when the three (3) reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 are in operation.
- (b) In the event that bag failure is observed in a multi-compartment baghouse, if operations will continue for ten (10) days or more after the failure is observed before the failed units will be repaired or replaced, the Permittee shall promptly notify the IDEM, OAQ of the expected date the failed units will be repaired or replaced. The notification shall also included the status of the applicable compliance monitoring parameters with respect to normal, and the results of any response actions taken up to the time of notification.

D.1.6 Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) In order to determine compliance with Conditions D.1.1 and D.1.3, the Permittee shall perform PM and PM₁₀ testing by May 2011 and at least once every five (5) years thereafter on one (1) of the two (2) reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01 and EU-03 utilizing methods as approved by the Commissioner. Testing shall be conducted in

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

accordance with Section C- Performance Testing.

- (b) In order to determine compliance with Conditions D.1.1 and D.1.3, the Permittee shall perform PM and PM₁₀ testing by June 2009 and at least once every five (5) years thereafter on the reverberatory furnace identified as EU-02 utilizing methods as approved by the Commissioner. Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C- Performance Testing.
- (c) Within 180 days after issuance of this Permit F005-26965-00043 and at least once every five (5) years thereafter, in order to demonstrate compliance with Condition D.1.2, the Permittee shall perform HCL testing on any of ; the 30-MT reverberatory furnace, identified as EU-01; or the 40 M-T reverberatory furnace, identified as EU-02; or the 20 M-T, identified as reverberatory furnace EU-03, utilizing methods as approved by the commissioner. Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C - Performance Testing

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

D.1.7 Broken or Failed Bag Detection [326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- (a) For a single compartment baghouse controlling emissions from a process operated continuously, a failed unit and the associated process shall be shut down immediately until the failed unit has been repaired or replaced.
- (b) For a single compartment baghouse controlling emissions from a batch process, the feed to the process shall be shut down immediately until the failed unit has been repaired or replaced. The emissions unit shall be shut down no later than the completion of the processing of the material in the emissions unit.

Bag failure can be indicated by a significant drop in the baghouse's pressure reading with abnormal visible emissions, by an opacity violation, or by other means such as gas temperature, flow rate, air infiltration, leaks, or dust traces.

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

D.1.8 Record Keeping Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- (a) To document compliance with Condition D.1.1(a) - PSD and Part 70 Minor Limit, the Permittee shall maintain monthly records of raw material throughput to the three reverberatory furnaces.
- (b) To document compliance with Condition D.1.2 - FESOP Minor Limit, the Permittee shall maintain monthly records of flux usage.
- (c) Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements of this permit contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to the records required by this condition.

D.1.9 Reporting Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

A quarterly summary of the information to document compliance with Conditions D.1.1 - PSD and Part 70 Minor Limits and D.1.2 - FESOP Minor Limits shall be submitted to the address listed in Section C - General Reporting Requirements, of this permit, using the reporting forms located at the end of this permit, or their equivalent, within thirty (30) days after the end of the quarter being reported. The report submitted by the Permittee does require the certification by the "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

SECTION D.2 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description:

- (d) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#1), with a maximum capacity of at 1.59 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-04, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 8.50 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a nominal capacity of 4,400 pounds of aluminum chips per hour.
- (e) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#2), with a maximum capacity of at 3.26 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-05, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 11.2 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S5, constructed in 1994, with a nominal capacity of 8,419 pounds of aluminum chips per hour.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

D.2.1 FESOP Minor Limit [326 IAC 2-8-4]

The Permittee shall comply with the following:

- (a) The total throughput of aluminum chips to the two chip dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05 shall be less than 56,147 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period, with compliance determined at the end of the month.
- (b) The total VOC emission rate from the two chip dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05 shall not exceed 2.72 pounds of VOC per ton of aluminum chips throughput.

Compliance with the above limits in combination with the VOC emissions from other emission units will limit the source wide VOC to less than 100 ton per twelve (12) consecutive month period, with compliance determined at the end of the month, and will render 326 IAC 2-2 (PSD) and 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70) not applicable to this source.

D.2.2 Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) [326 IAC 8-1-6]

Pursuant to this Operating Permit 005-5548-00043 issued on December 11, 1996, the two (2) chip dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05 shall be controlled by afterburners. The afterburners overall VOC control efficiency shall be at least ninety-five (95%), each.

Compliance Determination Requirements

D.2.3 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-8-4(9)]

A Preventative Maintenance Plan, in accordance with Section B - Preventive Maintenance Plan of this permit, is required for these facilities and their control devices.

D.2.4 Afterburner [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)(D)]

The afterburners shall operate at all times that the two (2) chip dryers are in operation.

D.2.5 Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) In order determine compliance with Condition D.2.1(b), the Permittee shall conduct performance test by June 2009 on the chip dryer #1 (EU-04) to determine the destruction efficiency of the afterburner for VOC, and to determine the 3-hour block average

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

temperature necessary for compliance with Condition D.2.4 - Afterburner using methods approved by the Commissioner. Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C - Performance Testing.

- (b) In order determine compliance with Condition D.2.1(b), the Permittee shall conduct performance test by May 2011 on the chip dryer #2 (EU-05) to determine the destruction efficiency of the afterburner for VOC, and to determine the 3-hour block average temperature necessary for compliance with Condition D.2.4 - Afterburner using methods approved by the Commissioner. Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C - Performance Testing.

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

D.2.6 Parametric Monitoring [326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- (a) A continuous monitoring system shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated on the afterburner for measuring operating temperature. For purposes of this condition continuous shall mean temperature measurement no less often than once per minute. The output of this system shall be recorded as 3-hour block average. From the date of the start up of the afterburner until the approved stack test results are available, the Permittee shall operate the chip dryer #1 and chip dryer #2 afterburners at or above the 3-hour block average temperature of 1300°F and 1200°F respectively.

A reading that is below the temperature established in the most recent compliant stack test is not a deviation from this permit. Failure to take response steps in accordance with Section C - Response to Excursions or Exceedances shall be considered as a deviation from the permit.

- (b) The Permittee shall determine the 3-hour block average temperature from the most recent valid stack test approved by IDEM that demonstrates compliance with Condition D.2.1(b) - FESOP Minor Limit.
- (c) On and after the date the approved stack test results are available, the Permittee shall operate the afterburner at or above the 3-hour block average temperature as observed during the compliant stack test. When for any one reading, the temperature is below the normal temperature as established in most recent compliant stack test, the Permittee shall take reasonable response steps in accordance with Section C- Response to Excursions and Exceedances. A reading that is below the temperature as established in most recent compliant stack test is not a deviation from this permit. Failure to take response steps in accordance with section C - Response to Excursions or Exceedances shall be considered as a deviation from the permit.

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

D.2.7 Record Keeping Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- (a) To document compliance with Condition D.2.6 - Parametric Monitoring, the Permittee shall maintain:
- (1) Continuous temperature records and 3 hour block average temperature records of the afterburners.
- (b) To document compliance with Condition D.2.1 - FESOP Minor Limit, the Permittee shall maintain monthly records of aluminum chips throughput to the two chip dryers.
- (c) Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements of this permit contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to the records required by this condition.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

D.2.8 Reporting Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

A quarterly summary of the information to document compliance with Condition D.2.1 - FESOP Minor Limit shall be submitted to the address listed in Section C - General Reporting Requirements, of this permit, using the reporting forms located at the end of this permit, or their equivalent, within thirty (30) days after the end of the quarter being reported. The report submitted by the Permittee does require the certification by the "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

SECTION E EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description:

- (a) One (1) 30.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (H), identified as EU-01, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 8.6 million British thermal unit per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #3, exhausting through Stacks S6 and S8, constructed in 1998, with a nominal capacity of 6,150 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (b) One (1) 40.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (E), identified as EU-02, equipped with four (4) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 5.50 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse, known as DC #4, exhausting through Stack S7, constructed 1994, with a nominal capacity of 8050 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (c) One (1) 20.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (M), identified as EU-03, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of at 8.0 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #1, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a nominal capacity of 4,260 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (d) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#1), with a maximum capacity of at 1.59 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-04, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 8.50 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a nominal capacity of 4,400 pounds of aluminum chips per hour; and
- (e) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#2), with a maximum capacity of at 3.26 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-05, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 11.2 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S5, constructed in 1994, with a nominal capacity of 8,419 pounds of aluminum chips per hour.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

E.1.1 General Provisions Relating to NESHAP Subpart RRR (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Secondary Aluminum Production) [326 IAC 20-1-1][40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A]

Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.1518, the Permittee shall comply with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A-General Provisions, which are incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 20-1-1, as apply to the existing three Reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 and the two Chip dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05 described in this section except when otherwise specified in 40 CFR 63, Subpart RRR.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

E.1.2 NESHAP Supart RRR Requirements [40 CFR 63, Subpart RRR]

Pursuant to 40 CFR, Subpart RRR, the Permittee shall comply with applicable provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart RRR, for existing three Reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 and the two Chip dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05 beginning March 24, 2003.

- (1) 40 CFR 63.1500
- (2) 40 CFR 63.1501
- (3) 40 CFR 63.1502
- (4) 40 CFR 63.1503
- (5) 40 CFR 63.1505
- (6) 40 CFR 63.1506
- (7) 40 CFR 63.1510
- (8) 40 CFR 63.1511
- (9) 40 CFR 63.1512
- (10) 40 CFR 63.1513
- (11) 40 CFR 63.1515
- (12) 40 CFR 63.1516
- (13) 40 CFR 63.1517
- (14) 40 CFR 63.1518
- (15) 40 CFR 63.1519

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

FEDERALLY ENFORCEABLE STATE OPERATING PERMIT (FESOP) CERTIFICATION

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
FESOP Permit No.: F005-14161-00043

This certification shall be included when submitting monitoring, testing reports/results or other documents as required by this permit.

Please check what document is being certified:

- Annual Compliance Certification Letter
- Test Result (specify)_____
- Report (specify)_____
- Notification (specify)_____
- Affidavit (specify)_____
- Other (specify)_____

I certify that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Title/Position:

Date:

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

**INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY
COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BRANCH
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251
Phone: 317-233-0178
Fax: 317-233-6865**

**FEDERALLY ENFORCEABLE STATE OPERATING PERMIT (FESOP)
EMERGENCY OCCURRENCE REPORT**

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
FESOP Permit No.: F005-14161-00043

This form consists of 2 pages

Page 1 of 2

- | |
|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> This is an emergency as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Permittee must notify the Office of Air Quality (OAQ), not later than four (4) business hours (1-800-451-6027 or 317-233-0178, ask for Compliance Section); and• The Permittee must submit notice in writing or by facsimile not later than two (2) working days (Facsimile Number: 317-233-6865), and follow the other requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-16 |
|---|

If any of the following are not applicable, mark N/A

Facility/Equipment/Operation:
Control Equipment:
Permit Condition or Operation Limitation in Permit:
Description of the Emergency:
Describe the cause of the Emergency:

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

If any of the following are not applicable, mark N/A

Page 2 of 2

Date/Time Emergency started:
Date/Time Emergency was corrected:
Was the facility being properly operated at the time of the emergency? Y N Describe:
Type of Pollutants Emitted: TSP, PM-10, SO ₂ , VOC, NO _x , CO, Pb, other:
Estimated amount of pollutant(s) emitted during emergency:
Describe the steps taken to mitigate the problem:
Describe the corrective actions/response steps taken:
Describe the measures taken to minimize emissions:
If applicable, describe the reasons why continued operation of the facilities are necessary to prevent imminent injury to persons, severe damage to equipment, substantial loss of capital investment, or loss of product or raw materials of substantial economic value:

Form Completed by: _____

Title / Position: _____

Date: _____

Phone: _____

A certification is not required for this report.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY COMPLIANCE DATA SECTION

FESOP Quarterly Report

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
FESOP Permit No.: F005-14161-00043
Facility: Three (3) reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03
Parameter: Total raw material throughput
Limit: less than 80,855 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period

YEAR: _____

Month	Column 1	Column 2	Column 1 + Column 2
	This Month	Previous 11 Months	12 Month Total
Month 1			
Month 2			
Month 3			

- No deviation occurred in this quarter.
- Deviation/s occurred in this quarter.
Deviation has been reported on: _____

Submitted by: _____
Title / Position: _____
Signature: _____
Date: _____
Phone: _____

Attach a signed certification to complete this report.

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY COMPLIANCE DATA SECTION

FESOP Quarterly Report

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
FESOP Permit No.: F005-14161-00043
Facility: Two (2) Chip Dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05
Parameter: Total aluminum throughput
Limit: less than 56,147 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period

YEAR: _____

Month	Column 1	Column 2	Column 1 + Column 2
	This Month	Previous 11 Months	12 Month Total
Month 1			
Month 2			
Month 3			

- No deviation occurred in this quarter.
- Deviation/s occurred in this quarter.
Deviation has been reported on: _____

Submitted by: _____
Title / Position: _____
Signature: _____
Date: _____
Phone: _____

Attach a signed certification to complete this report.

Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY COMPLIANCE DATA SECTION

FESOP Quarterly Report

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
FESOP Permit No.: F005-14161-00043
Facility: Three (3) reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03
Parameter: HAPs
Limit: Less than 399 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period of flux

YEAR: _____

Month	Column 1	Column 2	Column 1 + Column 2
	This Month	Previous 11 Months	12 Month Total
Month 1			
Month 2			
Month 3			

- No deviation occurred in this quarter.
- Deviation/s occurred in this quarter.
Deviation has been reported on: _____

Submitted by: _____
Title / Position: _____
Signature: _____
Date: _____
Phone: _____

Attach a signed certification to complete this report.

**INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY
COMPLIANCE DATA SECTION
FEDERALLY ENFORCEABLE STATE OPERATING PERMIT (FESOP)
QUARTERLY DEVIATION AND COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORT**

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
FESOP Permit No.: F005-14161-00043

Months: _____ to _____ Year: _____

Page 1 of 2

<p>This report shall be submitted quarterly based on a calendar year. Any deviation from the requirements, the date(s) of each deviation, the probable cause of the deviation, and the response steps taken must be reported. A deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of the permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in this report. Additional pages may be attached if necessary. If no deviations occurred, please specify in the box marked "No deviations occurred this reporting period".</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/> NO DEVIATIONS OCCURRED THIS REPORTING PERIOD.	
<input type="checkbox"/> THE FOLLOWING DEVIATIONS OCCURRED THIS REPORTING PERIOD	
Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)	
Date of Deviation:	Duration of Deviation:
Number of Deviations:	
Probable Cause of Deviation:	
Response Steps Taken:	
Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)	
Date of Deviation:	Duration of Deviation:
Number of Deviations:	
Probable Cause of Deviation:	
Response Steps Taken:	

Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)	
Date of Deviation:	Duration of Deviation:
Number of Deviations:	
Probable Cause of Deviation:	
Response Steps Taken:	
Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)	
Date of Deviation:	Duration of Deviation:
Number of Deviations:	
Probable Cause of Deviation:	
Response Steps Taken:	
Permit Requirement (specify permit condition #)	
Date of Deviation:	Duration of Deviation:
Number of Deviations:	
Probable Cause of Deviation:	
Response Steps Taken:	

Form Completed by: _____

Title / Position: _____

Date: _____

Phone: _____

Attach a signed certification to complete this report.

Attachment A
to a Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit

40 CFR 63, Subpart RRR—National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air
Pollutants: Secondary Aluminum Product.

Source Name:	Nikkei MC Aluminum America, Inc
Source Location:	6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, IN 47201
County:	Bartholomew
SIC Code:	3321
Significant Permit Revision	No.:005-26965-00043
Permit Reviewer:	Josiah Balogun

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Secondary Aluminum Product 40 CFR 63, Subpart RRR

§ 63.1500 Applicability.

(a) The requirements of this subpart apply to the owner or operator of each secondary aluminum production facility as defined in §63.1503.

(b) The requirements of this subpart apply to the following affected sources, located at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) as defined in §63.2:

- (1) Each new and existing aluminum scrap shredder;
- (2) Each new and existing thermal chip dryer;
- (3) Each new and existing scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln;
- (4) Each new and existing group 2 furnace;
- (5) Each new and existing sweat furnace;
- (6) Each new and existing dross-only furnace;
- (7) Each new and existing rotary dross cooler; and
- (8) Each new and existing secondary aluminum processing unit.

(c) The requirements of this subpart pertaining to dioxin and furan (D/F) emissions and associated operating, monitoring, reporting and recordkeeping requirements apply to the following affected sources, located at a secondary aluminum production facility that is an area source of HAPs as defined in §63.2:

- (1) Each new and existing thermal chip dryer;

- (2) Each new and existing scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln;
- (3) Each new and existing sweat furnace;
- (4) Each new and existing secondary aluminum processing unit, containing one or more group 1 furnace emission units processing other than clean charge.

(d) The requirements of this subpart do not apply to facilities and equipment used for research and development that are not used to produce a saleable product.

(e) If you are an owner or operator of an area source subject to this subpart, you are exempt from the obligation to obtain a permit under 40 CFR part 70 or 71, provided you are not required to obtain a permit under 40 CFR 70.3(a) or 71.3(a) for a reason other than your status as an area source under this subpart. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, you must continue to comply with the provisions of this subpart applicable to area sources.

(f) An aluminum die casting facility, aluminum foundry, or aluminum extrusion facility shall be considered to be an area source if it does not emit, or have the potential to emit considering controls, 10 tons per year or more of any single listed HAP or 25 tons per year of any combination of listed HAP from all emission sources which are located in a contiguous area and under common control, without regard to whether or not such sources are regulated under this subpart or any other subpart. In the case of an aluminum die casting facility, aluminum foundry, or aluminum extrusion facility which is an area source and is subject to regulation under this subpart only because it operates a thermal chip dryer, no furnace operated by such a facility shall be deemed to be subject to the requirements of this subpart if it melts only clean charge, internal scrap, or customer returns.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 79814, Dec. 30, 2002; 70 FR 75346, Dec. 19, 2005]

§ 63.1501 Dates.

(a) The owner or operator of an existing affected source must comply with the requirements of this subpart by March 24, 2003.

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the owner or operator of a new affected source that commences construction or reconstruction after February 11, 1999 must comply with the requirements of this subpart by March 24, 2000 or upon startup, whichever is later.

(c) The owner or operator of any affected source which is constructed or reconstructed at any existing aluminum die casting facility, aluminum foundry, or aluminum extrusion facility which otherwise meets the applicability criteria set forth in §63.1500 must comply with the requirements of this subpart by March 24, 2003 or upon startup, whichever is later.

[67 FR 59791, Sept. 24, 2002]

§ 63.1502 Incorporation by reference.

(a) The following material is incorporated by reference in the corresponding sections noted. The incorporation by reference (IBR) of certain publications listed in the rule will be approved by the Director of the Office of the Federal Register as of the date of publication of the final rule in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. This material is incorporated as it exists on the date of approval:

(1) Chapters 3 and 5 of “Industrial Ventilation: A Manual of Recommended Practice,” American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, (23rd edition, 1998), IBR approved for §63.1506(c), and

(2) “Interim Procedures for Estimating Risks Associated with Exposures to Mixtures of Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins and -Dibenzofurans (CDDs and CDFs) and 1989 Update” (EPA/625/3–89/016).

(b) The material incorporated by reference is available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA); and at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC. For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html. The material is also available for purchase from the following addresses:

(1) Customer Service Department, American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), 1330 Kemper Meadow Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45240–1634, telephone number (513) 742–2020; and

(2) The National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA, NTIS no. PB 90–145756.

§ 63.1503 Definitions.

Terms used in this subpart are defined in the Clean Air Act as amended (CAA), in §63.2, or in this section as follows:

Add-on air pollution control device means equipment installed on a process vent that reduces the quantity of a pollutant that is emitted to the air.

Afterburner means an air pollution control device that uses controlled flame combustion to convert combustible materials to noncombustible gases; also known as an incinerator or a thermal oxidizer.

Aluminum scrap means fragments of aluminum stock removed during manufacturing (*i.e.*, machining), manufactured aluminum articles or parts rejected or discarded and useful only as material for reprocessing, and waste and discarded material made of aluminum.

Aluminum scrap shredder means a unit that crushes, grinds, or breaks aluminum scrap into a more uniform size prior to processing or charging to a *scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln*, or furnace. A bale breaker is not an *aluminum scrap shredder*.

Bag leak detection system means an instrument that is capable of monitoring particulate matter loadings in the exhaust of a fabric filter (*i.e.*, baghouse) in order to detect bag failures. A *bag leak detection system* includes, but is not limited to, an instrument that operates on triboelectric, light scattering, light transmittance, or other effect to monitor relative particulate matter loadings.

Chips means small, uniformly-sized, unpainted pieces of aluminum scrap, typically below 11/4inches in any dimension, primarily generated by turning, milling, boring, and machining of aluminum parts.

Clean charge means furnace charge materials, including molten aluminum; T-bar; sow; ingot; billet; pig; alloying elements; aluminum scrap known by the owner or operator to be entirely free of paints, coatings, and lubricants; uncoated/unpainted aluminum chips that have been thermally dried or treated by a centrifugal cleaner; aluminum scrap dried at 343 °C (650 °F) or higher; aluminum scrap delacquered/decoated at 482 °C (900 °F) or higher, and runaround scrap.

Cover flux means salt added to the surface of molten aluminum in a *group 1* or *group 2 furnace*, without agitation of the molten aluminum, for the purpose of preventing oxidation.

Customer returns means any aluminum product which is returned by a customer to the aluminum company that originally manufactured the product prior to resale of the product or further distribution in commerce, and which contains no paint or other solid coatings (*i.e.*, lacquers).

D/F means dioxins and furans.

Dioxins and furans means tetra-, penta-, hexa-, and octachlorinated dibenzo dioxins and furans.

Dross means the slags and skimmings from aluminum melting and refining operations consisting of fluxing agent(s), impurities, and/or oxidized and non-oxidized aluminum, from scrap aluminum charged into the furnace.

Dross-only furnace means a furnace, typically of rotary barrel design, dedicated to the reclamation of aluminum from dross formed during melting, holding, fluxing, or alloying operations carried out in other process units. Dross and salt flux are the sole feedstocks to this type of furnace.

Emission unit means a *group 1 furnace* or *in-line fluxer* at a *secondary aluminum production facility*.

Fabric filter means an add-on air pollution control device used to capture particulate matter by filtering gas streams through filter media; also known as a baghouse.

Feed/charge means, for a furnace or other process unit that operates in batch mode, the total weight of material (including molten aluminum, T-bar, sow, ingot, etc.) and alloying agents that enter the furnace during an operating cycle. For a furnace or other process unit that operates continuously, *feed/charge* means the weight of material (including molten aluminum, T-bar, sow, ingot, etc.) and alloying agents that enter the process unit within a specified time period (e.g., a time period equal to the performance test period). The *feed/charge* for a dross only furnace includes the total weight of dross and solid flux.

Fluxing means refining of molten aluminum to improve product quality, achieve product specifications, or reduce material loss, including the addition of solvents to remove impurities (solvent flux); and the injection of gases such as chlorine, or chlorine mixtures, to remove magnesium (demagging) or hydrogen bubbles (degassing). *Fluxing* may be performed in the furnace or outside the furnace by an *in-line fluxer*.

Furnace hearth means the combustion zone of a furnace in which the molten metal is contained.

Group 1 furnace means a furnace of any design that melts, holds, or processes aluminum that contains paint, lubricants, coatings, or other foreign materials with or without *reactive fluxing*, or processes *clean charge* with *reactive fluxing*.

Group 2 furnace means a furnace of any design that melts, holds, or processes only *clean charge* and that performs no *fluxing* or performs *fluxing* using only nonreactive, non-HAP-containing/non-HAP-generating gases or agents.

HCl means, for the purposes of this subpart, emissions of hydrogen chloride that serve as a surrogate measure of the total emissions of the HAPs hydrogen chloride, hydrogen fluoride and chlorine.

In-line fluxer means a device exterior to a furnace, located in a transfer line from a furnace, used to refine (flux) molten aluminum; also known as a flux box, degassing box, or demagging box.

Internal scrap means all aluminum scrap regardless of the level of contamination which originates from castings or extrusions produced by an aluminum die casting facility, aluminum foundry, or aluminum extrusion facility, and which remains at all times within the control of the company that produced the castings or extrusions.

Lime means calcium oxide or other alkaline reagent.

Lime-injection means the continuous addition of lime upstream of a *fabric filter*.

Melting/holding furnace means a *group 1 furnace* that processes only *clean charge*, performs melting, holding, and fluxing functions, and does not transfer molten aluminum to or from

another furnace except for purposes of alloy changes, off-specification product drains, or maintenance activities.

Operating cycle means for a batch process, the period beginning when the feed material is first charged to the operation and ending when all feed material charged to the operation has been processed. For a batch melting or holding furnace process, *operating cycle* means the period including the charging and melting of scrap aluminum and the fluxing, refining, alloying, and tapping of molten aluminum (the period from tap-to-tap).

PM means, for the purposes of this subpart, emissions of particulate matter that serve as a measure of total particulate emissions and as a surrogate for metal HAPs contained in the particulates, including but not limited to, antimony, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, and selenium.

Pollution prevention means source reduction as defined under the Pollution Prevention Act of 1990 (*e.g.*, equipment or technology modifications, process or procedure modifications, reformulation or redesign of products, substitution of raw materials, and improvements in housekeeping, maintenance, training, or inventory control), and other practices that reduce or eliminate the creation of pollutants through increased efficiency in the use of raw materials, energy, water, or other resources, or protection of natural resources by conservation.

Reactive fluxing means the use of any gas, liquid, or solid flux (other than cover flux) that results in a HAP emission. Argon and nitrogen are not reactive and do not produce HAP.

Reconstruction means the replacement of components of an affected source or *emission unit* such that the fixed capital cost of the new components exceeds 50 percent of the fixed capital cost that would be required to construct a comparable new affected source, and it is technologically and economically feasible for the reconstructed source to meet relevant standard(s) established in this subpart. Replacement of the refractory in a furnace is routine maintenance and is not a *reconstruction*. The repair and replacement of *in-line fluxer* components (*e.g.*, rotors/shafts, burner tubes, refractory, warped steel) is considered to be routine maintenance and is not considered a *reconstruction*. *In-line fluxers* are typically removed to a maintenance/repair area and are replaced with repaired units. The replacement of an existing *in-line fluxer* with a repaired unit is not considered a *reconstruction*.

Residence time means, for an *afterburner*, the duration of time required for gases to pass through the *afterburner* combustion zone. *Residence time* is calculated by dividing the *afterburner* combustion zone volume in cubic feet by the volumetric flow rate of the gas stream in actual cubic feet per second.

Rotary dross cooler means a water-cooled rotary barrel device that accelerates cooling of dross.

Runaround scrap means scrap materials generated on-site by aluminum casting, extruding, rolling, scalping, forging, forming/stamping, cutting, and trimming operations and that do not contain paint or solid coatings. Uncoated/unpainted aluminum chips generated by turning,

boring, milling, and similar machining operations may be clean charge if they have been thermally dried or treated by a centrifugal cleaner, but are not considered to be *runaround scrap*.

Scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln means a unit used primarily to remove various organic contaminants such as oil, paint, lacquer, ink, plastic, and/or rubber from *aluminum scrap* (including used beverage containers) prior to melting.

Secondary aluminum processing unit (SAPU). An existing SAPU means all existing *group 1 furnaces* and all existing *in-line fluxers* within a *secondary aluminum production facility*. Each existing *group 1 furnace* or existing *in-line fluxer* is considered an *emission unit* within a *secondary aluminum processing unit*. A new SAPU means any combination of individual *group 1 furnaces* and *in-line fluxers* within a *secondary aluminum processing facility* which either were constructed or reconstructed after February 11, 1999, or have been permanently redesignated as new emission units pursuant to §63.1505(k)(6). Each of the *group 1 furnaces* or *in-line fluxers* within a new SAPU is considered an *emission unit* within that *secondary aluminum processing unit*.

Secondary aluminum production facility means any establishment using *clean charge*, *aluminum scrap*, or dross from aluminum production, as the raw material and performing one or more of the following processes: scrap shredding, scrap drying/delacquering/decoating, thermal chip drying, furnace operations (*i.e.*, melting, holding, sweating, refining, fluxing, or alloying), recovery of aluminum from dross, in-line fluxing, or dross cooling. A *secondary aluminum production facility* may be independent or part of a primary aluminum production facility. For purposes of this subpart, aluminum die casting facilities, aluminum foundries, and aluminum extrusion facilities are not considered to be secondary aluminum production facilities if the only materials they melt are *clean charge*, customer returns, or internal scrap, and if they do not operate sweat furnaces, thermal chip dryers, or scrap dryers/delacquering kilns/decoating kilns. The determination of whether a facility is a *secondary aluminum production facility* is only for purposes of this subpart and any regulatory requirements which are derived from the applicability of this subpart, and is separate from any determination which may be made under other environmental laws and regulations, including whether the same facility is a “secondary metal production facility” as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. §7479(1) and 40 CFR 52.21(b)(1)(i)(A) (“prevention of significant deterioration of air quality”).

Sidewell means an open well adjacent to the hearth of a furnace with connecting arches between the hearth and the open well through which molten aluminum is circulated between the hearth, where heat is applied by burners, and the open well, which is used for charging scrap and solid flux or salt to the furnace, injecting fluxing agents, and skimming dross.

Sweat furnace means a furnace used exclusively to reclaim aluminum from scrap that contains substantial quantities of iron by using heat to separate the low-melting point aluminum from the scrap while the higher melting-point iron remains in solid form.

TEQ means the international method of expressing toxicity equivalents for dioxins and furans as defined in “Interim Procedures for Estimating Risks Associated with Exposures to Mixtures of Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins and -Dibenzofurans (CDDs and CDFs) and 1989 Update”

(EPA-625/3-89-016), available from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161, NTIS no. PB 90-145756.

THC means, for the purposes of this subpart, total hydrocarbon emissions that also serve as a surrogate for the emissions of organic HAP compounds.

Thermal chip dryer means a device that uses heat to evaporate oil or oil/water mixtures from unpainted/uncoated aluminum chips. Pre-heating boxes or other dryers which are used solely to remove water from aluminum scrap are not considered to be thermal chip dryers for purposes of this subpart.

Three-day, 24-hour rolling average means daily calculations of the average 24-hour emission rate (lbs/ton of feed/charge), over the 3 most recent consecutive 24-hour periods, for a *secondary aluminum processing unit*.

Total reactive chlorine flux injection rate means the sum of the total weight of chlorine in the gaseous or liquid reactive flux and the total weight of chlorine in the solid reactive chloride flux, divided by the total weight of feed/charge, as determined by the procedure in §63.1512(o).

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 79814, Dec. 30, 2002; 69 FR 18803, Apr. 9, 2004; 69 FR 53984, Sept. 3, 2004; 70 FR 57517, Oct. 3, 2005]

§ 63.1504 [Reserved]

Emission Standards and Operating Requirements

§ 63.1505 Emission standards for affected sources and emission units.

(a) *Summary*. The owner or operator of a new or existing affected source must comply with each applicable limit in this section. Table 1 to this subpart summarizes the emission standards for each type of source.

(b) *Aluminum scrap shredder*. On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501, the owner or operator of an aluminum scrap shredder at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere:

(1) Emissions in excess of 0.023 grams (g) of PM per dry standard cubic meter (dscm) (0.010 grain (gr) of PM per dry standard cubic foot (dscf)); and

(2) Visible emissions (VE) in excess of 10 percent opacity from any PM add-on air pollution control device if a continuous opacity monitor (COM) or visible emissions monitoring is chosen as the monitoring option.

(c) *Thermal chip dryer*. On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501, the owner or operator of a thermal chip dryer must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere emissions in excess of:

(1) 0.40 kilogram (kg) of THC, as propane, per megagram (Mg) (0.80 lb of THC, as propane, per ton) of feed/charge from a thermal chip dryer at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source; and

(2) 2.50 micrograms (μg) of D/F TEQ per Mg (3.5×10^{-5} gr per ton) of feed/charge from a thermal chip dryer at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major or area source.

(d) *Scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln.* On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501:

(1) The owner or operator of a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere emissions in excess of:

(i) 0.03 kg of THC, as propane, per Mg (0.06 lb of THC, as propane, per ton) of feed/charge from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source;

(ii) 0.04 kg of PM per Mg (0.08 lb per ton) of feed/charge from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source;

(iii) 0.25 μg of D/F TEQ per Mg (3.5×10^{-6} gr of D/F TEQ per ton) of feed/charge from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major or area source; and

(iv) 0.40 kg of HCl per Mg (0.80 lb per ton) of feed/charge from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source.

(2) The owner or operator of a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere visible emissions in excess of 10 percent opacity from any PM add-on air pollution control device if a COM is chosen as the monitoring option.

(e) *Scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln: alternative limits.* The owner or operator of a scrap dryer/ delacquering kiln/decoating kiln may choose to comply with the emission limits in this paragraph (e) as an alternative to the limits in paragraph (d) of this section if the scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln is equipped with an afterburner having a design residence time of at least 1 second and the afterburner is operated at a temperature of at least 760 °C (1400 °F) at all times. On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501:

(1) The owner or operator of a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere emissions in excess of:

(i) 0.10 kg of THC, as propane, per Mg (0.20 lb of THC, as propane, per ton) of feed/charge from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source;

(ii) 0.15 kg of PM per Mg (0.30 lb per ton) of feed/charge from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source;

(iii) 5.0 μg of D/F TEQ per Mg (7.0×10^{-5} gr of D/F TEQ per ton) of feed/charge from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major or area source; and

(iv) 0.75 kg of HCl per Mg (1.50 lb per ton) of feed/charge from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source.

(2) The owner or operator of a scrap dryer/ delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere visible emissions in excess of 10 percent opacity from any PM add-on air pollution control device if a COM is chosen as the monitoring option.

(f) *Sweat furnace.* The owner or operator of a sweat furnace shall comply with the emission standard of paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

(1) The owner or operator is not required to conduct a performance test to demonstrate compliance with the emission standard of paragraph (f)(2) of this section, provided that, on and after the compliance date of this rule, the owner or operator operates and maintains an afterburner with a design residence time of 0.8 seconds or greater and an operating temperature of 1600 °F or greater.

(2) On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501, the owner or operator of a sweat furnace at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major or area source must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere emissions in excess of 0.80 nanogram (ng) of D/F TEQ per dscm (3.5×10^{-10} gr per dscf) at 11 percent oxygen (O^2).

(g) *Dross-only furnace.* On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501, the owner or operator of a dross-only furnace at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere:

(1) Emissions in excess of 0.15 kg of PM per Mg (0.30 lb of PM per ton) of feed/charge.

(2) Visible emissions in excess of 10 percent opacity from any PM add-on air pollution control device if a COM is chosen as the monitoring option.

(h) *Rotary dross cooler.* On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501, the owner or operator of a rotary dross cooler at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere:

(1) Emissions in excess of 0.09 g of PM per dscm (0.04 gr per dscf).

(2) Visible emissions in excess of 10 percent opacity from any PM add-on air pollution control device if a COM is chosen as the monitoring option.

(i) *Group 1 furnace.* The owner or operator of a group 1 furnace must use the limits in this paragraph to determine the emission standards for a SAPU.

(1) 0.20 kg of PM per Mg (0.40 lb of PM per ton) of feed/charge from a group 1 furnace, that is not a melting/holding furnace processing only clean charge, at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source;

(2) 0.40 kg of PM per Mg (0.80 lb of PM per ton) of feed/charge from a group 1 melting/holding furnace processing only clean charge at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source;

(3) 15 μg of D/F TEQ per Mg (2.1×10^{-4} gr of D/F TEQ per ton) of feed/charge from a group 1 furnace at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major or area source. This limit does not apply if the furnace processes only clean charge; and

(4) 0.20 kg of HCl per Mg (0.40 lb of HCl per ton) of feed/charge or, if the furnace is equipped with an add-on air pollution control device, 10 percent of the uncontrolled HCl emissions, by weight, for a group 1 furnace at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source.

(5) The owner or operator of a group 1 furnace at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere visible emissions in excess of 10 percent opacity from any PM add-on air pollution control device if a COM is chosen as the monitoring option.

(6) The owner or operator may determine the emission standards for a SAPU by applying the group 1 furnace limits on the basis of the aluminum production weight in each group 1 furnace, rather than on the basis of feed/charge.

(7) The owner or operator of a sidewall group 1 furnace that conducts reactive fluxing (except for cover flux) in the hearth, or that conducts reactive fluxing in the sidewall at times when the level of molten metal falls below the top of the passage between the sidewall and the hearth, must comply with the emission limits of paragraphs (i)(1) through (4) of this section on the basis of the combined emissions from the sidewall and the hearth.

(j) *In-line fluxer.* Except as provided in paragraph (j)(3) of this section for an in-line fluxer using no reactive flux material, the owner or operator of an in-line fluxer must use the limits in this paragraph to determine the emission standards for a SAPU.

(1) 0.02 kg of HCl per Mg (0.04 lb of HCl per ton) of feed/charge;

(2) 0.005 kg of PM per Mg (0.01 lb of PM per ton) of feed/charge.

(3) The emission limits in paragraphs (j)(1) and (j)(2) of this section do not apply to an in-line fluxer that uses no reactive flux materials.

(4) The owner or operator of an in-line fluxer at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source must not discharge or cause to be discharged to the atmosphere visible emissions in excess of 10 percent opacity from any PM add-on air pollution control device used to control emissions from the in-line fluxer, if a COM is chosen as the monitoring option.

(5) The owner or operator may determine the emission standards for a SAPU by applying the in-line fluxer limits on the basis of the aluminum production weight in each in-line fluxer, rather than on the basis of feed/charge.

(k) *Secondary aluminum processing unit.* On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501, the owner or operator must comply with the emission limits calculated using the equations for PM and HCl in paragraphs (k)(1) and (2) of this section for each secondary aluminum processing unit at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source. The owner or operator must comply with the emission limit calculated using the equation for D/F in paragraph (k)(3) of this section for each secondary aluminum processing unit at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major or area source.

(1) The owner or operator must not discharge or allow to be discharged to the atmosphere any 3-day, 24-hour rolling average emissions of PM in excess of:

$$L_{cPM} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (L_{tiPM} \times T_{ti})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{ti})} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

Where,

L_{tiPM} = The PM emission limit for individual emission unit i in paragraph (i)(1) and (2) of this section for a group 1 furnace or in paragraph (j)(2) of this section for an in-line fluxer;

T_{ti} = The feed/charge rate for individual emission unit i ; and

L_{cPM} = The PM emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit.

Note: In-line fluxers using no reactive flux materials cannot be included in this calculation since they are not subject to the PM limit.

(2) The owner or operator must not discharge or allow to be discharged to the atmosphere any 3-day, 24-hour rolling average emissions of HCl in excess of:

$$L_{cHCl} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (L_{tiHCl} \times T_{ti})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{ti})} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

Where,

L_{tiHCl} = The HCl emission limit for individual emission unit i in paragraph (i)(4) of this section for a group 1 furnace or in paragraph (j)(1) of this section for an in-line fluxer; and

L_{cHCl} = The HCl emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit.

Note: In-line fluxers using no reactive flux materials cannot be included in this calculation since they are not subject to the HCl limit.

(3) The owner or operator must not discharge or allow to be discharged to the atmosphere any 3-day, 24-hour rolling average emissions of D/F in excess of:

$$L_{cD/F} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (L_{tiD/F} \times T_{ti})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{ti})} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

Where,

$L_{tiD/F}$ = The D/F emission limit for individual emission unit i in paragraph (i)(3) of this section for a group 1 furnace; and

$L_{cD/F}$ = The D/F emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit.

Note: Clean charge furnaces cannot be included in this calculation since they are not subject to the D/F limit.

(4) The owner or operator of a SAPU at a secondary aluminum production facility that is a major source may demonstrate compliance with the emission limits of paragraphs (k)(1) through (3) of this section by demonstrating that each emission unit within the SAPU is in compliance with the applicable emission limits of paragraphs (i) and (j) of this section.

(5) The owner or operator of a SAPU at a secondary aluminum production facility that is an area source may demonstrate compliance with the emission limits of paragraph (k)(3) of this section by demonstrating that each emission unit within the SAPU is in compliance with the emission limit of paragraph (i)(3) of this section.

(6) With the prior approval of the responsible permitting authority, an owner or operator may redesignate any existing group 1 furnace or in-line fluxer at a secondary aluminum production facility as a new emission unit. Any emission unit so redesignated may thereafter be included in a new SAPU at that facility. Any such redesignation will be solely for the purpose of this MACT standard and will be irreversible.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 59792, Sept. 24, 2002; 67 FR 79816, Dec. 30, 2002; 70 FR 57517, Oct. 3, 2005]

§ 63.1506 Operating requirements.

(a) *Summary.* (1) On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501, the owner or operator must operate all new and existing affected sources and control equipment according to the requirements in this section.

(2) The owner or operator of an existing sweat furnace that meets the specifications of §63.1505(f)(1) must operate the sweat furnace and control equipment according to the requirements of this section on and after the compliance date of this standard.

(3) The owner or operator of a new sweat furnace that meets the specifications of §63.1505(f)(1) must operate the sweat furnace and control equipment according to the requirements of this section by March 23, 2000 or upon startup, whichever is later.

(4) Operating requirements are summarized in Table 2 to this subpart.

(b) *Labeling.* The owner or operator must provide and maintain easily visible labels posted at each group 1 furnace, group 2 furnace, in-line fluxer and scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln that identifies the applicable emission limits and means of compliance, including:

(1) The type of affected source or emission unit (*e.g.*, scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln, group 1 furnace, group 2 furnace, in-line fluxer).

(2) The applicable operational standard(s) and control method(s) (work practice or control device). This includes, but is not limited to, the type of charge to be used for a furnace (*e.g.* .., clean scrap only, all scrap, etc.), flux materials and addition practices, and the applicable operating parameter ranges and requirements as incorporated in the OM&M plan.

(3) The afterburner operating temperature and design residence time for a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln.

(c) *Capture/collection systems.* For each affected source or emission unit equipped with an add-on air pollution control device, the owner or operator must:

(1) Design and install a system for the capture and collection of emissions to meet the engineering standards for minimum exhaust rates as published by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists in chapters 3 and 5 of “Industrial Ventilation: A Manual of Recommended Practice” (incorporated by reference in §63.1502 of this subpart);

(2) Vent captured emissions through a closed system, except that dilution air may be added to emission streams for the purpose of controlling temperature at the inlet to a fabric filter; and

(3) Operate each capture/collection system according to the procedures and requirements in the OM&M plan.

(d) *Feed/charge weight.* The owner or operator of each affected source or emission unit subject to an emission limit in kg/Mg (lb/ton) or $\mu\text{g}/\text{Mg}$ (gr/ton) of feed/charge must:

(1) Except as provided in paragraph (d)(3) of this section, install and operate a device that measures and records or otherwise determine the weight of feed/charge (or throughput) for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test; and

(2) Operate each weight measurement system or other weight determination procedure in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(3) The owner or operator may chose to measure and record aluminum production weight from an affected source or emission unit rather than feed/charge weight to an affected source or emission unit, provided that:

(i) The aluminum production weight, rather than feed/charge weight is measured and recorded for all emission units within a SAPU; and

(ii) All calculations to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits for SAPUs are based on aluminum production weight rather than feed/charge weight.

(e) *Aluminum scrap shredder.* The owner or operator of a scrap shredder with emissions controlled by a fabric filter must operate a bag leak detection system, or a continuous opacity monitor, or conduct visible emissions observations.

(1) If a bag leak detection system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, the owner or operator must:

(i) Initiate corrective action within 1-hour of a bag leak detection system alarm and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(ii) Operate each fabric filter system such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month block reporting period. In calculating this operating time fraction, if inspection of the fabric filter demonstrates that no corrective action is required, no alarm time is counted. If corrective action is required, each alarm shall be counted as a minimum of 1 hour. If the owner or operator takes longer than 1 hour to initiate corrective action, the alarm time shall be counted as the actual amount of time taken by the owner or operator to initiate corrective action.

(2) If a continuous opacity monitoring system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, the owner or operator must initiate corrective action within 1-hour of any 6-minute average reading of 5 percent or more opacity and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(3) If visible emission observations are used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, the owner or operator must initiate corrective action within 1-hour of any observation of visible emissions during a daily visible emissions test and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(f) *Thermal chip dryer.* The owner or operator of a thermal chip dryer with emissions controlled by an afterburner must:

(1) Maintain the 3-hour block average operating temperature of each afterburner at or above the average temperature established during the performance test.

(2) Operate each afterburner in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(3) Operate each thermal chip dryer using only unpainted aluminum chips as the feedstock.

(g) *Scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln.* The owner or operator of a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln with emissions controlled by an afterburner and a lime-injected fabric filter must:

(1) For each afterburner,

(i) Maintain the 3-hour block average operating temperature of each afterburner at or above the average temperature established during the performance test.

(ii) Operate each afterburner in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(2) If a bag leak detection system is used to meet the fabric filter monitoring requirements in §63.1510,

(i) Initiate corrective action within 1-hour of a bag leak detection system alarm and complete any necessary corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(ii) Operate each fabric filter system such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month block reporting period. In calculating this operating time fraction, if inspection of the fabric filter demonstrates that no corrective action is required, no alarm time is counted. If corrective action is required, each alarm shall be counted as a minimum of 1 hour. If the owner or operator takes longer than 1 hour to initiate corrective action, the alarm time shall be counted as the actual amount of time taken by the owner or operator to initiate corrective action.

(3) If a continuous opacity monitoring system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, initiate corrective action within 1-hour of any 6-minute average reading of 5 percent or more opacity and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(4) Maintain the 3-hour block average inlet temperature for each fabric filter at or below the average temperature established during the performance test, plus 14 °C (plus 25 °F).

(5) For a continuous injection device, maintain free-flowing lime in the hopper to the feed device at all times and maintain the lime feeder setting at the same level established during the performance test.

(h) *Sweat furnace*. The owner or operator of a sweat furnace with emissions controlled by an afterburner must:

(1) Maintain the 3-hour block average operating temperature of each afterburner at or above:

(i) The average temperature established during the performance test; or

(ii) 1600 °F if a performance test was not conducted, and the afterburner meets the specifications of §63.1505(f)(1).

(2) Operate each afterburner in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(i) *Dross-only furnace*. The owner or operator of a dross-only furnace with emissions controlled by a fabric filter must:

(1) If a bag leak detection system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510,

(i) Initiate corrective action within 1-hour of a bag leak detection system alarm and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(ii) Operate each fabric filter system such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month block reporting period. In calculating this operating time fraction, if inspection of the fabric filter demonstrates that no corrective action is required, no alarm time is counted. If corrective action is required, each alarm shall be counted as a minimum of 1 hour. If the owner or operator takes longer than 1 hour to initiate corrective action, the alarm time shall be counted as the actual amount of time taken by the owner or operator to initiate corrective action.

(2) If a continuous opacity monitoring system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, initiate corrective action within 1-hour of any 6-minute average reading of 5 percent or more opacity and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(3) Operate each furnace using dross and salt flux as the sole feedstock.

(j) *Rotary dross cooler*. The owner or operator of a rotary dross cooler with emissions controlled by a fabric filter must:

(1) If a bag leak detection system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510,

(i) Initiate corrective action within 1-hour of a bag leak detection system alarm and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(ii) Operate each fabric filter system such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month block reporting period. In calculating this operating time fraction, if inspection of the fabric filter demonstrates that no corrective action is required, no alarm time is counted. If corrective action is required, each alarm shall be counted as a minimum of 1 hour. If the owner or operator takes longer than 1 hour to initiate corrective action, the alarm time shall be counted as the actual amount of time taken by the owner or operator to initiate corrective action.

(2) If a continuous opacity monitoring system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, initiate corrective action within 1 hour of any 6-minute average reading of 5 percent or more opacity and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(k) *In-line fluxer*. The owner or operator of an in-line fluxer with emissions controlled by a lime-injected fabric filter must:

(1) If a bag leak detection system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510,

(i) Initiate corrective action within 1-hour of a bag leak detection system alarm and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(ii) Operate each fabric filter system such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month block reporting period. In calculating this operating time fraction, if inspection of the fabric filter demonstrates that no corrective action is required, no alarm time is counted. If corrective action is required, each alarm shall be counted as a minimum of 1 hour. If the owner or operator takes longer than 1 hour to initiate corrective action, the alarm time shall be counted as the actual amount of time taken by the owner or operator to initiate corrective action.

(2) If a continuous opacity monitoring system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, initiate corrective action within 1 hour of any 6-minute average reading of 5 percent or more opacity and complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(3) For a continuous injection system, maintain free-flowing lime in the hopper to the feed device at all times and maintain the lime feeder setting at the same level established during the performance test.

(4) Maintain the total reactive chlorine flux injection rate for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test at or below the average rate established during the performance test.

(l) *In-line fluxer using no reactive flux material*. The owner or operator of a new or existing in-line fluxer using no reactive flux materials must operate each in-line fluxer using no reactive flux materials.

(m) *Group 1 furnace with add-on air pollution control devices.* The owner or operator of a group 1 furnace with emissions controlled by a lime-injected fabric filter must:

(1) If a bag leak detection system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, the owner or operator must:

(i) Initiate corrective action within 1 hour of a bag leak detection system alarm.

(ii) Complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(iii) Operate each fabric filter system such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month block reporting period. In calculating this operating time fraction, if inspection of the fabric filter demonstrates that no corrective action is required, no alarm time is counted. If corrective action is required, each alarm shall be counted as a minimum of 1 hour. If the owner or operator takes longer than 1 hour to initiate corrective action, the alarm time shall be counted as the actual amount of time taken by the owner or operator to initiate corrective action.

(2) If a continuous opacity monitoring system is used to meet the monitoring requirements in §63.1510, the owner or operator must:

(i) Initiate corrective action within 1 hour of any 6-minute average reading of 5 percent or more opacity; and

(ii) Complete the corrective action procedures in accordance with the OM&M plan.

(3) Maintain the 3-hour block average inlet temperature for each fabric filter at or below the average temperature established during the performance test, plus 14 °C (plus 25 °F).

(4) For a continuous lime injection system, maintain free-flowing lime in the hopper to the feed device at all times and maintain the lime feeder setting at the same level established during the performance test.

(5) Maintain the total reactive chlorine flux injection rate for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test at or below the average rate established during the performance test.

(6) Operate each sidewell furnace such that:

(i) The level of molten metal remains above the top of the passage between the sidewell and hearth during reactive flux injection, unless emissions from both the sidewell and the hearth are included in demonstrating compliance with all applicable emission limits.

(ii) Reactive flux is added only in the sidewell, unless emissions from both the sidewell and the hearth are included in demonstrating compliance with all applicable emission limits.

(n) *Group 1 furnace without add-on air pollution control devices.* The owner or operator of a group 1 furnace (including a group 1 furnace that is part of a secondary aluminum processing unit) without add-on air pollution control devices must:

(1) Maintain the total reactive chlorine flux injection rate for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test at or below the average rate established during the performance test.

(2) Operate each furnace in accordance with the work practice/pollution prevention measures documented in the OM&M plan and within the parameter values or ranges established in the OM&M plan.

(3) Operate each group 1 melting/holding furnace subject to the emission standards in §63.1505(i)(2) using only clean charge as the feedstock.

(o) *Group 2 furnace.* The owner or operator of a new or existing group 2 furnace must:

(1) Operate each furnace using only clean charge as the feedstock.

(2) Operate each furnace using no reactive flux.

(p) *Corrective action.* When a process parameter or add-on air pollution control device operating parameter deviates from the value or range established during the performance test and incorporated in the OM&M plan, the owner or operator must initiate corrective action. Corrective action must restore operation of the affected source or emission unit (including the process or control device) to its normal or usual mode of operation as expeditiously as practicable in accordance with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions. Corrective actions taken must include follow-up actions necessary to return the process or control device parameter level(s) to the value or range of values established during the performance test and steps to prevent the likely recurrence of the cause of a deviation.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 59792, Sept. 24, 2002; 67 FR 79816, Dec. 30, 2002; 69 FR 53984, Sept. 3, 2004]

§§ 63.1507-63.1509 [Reserved]

Monitoring and Compliance Requirements

§ 63.1510 Monitoring requirements.

(a) *Summary.* On and after the compliance date established by §63.1501, the owner or operator of a new or existing affected source or emission unit must monitor all control equipment and processes according to the requirements in this section. Monitoring requirements for each type of affected source and emission unit are summarized in Table 3 to this subpart.

(b) *Operation, maintenance, and monitoring (OM&M) plan.* The owner or operator must prepare and implement for each new or existing affected source and emission unit, a written operation,

maintenance, and monitoring (OM&M) plan. The owner or operator of an existing affected source must submit the OM&M plan to the responsible permitting authority no later than the compliance date established by §63.1501(a). The owner or operator of any new affected source must submit the OM&M plan to the responsible permitting authority within 90 days after a successful initial performance test under §63.1511(b), or within 90 days after the compliance date established by §63.1501(b) if no initial performance test is required. The plan must be accompanied by a written certification by the owner or operator that the OM&M plan satisfies all requirements of this section and is otherwise consistent with the requirements of this subpart. The owner or operator must comply with all of the provisions of the OM&M plan as submitted to the permitting authority, unless and until the plan is revised in accordance with the following procedures. If the permitting authority determines at any time after receipt of the OM&M plan that any revisions of the plan are necessary to satisfy the requirements of this section or this subpart, the owner or operator must promptly make all necessary revisions and resubmit the revised plan. If the owner or operator determines that any other revisions of the OM&M plan are necessary, such revisions will not become effective until the owner or operator submits a description of the changes and a revised plan incorporating them to the permitting authority. Each plan must contain the following information:

- (1) Process and control device parameters to be monitored to determine compliance, along with established operating levels or ranges, as applicable, for each process and control device.
- (2) A monitoring schedule for each affected source and emission unit.
- (3) Procedures for the proper operation and maintenance of each process unit and add-on control device used to meet the applicable emission limits or standards in §63.1505.
- (4) Procedures for the proper operation and maintenance of monitoring devices or systems used to determine compliance, including:
 - (i) Calibration and certification of accuracy of each monitoring device, at least once every 6 months, according to the manufacturer's instructions; and
 - (ii) Procedures for the quality control and quality assurance of continuous emission or opacity monitoring systems as required by the general provisions in subpart A of this part.
- (5) Procedures for monitoring process and control device parameters, including procedures for annual inspections of afterburners, and if applicable, the procedure to be used for determining charge/feed (or throughput) weight if a measurement device is not used.
- (6) Corrective actions to be taken when process or operating parameters or add-on control device parameters deviate from the value or range established in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, including:
 - (i) Procedures to determine and record the cause of any deviation or excursion, and the time the deviation or excursion began and ended; and

(ii) Procedures for recording the corrective action taken, the time corrective action was initiated, and the time/date corrective action was completed.

(7) A maintenance schedule for each process and control device that is consistent with the manufacturer's instructions and recommendations for routine and long-term maintenance.

(8) Documentation of the work practice and pollution prevention measures used to achieve compliance with the applicable emission limits and a site-specific monitoring plan as required in paragraph (o) of this section for each group 1 furnace not equipped with an add-on air pollution control device.

(c) *Labeling.* The owner or operator must inspect the labels for each group 1 furnace, group 2 furnace, in-line fluxer and scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln at least once per calendar month to confirm that posted labels as required by the operational standard in §63.1506(b) are intact and legible.

(d) *Capture/collection system.* The owner or operator must:

(1) Install, operate, and maintain a capture/collection system for each affected source and emission unit equipped with an add-on air pollution control device; and

(2) Inspect each capture/collection and closed vent system at least once each calendar year to ensure that each system is operating in accordance with the operating requirements in §63.1506(c) and record the results of each inspection.

(e) *Feed/charge weight.* The owner or operator of an affected source or emission unit subject to an emission limit in kg/Mg (lb/ton) or $\mu\text{g/Mg}$ (gr/ton) of feed/charge must install, calibrate, operate, and maintain a device to measure and record the total weight of feed/charge to, or the aluminum production from, the affected source or emission unit over the same operating cycle or time period used in the performance test. Feed/charge or aluminum production within SAPUs must be measured and recorded on an emission unit-by-emission unit basis. As an alternative to a measurement device, the owner or operator may use a procedure acceptable to the applicable permitting authority to determine the total weight of feed/charge or aluminum production to the affected source or emission unit.

(1) The accuracy of the weight measurement device or procedure must be ± 1 percent of the weight being measured. The owner or operator may apply to the permitting agency for approval to use a device of alternative accuracy if the required accuracy cannot be achieved as a result of equipment layout or charging practices. A device of alternative accuracy will not be approved unless the owner or operator provides assurance through data and information that the affected source will meet the relevant emission standard.

(2) The owner or operator must verify the calibration of the weight measurement device in accordance with the schedule specified by the manufacturer, or if no calibration schedule is specified, at least once every 6 months.

(f) *Fabric filters and lime-injected fabric filters.* The owner or operator of an affected source or emission unit using a fabric filter or lime-injected fabric filter to comply with the requirements of this subpart must install, calibrate, maintain, and continuously operate a bag leak detection system as required in paragraph (f)(1) of this section or a continuous opacity monitoring system as required in paragraph (f)(2) of this section. The owner or operator of an aluminum scrap shredder must install and operate a bag leak detection system as required in paragraph (f)(1) of this section, install and operate a continuous opacity monitoring system as required in paragraph (f)(2) of this section, or conduct visible emission observations as required in paragraph (f)(3) of this section.

(1) These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a new or existing affected source or existing emission unit using a bag leak detection system.

(i) The owner or operator must install and operate a bag leak detection system for each exhaust stack of a fabric filter.

(ii) Each triboelectric bag leak detection system must be installed, calibrated, operated, and maintained according to the "Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Guidance," (September 1997). This document is available from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards; Emissions, Monitoring and Analysis Division; Emission Measurement Center (MD-19), Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. This document also is available on the Technology Transfer Network (TTN) under Emission Measurement Technical Information (EMTIC), Continuous Emission Monitoring. Other bag leak detection systems must be installed, operated, calibrated, and maintained in a manner consistent with the manufacturer's written specifications and recommendations.

(iii) The bag leak detection system must be certified by the manufacturer to be capable of detecting PM emissions at concentrations of 10 milligrams per actual cubic meter (0.0044 grains per actual cubic foot) or less.

(iv) The bag leak detection system sensor must provide output of relative or absolute PM loadings.

(v) The bag leak detection system must be equipped with a device to continuously record the output signal from the sensor.

(vi) The bag leak detection system must be equipped with an alarm system that will sound automatically when an increase in relative PM emissions over a preset level is detected. The alarm must be located where it is easily heard by plant operating personnel.

(vii) For positive pressure fabric filter systems, a bag leak detection system must be installed in each baghouse compartment or cell. For negative pressure or induced air fabric filters, the bag leak detector must be installed downstream of the fabric filter.

(viii) Where multiple detectors are required, the system's instrumentation and alarm may be shared among detectors.

(ix) The baseline output must be established by adjusting the range and the averaging period of the device and establishing the alarm set points and the alarm delay time.

(x) Following initial adjustment of the system, the owner or operator must not adjust the sensitivity or range, averaging period, alarm set points, or alarm delay time except as detailed in the OM&M plan. In no case may the sensitivity be increased by more than 100 percent or decreased more than 50 percent over a 365-day period unless such adjustment follows a complete fabric filter inspection which demonstrates that the fabric filter is in good operating condition.

(2) These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a new or existing affected source or an existing emission unit using a continuous opacity monitoring system.

(i) The owner or operator must install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous opacity monitoring system to measure and record the opacity of emissions exiting each exhaust stack.

(ii) Each continuous opacity monitoring system must meet the design and installation requirements of Performance Specification 1 in appendix B to 40 CFR part 60.

(3) These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a new or existing aluminum scrap shredder who conducts visible emission observations. The owner or operator must:

(i) Perform a visible emissions test for each aluminum scrap shredder using a certified observer at least once a day according to the requirements of Method 9 in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60. Each Method 9 test must consist of five 6-minute observations in a 30-minute period; and

(ii) Record the results of each test.

(g) *Afterburner*. These requirements apply to the owner or operator of an affected source using an afterburner to comply with the requirements of this subpart.

(1) The owner or operator must install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a device to continuously monitor and record the operating temperature of the afterburner consistent with the requirements for continuous monitoring systems in subpart A of this part.

(2) The temperature monitoring device must meet each of these performance and equipment specifications:

(i) The temperature monitoring device must be installed at the exit of the combustion zone of each afterburner.

(ii) The monitoring system must record the temperature in 15-minute block averages and determine and record the average temperature for each 3-hour block period.

(iii) The recorder response range must include zero and 1.5 times the average temperature established according to the requirements in §63.1512(m).

(iv) The reference method must be a National Institute of Standards and Technology calibrated reference thermocouple-potentiometer system or alternate reference, subject to approval by the Administrator.

(3) The owner or operator must conduct an inspection of each afterburner at least once a year and record the results. At a minimum, an inspection must include:

(i) Inspection of all burners, pilot assemblies, and pilot sensing devices for proper operation and clean pilot sensor;

(ii) Inspection for proper adjustment of combustion air;

(iii) Inspection of internal structures (*e.g.*, baffles) to ensure structural integrity;

(iv) Inspection of dampers, fans, and blowers for proper operation;

(v) Inspection for proper sealing;

(vi) Inspection of motors for proper operation;

(vii) Inspection of combustion chamber refractory lining and clean and replace lining as necessary;

(viii) Inspection of afterburner shell for corrosion and/or hot spots;

(ix) Documentation, for the burn cycle that follows the inspection, that the afterburner is operating properly and any necessary adjustments have been made; and

(x) Verification that the equipment is maintained in good operating condition.

(xi) Following an equipment inspection, all necessary repairs must be completed in accordance with the requirements of the OM&M plan.

(h) *Fabric filter inlet temperature.* These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln or a group 1 furnace using a lime-injected fabric filter to comply with the requirements of this subpart.

(1) The owner or operator must install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a device to continuously monitor and record the temperature of the fabric filter inlet gases consistent with the requirements for continuous monitoring systems in subpart A of this part.

(2) The temperature monitoring device must meet each of these performance and equipment specifications:

(i) The monitoring system must record the temperature in 15-minute block averages and calculate and record the average temperature for each 3-hour block period.

(ii) The recorder response range must include zero and 1.5 times the average temperature established according to the requirements in §63.1512(n).

(iii) The reference method must be a National Institute of Standards and Technology calibrated reference thermocouple-potentiometer system or alternate reference, subject to approval by the Administrator.

(i) *Lime injection.* These requirements apply to the owner or operator of an affected source or emission unit using a lime-injected fabric filter to comply with the requirements of this subpart.

(1) The owner or operator of a continuous lime injection system must verify that lime is always free-flowing by either:

(i) Inspecting each feed hopper or silo at least once each 8-hour period and recording the results of each inspection. If lime is found not to be free-flowing during any of the 8-hour periods, the owner or operator must increase the frequency of inspections to at least once every 4-hour period for the next 3 days. The owner or operator may return to inspections at least once every 8 hour period if corrective action results in no further blockages of lime during the 3-day period; or

(ii) Subject to the approval of the permitting agency, installing, operating and maintaining a load cell, carrier gas/lime flow indicator, carrier gas pressure drop measurement system or other system to confirm that lime is free-flowing. If lime is found not to be free-flowing, the owner or operator must promptly initiate and complete corrective action, or

(iii) Subject to the approval of the permitting agency, installing, operating and maintaining a device to monitor the concentration of HCl at the outlet of the fabric filter. If an increase in the concentration of HCl indicates that the lime is not free-flowing, the owner or operator must promptly initiate and complete corrective action.

(2) The owner or operator of a continuous lime injection system must record the lime feeder setting once each day of operation.

(3) An owner or operator who intermittently adds lime to a lime coated fabric filter must obtain approval from the permitting authority for a lime addition monitoring procedure. The permitting authority will not approve a monitoring procedure unless data and information are submitted establishing that the procedure is adequate to ensure that relevant emission standards will be met on a continuous basis.

(j) *Total reactive flux injection rate.* These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a group 1 furnace (with or without add-on air pollution control devices) or in-line fluxer. The owner or operator must:

(1) Install, calibrate, operate, and maintain a device to continuously measure and record the weight of gaseous or liquid reactive flux injected to each affected source or emission unit.

(i) The monitoring system must record the weight for each 15-minute block period, during which reactive fluxing occurs, over the same operating cycle or time period used in the performance test.

(ii) The accuracy of the weight measurement device must be ± 1 percent of the weight of the reactive component of the flux being measured. The owner or operator may apply to the permitting authority for permission to use a weight measurement device of alternative accuracy in cases where the reactive flux flow rates are so low as to make the use of a weight measurement device of ± 1 percent impracticable. A device of alternative accuracy will not be approved unless the owner or operator provides assurance through data and information that the affected source will meet the relevant emission standards.

(iii) The owner or operator must verify the calibration of the weight measurement device in accordance with the schedule specified by the manufacturer, or if no calibration schedule is specified, at least once every 6 months.

(2) Calculate and record the gaseous or liquid reactive flux injection rate (kg/Mg or lb/ton) for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test using the procedure in §63.1512(o).

(3) Record, for each 15-minute block period during each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test during which reactive fluxing occurs, the time, weight, and type of flux for each addition of:

(i) Gaseous or liquid reactive flux other than chlorine; and

(ii) Solid reactive flux.

(4) Calculate and record the total reactive flux injection rate for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test using the procedure in §63.1512(o).

(5) The owner or operator of a group 1 furnace or in-line fluxer performing reactive fluxing may apply to the Administrator for approval of an alternative method for monitoring and recording the total reactive flux addition rate based on monitoring the weight or quantity of reactive flux per ton of feed/charge for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test. An alternative monitoring method will not be approved unless the owner or operator provides assurance through data and information that the affected source will meet the relevant emission standards on a continuous basis.

(k) *Thermal chip dryer*. These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a thermal chip dryer with emissions controlled by an afterburner. The owner or operator must:

(1) Record the type of materials charged to the unit for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test.

(2) Submit a certification of compliance with the applicable operational standard for charge materials in §63.1506(f)(3) for each 6-month reporting period. Each certification must contain the information in §63.1516(b)(2)(i).

(1) *Dross-only furnace.* These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a dross-only furnace. The owner or operator must:

(1) Record the materials charged to each unit for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test.

(2) Submit a certification of compliance with the applicable operational standard for charge materials in §63.1506(i)(3) for each 6-month reporting period. Each certification must contain the information in §63.1516(b)(2)(ii).

(m) *In-line fluxers using no reactive flux.* The owner or operator of an in-line fluxer that uses no reactive flux materials must submit a certification of compliance with the operational standard for no reactive flux materials in §63.1506(l) for each 6-month reporting period. Each certification must contain the information in §63.1516(b)(2)(vi).

(n) *Sidewell group 1 furnace with add-on air pollution control devices.* These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a sidewell group 1 furnace using add-on air pollution control devices. The owner or operator must:

(1) Record in an operating log for each charge of a sidewell furnace that the level of molten metal was above the top of the passage between the sidewell and hearth during reactive flux injection, unless the furnace hearth was also equipped with an add-on control device.

(2) Submit a certification of compliance with the operational standards in §63.1506(m)(7) for each 6-month reporting period. Each certification must contain the information in §63.1516(b)(2)(iii).

(o) *Group 1 furnace without add-on air pollution control devices.* These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a group 1 furnace that is not equipped with an add-on air pollution control device.

(1) The owner or operator must develop, in consultation with the responsible permitting authority, a written site-specific monitoring plan. The site-specific monitoring plan must be submitted to the permitting authority as part of the OM&M plan. The site-specific monitoring plan must contain sufficient procedures to ensure continuing compliance with all applicable emission limits and must demonstrate, based on documented test results, the relationship between emissions of PM, HCl, and D/F and the proposed monitoring parameters for each pollutant. Test data must establish the highest level of PM, HCl, and D/F that will be emitted from the furnace. This may be determined by conducting performance tests and monitoring operating parameters while charging the furnace with feed/charge materials containing the highest anticipated levels of oils and coatings and fluxing at the highest anticipated rate. If the permitting authority determines that any revisions of the site-specific monitoring plan are

necessary to meet the requirements of this section or this subpart, the owner or operator must promptly make all necessary revisions and resubmit the revised plan to the permitting authority.

(i) The owner or operator of an existing affected source must submit the site-specific monitoring plan to the applicable permitting authority for review at least 6 months prior to the compliance date.

(ii) The permitting authority will review and approve or disapprove a proposed plan, or request changes to a plan, based on whether the plan contains sufficient provisions to ensure continuing compliance with applicable emission limits and demonstrates, based on documented test results, the relationship between emissions of PM, HCl, and D/F and the proposed monitoring parameters for each pollutant. Test data must establish the highest level of PM, HCl, and D/F that will be emitted from the furnace. Subject to permitting agency approval of the OM&M plan, this may be determined by conducting performance tests and monitoring operating parameters while charging the furnace with feed/charge materials containing the highest anticipated levels of oils and coatings and fluxing at the highest anticipated rate.

(2) Each site-specific monitoring plan must document each work practice, equipment/design practice, pollution prevention practice, or other measure used to meet the applicable emission standards.

(3) Each site-specific monitoring plan must include provisions for unit labeling as required in paragraph (c) of this section, feed/charge weight measurement (or production weight measurement) as required in paragraph (e) of this section and flux weight measurement as required in paragraph (j) of this section.

(4) Each site-specific monitoring plan for a melting/holding furnace subject to the clean charge emission standard in §63.1505(i)(3) must include these requirements:

(i) The owner or operator must record the type of feed/ charge (*e.g.* , ingot, thermally dried chips, dried scrap, etc.) for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test; and

(ii) The owner or operator must submit a certification of compliance with the applicable operational standard for clean charge materials in §63.1506(n)(3) for each 6-month reporting period. Each certification must contain the information in §63.1516(b)(2)(iv).

(5) If a continuous emission monitoring system is included in a site-specific monitoring plan, the plan must include provisions for the installation, operation, and maintenance of the system to provide quality-assured measurements in accordance with all applicable requirements of the general provisions in subpart A of this part.

(6) If a continuous opacity monitoring system is included in a site-specific monitoring plan, the plan must include provisions for the installation, operation, and maintenance of the system to provide quality-assured measurements in accordance with all applicable requirements of this subpart.

(7) If a site-specific monitoring plan includes a scrap inspection program for monitoring the scrap contaminant level of furnace feed/charge materials, the plan must include provisions for the demonstration and implementation of the program in accordance with all applicable requirements in paragraph (p) of this section.

(8) If a site-specific monitoring plan includes a calculation method for monitoring the scrap contaminant level of furnace feed/charge materials, the plan must include provisions for the demonstration and implementation of the program in accordance with all applicable requirements in paragraph (q) of this section.

(p) Scrap inspection program for group 1 furnace without add-on air pollution control devices.
A scrap inspection program must include:

(1) A proven method for collecting representative samples and measuring the oil and coatings content of scrap samples;

(2) A scrap inspector training program;

(3) An established correlation between visual inspection and physical measurement of oil and coatings content of scrap samples;

(4) Periodic physical measurements of oil and coatings content of randomly-selected scrap samples and comparison with visual inspection results;

(5) A system for assuring that only acceptable scrap is charged to an affected group 1 furnace; and

(6) Recordkeeping requirements to document conformance with plan requirements.

(q) Monitoring of scrap contamination level by calculation method for group 1 furnace without add-on air pollution control devices. The owner or operator of a group 1 furnace dedicated to processing a distinct type of furnace feed/charge composed of scrap with a uniform composition (such as rejected product from a manufacturing process for which the coating-to-scrap ratio can be documented) may include a program in the site-specific monitoring plan for determining, monitoring, and certifying the scrap contaminant level using a calculation method rather than a scrap inspection program. A scrap contaminant monitoring program using a calculation method must include:

(1) Procedures for the characterization and documentation of the contaminant level of the scrap prior to the performance test.

(2) Limitations on the furnace feed/charge to scrap of the same composition as that used in the performance test. If the performance test was conducted with a mixture of scrap and clean charge, limitations on the proportion of scrap in the furnace feed/charge to no greater than the proportion used during the performance test.

(3) Operating, monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements to ensure that no scrap with a contaminant level higher than that used in the performance test is charged to the furnace.

(r) *Group 2 furnace.* These requirements apply to the owner or operator of a new or existing group 2 furnace. The owner or operator must:

(1) Record a description of the materials charged to each furnace, including any nonreactive, non-HAP-containing/non-HAP-generating fluxing materials or agents.

(2) Submit a certification of compliance with the applicable operational standard for charge materials in §63.1506(o) for each 6-month reporting period. Each certification must contain the information in §63.1516(b)(2)(v).

(s) *Site-specific requirements for secondary aluminum processing units.* (1) An owner or operator of a secondary aluminum processing unit at a facility must include, within the OM&M plan prepared in accordance with §63.1510(b), the following information:

(i) The identification of each emission unit in the secondary aluminum processing unit;

(ii) The specific control technology or pollution prevention measure to be used for each emission unit in the secondary aluminum processing unit and the date of its installation or application;

(iii) The emission limit calculated for each secondary aluminum processing unit and performance test results with supporting calculations demonstrating initial compliance with each applicable emission limit;

(iv) Information and data demonstrating compliance for each emission unit with all applicable design, equipment, work practice or operational standards of this subpart; and

(v) The monitoring requirements applicable to each emission unit in a secondary aluminum processing unit and the monitoring procedures for daily calculation of the 3-day, 24-hour rolling average using the procedure in §63.1510(t).

(2) The SAPU compliance procedures within the OM&M plan may not contain any of the following provisions:

(i) Any averaging among emissions of differing pollutants;

(ii) The inclusion of any affected sources other than emission units in a secondary aluminum processing unit;

(iii) The inclusion of any emission unit while it is shutdown; or

(iv) The inclusion of any periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction in emission calculations.

(3) To revise the SAPU compliance provisions within the OM&M plan prior to the end of the permit term, the owner or operator must submit a request to the applicable permitting authority containing the information required by paragraph (s)(1) of this section and obtain approval of the applicable permitting authority prior to implementing any revisions.

(t) *Secondary aluminum processing unit.* Except as provided in paragraph (u) of this section, the owner or operator must calculate and record the 3-day, 24-hour rolling average emissions of PM, HCl, and D/F for each secondary aluminum processing unit on a daily basis. To calculate the 3-day, 24-hour rolling average, the owner or operator must:

(1) Calculate and record the total weight of material charged to each emission unit in the secondary aluminum processing unit for each 24-hour day of operation using the feed/charge weight information required in paragraph (e) of this section. If the owner or operator chooses to comply on the basis of weight of aluminum produced by the emission unit, rather than weight of material charged to the emission unit, all performance test emissions results and all calculations must be conducted on the aluminum production weight basis.

(2) Multiply the total feed/charge weight to the emission unit, or the weight of aluminum produced by the emission unit, for each emission unit for the 24-hour period by the emission rate (in lb/ton of feed/charge) for that emission unit (as determined during the performance test) to provide emissions for each emission unit for the 24-hour period, in pounds.

(3) Divide the total emissions for each SAPU for the 24-hour period by the total material charged to the SAPU, or the weight of aluminum produced by the SAPU over the 24-hour period to provide the daily emission rate for the SAPU.

(4) Compute the 24-hour daily emission rate using Equation 4:

$$E_{\text{day}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i \times ER_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i} \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

Where,

E_{day} = The daily PM, HCl, or D/F emission rate for the secondary aluminum processing unit for the 24-hour period;

T_i = The total amount of feed, or aluminum produced, for emission unit i for the 24-hour period (tons or Mg);

ER_i = The measured emission rate for emission unit i as determined in the performance test (lb/ton or $\mu\text{g}/\text{Mg}$ of feed/charge); and

n = The number of emission units in the secondary aluminum processing unit.

(5) Calculate and record the 3-day, 24-hour rolling average for each pollutant each day by summing the daily emission rates for each pollutant over the 3 most recent consecutive days and dividing by 3.

(u) *Secondary aluminum processing unit compliance by individual emission unit demonstration.* As an alternative to the procedures of paragraph (t) of this section, an owner or operator may demonstrate, through performance tests, that each individual emission unit within the secondary aluminum production unit is in compliance with the applicable emission limits for the emission unit.

(v) *Alternative monitoring method for lime addition.* The owner or operator of a lime-coated fabric filter that employs intermittent or noncontinuous lime addition may apply to the Administrator for approval of an alternative method for monitoring the lime addition schedule and rate based on monitoring the weight of lime added per ton of feed/charge for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test. An alternative monitoring method will not be approved unless the owner or operator provides assurance through data and information that the affected source will meet the relevant emission standards on a continuous basis.

(w) *Alternative monitoring methods.* If an owner or operator wishes to use an alternative monitoring method to demonstrate compliance with any emission standard in this subpart, other than those alternative monitoring methods which may be authorized pursuant to §63.1510(j)(5) and §63.1510(v), the owner or operator may submit an application to the Administrator. Any such application will be processed according to the criteria and procedures set forth in paragraphs (w)(1) through (6) of this section.

(1) The Administrator will not approve averaging periods other than those specified in this section.

(2) The owner or operator must continue to use the original monitoring requirement until necessary data are submitted and approval is received to use another monitoring procedure.

(3) The owner or operator shall submit the application for approval of alternate monitoring methods no later than the notification of the performance test. The application must contain the information specified in paragraphs (w)(3) (i) through (iii) of this section:

(i) Data or information justifying the request, such as the technical or economic infeasibility, or the impracticality of using the required approach;

(ii) A description of the proposed alternative monitoring requirements, including the operating parameters to be monitored, the monitoring approach and technique, and how the limit is to be calculated; and

(iii) Data and information documenting that the alternative monitoring requirement(s) would provide equivalent or better assurance of compliance with the relevant emission standard(s).

(4) The Administrator will not approve an alternate monitoring application unless it would provide equivalent or better assurance of compliance with the relevant emission standard(s). Before disapproving any alternate monitoring application, the Administrator will provide:

- (i) Notice of the information and findings upon which the intended disapproval is based; and
- (ii) Notice of opportunity for the owner or operator to present additional supporting information before final action is taken on the application. This notice will specify how much additional time is allowed for the owner or operator to provide additional supporting information.

(5) The owner or operator is responsible for submitting any supporting information in a timely manner to enable the Administrator to consider the application prior to the performance test. Neither submittal of an application nor the Administrator's failure to approve or disapprove the application relieves the owner or operator of the responsibility to comply with any provisions of this subpart.

(6) The Administrator may decide at any time, on a case-by-case basis, that additional or alternative operating limits, or alternative approaches to establishing operating limits, are necessary to demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of this subpart.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 59792, Sept. 24, 2002; 67 FR 79816, Dec. 30, 2002; 69 FR 53984, Sept. 3, 2004]

§ 63.1511 Performance test/compliance demonstration general requirements.

(a) *Site-specific test plan.* Prior to conducting any performance test required by this subpart, the owner or operator must prepare a site-specific test plan which satisfies all of the requirements, and must obtain approval of the plan pursuant to the procedures, set forth in §63.7(c).

(b) *Initial performance test.* Following approval of the site-specific test plan, the owner or operator must demonstrate initial compliance with each applicable emission, equipment, work practice, or operational standard for each affected source and emission unit, and report the results in the notification of compliance status report as described in §63.1515(b). The owner or operator of any existing affected source for which an initial performance test is required to demonstrate compliance must conduct this initial performance test no later than the date for compliance established by §63.1501(a). The owner or operator of any new affected source for which an initial performance test is required must conduct this initial performance test within 90 days after the date for compliance established by §63.1501(b). Except for the date by which the performance test must be conducted, the owner or operator must conduct each performance test in accordance with the requirements and procedures set forth in §63.7(c). Owners or operators of affected sources located at facilities which are area sources are subject only to those performance testing requirements pertaining to D/F. Owners or operators of sweat furnaces meeting the specifications of §63.1505(f)(1) are not required to conduct a performance test.

- (1) The owner or operator must conduct each test while the affected source or emission unit is operating at the highest production level with charge materials representative of the range of materials processed by the unit and, if applicable, at the highest reactive fluxing rate.
 - (2) Each performance test for a continuous process must consist of 3 separate runs; pollutant sampling for each run must be conducted for the time period specified in the applicable method or, in the absence of a specific time period in the test method, for a minimum of 3 hours.
 - (3) Each performance test for a batch process must consist of three separate runs; pollutant sampling for each run must be conducted over the entire process operating cycle.
 - (4) Where multiple affected sources or emission units are exhausted through a common stack, pollutant sampling for each run must be conducted over a period of time during which all affected sources or emission units complete at least 1 entire process operating cycle or for 24 hours, whichever is shorter.
 - (5) Initial compliance with an applicable emission limit or standard is demonstrated if the average of three runs conducted during the performance test is less than or equal to the applicable emission limit or standard.
- (c) *Test methods.* The owner or operator must use the following methods in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60 to determine compliance with the applicable emission limits or standards:
- (1) Method 1 for sample and velocity traverses.
 - (2) Method 2 for velocity and volumetric flow rate.
 - (3) Method 3 for gas analysis.
 - (4) Method 4 for moisture content of the stack gas.
 - (5) Method 5 for the concentration of PM.
 - (6) Method 9 for visible emission observations.
 - (7) Method 23 for the concentration of D/F.
 - (8) Method 25A for the concentration of THC, as propane.
 - (9) Method 26A for the concentration of HCl. Where a lime-injected fabric filter is used as the control device to comply with the 90 percent reduction standard, the owner or operator must measure the fabric filter inlet concentration of HCl at a point before lime is introduced to the system.
- (d) *Alternative methods.* The owner or operator may use an alternative test method, subject to approval by the Administrator.

(e) *Repeat tests.* The owner or operator of new or existing affected sources and emission units located at secondary aluminum production facilities that are major sources must conduct a performance test every 5 years following the initial performance test.

(f) *Testing of representative emission units.* With the prior approval of the permitting authority, an owner or operator may utilize emission rates obtained by testing a particular type of group 1 furnace which is not controlled by any add-on control device, or by testing an in-line flux box which is not controlled by any add-on control device, to determine the emission rate for other units of the same type at the same facility. Such emission test results may only be considered to be representative of other units if all of the following criteria are satisfied:

- (1) The tested emission unit must use feed materials and charge rates which are comparable to the emission units that it represents;
- (2) The tested emission unit must use the same type of flux materials in the same proportions as the emission units it represents;
- (3) The tested emission unit must be operated utilizing the same work practices as the emission units that it represents;
- (4) The tested emission unit must be of the same design as the emission units that it represents; and
- (5) The tested emission unit must be tested under the highest load or capacity reasonably expected to occur for any of the emission units that it represents.

(g) *Establishment of monitoring and operating parameter values.* The owner or operator of new or existing affected sources and emission units must establish a minimum or maximum operating parameter value, or an operating parameter range for each parameter to be monitored as required by §63.1510 that ensures compliance with the applicable emission limit or standard. To establish the minimum or maximum value or range, the owner or operator must use the appropriate procedures in this section and submit the information required by §63.1515(b)(4) in the notification of compliance status report. The owner or operator may use existing data in addition to the results of performance tests to establish operating parameter values for compliance monitoring provided each of the following conditions are met to the satisfaction of the applicable permitting authority:

- (1) The complete emission test report(s) used as the basis of the parameter(s) is submitted.
- (2) The same test methods and procedures as required by this subpart were used in the test.
- (3) The owner or operator certifies that no design or work practice changes have been made to the source, process, or emission control equipment since the time of the report.
- (4) All process and control equipment operating parameters required to be monitored were monitored as required in this subpart and documented in the test report.

(h) *Testing of commonly-ducted units within a secondary aluminum processing unit.* When group 1 furnaces and/or in-line fluxers are included in a single existing SAPU or new SAPU, and the emissions from more than one emission unit within that existing SAPU or new SAPU are manifolded to a single control device, compliance for all units within the SAPU is demonstrated if the total measured emissions from all controlled and uncontrolled units in the SAPU do not exceed the emission limits calculated for that SAPU based on the applicable equation in §63.1505(k).

(i) *Testing of commonly-ducted units not within a secondary aluminum processing unit.* With the prior approval of the permitting authority, an owner or operator may do combined performance testing of two or more individual affected sources or emission units which are not included in a single existing SAPU or new SAPU, but whose emissions are manifolded to a single control device. Any such performance testing of commonly-ducted units must satisfy the following basic requirements:

(1) All testing must be designed to verify that each affected source or emission unit individually satisfies all emission requirements applicable to that affected source or emission unit;

(2) All emissions of pollutants subject to a standard must be tested at the outlet from each individual affected source or emission unit while operating under the highest load or capacity reasonably expected to occur, and prior to the point that the emissions are manifolded together with emissions from other affected sources or emission units;

(3) The combined emissions from all affected sources and emission units which are manifolded to a single emission control device must be tested at the outlet of the emission control device;

(4) All tests at the outlet of the emission control device must be conducted with all affected sources and emission units whose emissions are manifolded to the control device operating simultaneously under the highest load or capacity reasonably expected to occur; and

(5) For purposes of demonstrating compliance of a commonly-ducted unit with any emission limit for a particular type of pollutant, the emissions of that pollutant by the individual unit shall be presumed to be controlled by the same percentage as total emissions of that pollutant from all commonly-ducted units are controlled at the outlet of the emission control device.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 59792, Sept. 24, 2002; 67 FR 79817, Dec. 30, 2002]

§ 63.1512 Performance test/compliance demonstration requirements and procedures.

(a) *Aluminum scrap shredder.* The owner or operator must conduct performance tests to measure PM emissions at the outlet of the control system. If visible emission observations is the selected monitoring option, the owner or operator must record visible emission observations from each exhaust stack for all consecutive 6-minute periods during the PM emission test according to the requirements of Method 9 in appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.

(b) *Thermal chip dryer.* The owner or operator must conduct a performance test to measure THC and D/F emissions at the outlet of the control device while the unit processes only unpainted aluminum chips.

(c) *Scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln.* The owner or operator must conduct performance tests to measure emissions of THC, D/F, HCl, and PM at the outlet of the control device.

(1) If the scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln is subject to the alternative emission limits in §63.1505(e), the average afterburner operating temperature in each 3-hour block period must be maintained at or above 760 °C (1400 °F) for the test.

(2) The owner or operator of a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln subject to the alternative limits in §63.1505(e) must submit a written certification in the notification of compliance status report containing the information required by §63.1515(b)(7).

(d) *Group 1 furnace with add-on air pollution control devices.* (1) The owner or operator of a group 1 furnace that processes scrap other than clean charge materials with emissions controlled by a lime-injected fabric filter must conduct performance tests to measure emissions of PM and D/F at the outlet of the control device and emissions of HCl at the outlet (for the emission limit) or the inlet and the outlet (for the percent reduction standard).

(2) The owner or operator of a group 1 furnace that processes only clean charge materials with emissions controlled by a lime-injected fabric filter must conduct performance tests to measure emissions of PM at the outlet of the control device and emissions of HCl at the outlet (for the emission limit) or the inlet and the outlet (for the percent reduction standard).

(3) The owner or operator may choose to determine the rate of reactive flux addition to the group 1 furnace and assume, for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with the SAPU emission limit, that all reactive flux added to the group 1 furnace is emitted. Under these circumstances, the owner or operator is not required to conduct an emission test for HCl.

(4) The owner or operator of a sidewall group 1 furnace that conducts reactive fluxing (except for cover flux) in the hearth, or that conducts reactive fluxing in the sidewall at times when the level of molten metal falls below the top of the passage between the sidewall and the hearth, must conduct the performance tests required by paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this section, to measure emissions from both the sidewall and the hearth.

(e) *Group 1 furnace (including melting holding furnaces) without add-on air pollution control devices.* In the site-specific monitoring plan required by §63.1510(o), the owner or operator of a group 1 furnace (including a melting/holding furnaces) without add-on air pollution control devices must include data and information demonstrating compliance with the applicable emission limits.

(1) If the group 1 furnace processes other than clean charge material, the owner or operator must conduct emission tests to measure emissions of PM, HCl, and D/F at the furnace exhaust outlet.

(2) If the group 1 furnace processes only clean charge, the owner or operator must conduct emission tests to simultaneously measure emissions of PM and HCl at the furnace exhaust outlet. A D/F test is not required. Each test must be conducted while the group 1 furnace (including a melting/holding furnace) processes only clean charge.

(3) The owner or operator may choose to determine the rate of reactive flux addition to the group 1 furnace and assume, for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with the SAPU emission limit, that all reactive flux added to the group 1 furnace is emitted. Under these circumstances, the owner or operator is not required to conduct an emission test for HCl.

(f) *Sweat furnace.* Except as provided in §63.1505(f)(1), the owner or operator must measure emissions of D/F from each sweat furnace at the outlet of the control device.

(g) *Dross-only furnace.* The owner or operator must conduct a performance test to measure emissions of PM from each dross-only furnace at the outlet of each control device while the unit processes only dross and salt flux as the sole feedstock.

(h) *In-line fluxer.* (1) The owner or operator of an in-line fluxer that uses reactive flux materials must conduct a performance test to measure emissions of HCl and PM or otherwise demonstrate compliance in accordance with paragraph (h)(2) of this section. If the in-line fluxer is equipped with an add-on control device, the emissions must be measured at the outlet of the control device.

(2) The owner or operator may choose to limit the rate at which reactive chlorine flux is added to an in-line fluxer and assume, for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with the SAPU emission limit, that all chlorine in the reactive flux added to the in-line fluxer is emitted as HCl. Under these circumstances, the owner or operator is not required to conduct an emission test for HCl. If the owner or operator of any in-line flux box which has no ventilation ductwork manifolded to any outlet or emission control device chooses to demonstrate compliance with the emission limit for HCl by limiting use of reactive chlorine flux and assuming that all chlorine in the flux is emitted as HCl, compliance with the HCl limit shall also constitute compliance with the emission limit for PM, and no separate emission test for PM is required. In this case, the owner or operator of the unvented in-line flux box must utilize the maximum permissible PM emission rate for the in-line flux boxes when determining the total emissions for any SAPU which includes the flux box.

(i) *Rotary dross cooler.* The owner or operator must conduct a performance test to measure PM emissions at the outlet of the control device.

(j) *Secondary aluminum processing unit.* The owner or operator must conduct performance tests as described in paragraphs (j)(1) through (3) of this section. The results of the performance tests are used to establish emission rates in lb/ton of feed/charge for PM and HCl and μg TEQ/Mg of feed/charge for D/F emissions from each emission unit. These emission rates are used for compliance monitoring in the calculation of the 3-day, 24-hour rolling average emission rates using the equation in §63.1510(t). A performance test is required for:

(1) Each group 1 furnace processing only clean charge to measure emissions of PM and either:

(i) Emissions of HCl (for the emission limit); or

(ii) The mass flow rate of HCl at the inlet to and outlet from the control device (for the percent reduction standard).

(2) Each group 1 furnace that processes scrap other than clean charge to measure emissions of PM and D/F and either:

(i) Emissions of HCl (for the emission limit); or

(ii) The mass flow rate of HCl at the inlet to and outlet from the control device (for the percent reduction standard).

(3) Each in-line fluxer to measure emissions of PM and HCl.

(k) *Feed/charge weight measurement.* During the emission test(s) conducted to determine compliance with emission limits in a kg/Mg (lb/ton) format, the owner or operator of an affected source or emission unit, subject to an emission limit in a kg/Mg (lb/ton) of feed/charge format, must measure (or otherwise determine) and record the total weight of feed/charge to the affected source or emission unit for each of the three test runs and calculate and record the total weight. An owner or operator that chooses to demonstrate compliance on the basis of the aluminum production weight must measure the weight of aluminum produced by the emission unit or affected source instead of the feed/charge weight.

(l) *Continuous opacity monitoring system.* The owner or operator of an affected source or emission unit using a continuous opacity monitoring system must conduct a performance evaluation to demonstrate compliance with Performance Specification 1 in appendix B to 40 CFR part 60. Following the performance evaluation, the owner or operator must measure and record the opacity of emissions from each exhaust stack for all consecutive 6-minute periods during the PM emission test.

(m) *Afterburner.* These requirements apply to the owner or operator of an affected source using an afterburner to comply with the requirements of this subpart.

(1) Prior to the initial performance test, the owner or operator must conduct a performance evaluation for the temperature monitoring device according to the requirements of §63.8.

(2) The owner or operator must use these procedures to establish an operating parameter value or range for the afterburner operating temperature.

(i) Continuously measure and record the operating temperature of each afterburner every 15 minutes during the THC and D/F performance tests;

(ii) Determine and record the 15-minute block average temperatures for the three test runs; and

(iii) Determine and record the 3-hour block average temperature measurements for the 3 test runs.

(n) *Inlet gas temperature.* The owner or operator of a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln or a group 1 furnace using a lime-injected fabric filter must use these procedures to establish an operating parameter value or range for the inlet gas temperature.

(1) Continuously measure and record the temperature at the inlet to the lime-injected fabric filter every 15 minutes during the HCl and D/F performance tests;

(2) Determine and record the 15-minute block average temperatures for the 3 test runs; and

(3) Determine and record the 3-hour block average of the recorded temperature measurements for the 3 test runs.

(o) *Flux injection rate.* The owner or operator must use these procedures to establish an operating parameter value or range for the total reactive chlorine flux injection rate.

(1) Continuously measure and record the weight of gaseous or liquid reactive flux injected for each 15 minute period during the HCl and D/F tests, determine and record the 15-minute block average weights, and calculate and record the total weight of the gaseous or liquid reactive flux for the 3 test runs;

(2) Record the identity, composition, and total weight of each addition of solid reactive flux for the 3 test runs;

(3) Determine the total reactive chlorine flux injection rate by adding the recorded measurement of the total weight of chlorine in the gaseous or liquid reactive flux injected and the total weight of chlorine in the solid reactive flux using Equation 5:

$$W_t = F_1W_1 + F_2W_2 \quad (Eq. 5)$$

Where,

W_t = Total chlorine usage, by weight;

F_1 = Fraction of gaseous or liquid flux that is chlorine;

W_1 = Weight of reactive flux gas injected;

F_2 = Fraction of solid reactive chloride flux that is chlorine (*e.g.*, $F = 0.75$ for magnesium chloride; and

W_2 = Weight of solid reactive flux;

(4) Divide the weight of total chlorine usage (W_t) for the 3 test runs by the recorded measurement of the total weight of feed for the 3 test runs; and

(5) If a solid reactive flux other than magnesium chloride is used, the owner or operator must derive the appropriate proportion factor subject to approval by the applicable permitting authority.

(p) *Lime injection.* The owner or operator of an affected source or emission unit using a lime-injected fabric filter system must use these procedures during the HCl and D/F tests to establish an operating parameter value for the feeder setting for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test.

(1) For continuous lime injection systems, ensure that lime in the feed hopper or silo is free-flowing at all times; and

(2) Record the feeder setting for the 3 test runs. If the feed rate setting varies during the runs, determine and record the average feed rate from the 3 runs.

(q) *Bag leak detection system.* The owner or operator of an affected source or emission unit using a bag leak detection system must submit the information described in §63.1515(b)(6) as part of the notification of compliance status report to document conformance with the specifications and requirements in §63.1510(f).

(r) *Labeling.* The owner or operator of each scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln, group 1 furnace, group 2 furnace and in-line fluxer must submit the information described in §63.1515(b)(3) as part of the notification of compliance status report to document conformance with the operational standard in §63.1506(b).

(s) *Capture/collection system.* The owner or operator of a new or existing affected source or emission unit with an add-on control device must submit the information described in §63.1515(b)(2) as part of the notification of compliance status report to document conformance with the operational standard in §63.1506(c).

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 79817, Dec. 30, 2002; 69 FR 53984, Sept. 3, 2004]

§ 63.1513 Equations for determining compliance.

(a) *THC emission limit.* Use Equation 6 to determine compliance with an emission limit for THC:

$$E = \frac{C \times MW \times Q \times K_1 \times K_2}{M_v \times P \times 10^6} \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

Where,

E = Emission rate of measured pollutant, kg/Mg (lb/ton) of feed;

C = Measured volume fraction of pollutant, ppmv;

MW = Molecular weight of measured pollutant, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole): THC (as propane) = 44.11;

Q = Volumetric flow rate of exhaust gases, dscm/hr (dscf/hr);

K₁ = Conversion factor, 1 kg/1,000 g (1 lb/lb);

K₂ = Conversion factor, 1,000 L/m³ (1 ft³ /ft³);

M_v = Molar volume, 24.45 L/g-mole (385.3 ft³ /lb-mole); and

P = Production rate, Mg/hr (ton/hr).

(b) *PM, HCl and D/F emission limits.* (1) Use Equation 7 of this section to determine compliance with an emission limit for PM or HCl:

$$E = \frac{C \times Q \times K_1}{P} \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

Where:

E = Emission rate of PM or HCl, kg/Mg (lb/ton) of feed;

C = Concentration of PM or HCl, g/dscm (gr/dscf);

Q = Volumetric flow rate of exhaust gases, dscm/hr (dscf/hr);

K₁ = Conversion factor, 1 kg/1,000 g (1 lb/7,000 gr); and

P = Production rate, Mg/hr (ton/hr).

(2) Use Equation 7A of this section to determine compliance with an emission limit for D/F:

$$E = \frac{C \times Q}{P} \quad (\text{Eq. 7A})$$

Where:

E = Emission rate of D/F, µg/Mg (gr/ton) of feed;

C = Concentration of D/F, µg/dscm (gr/dscf);

Q = Volumetric flow rate of exhaust gases, dscm/hr (dscf/hr); and

P = Production rate, Mg/hr (ton/hr).

(c) *HCl percent reduction standard.* Use Equation 8 to determine compliance with an HCl percent reduction standard:

$$\%R = \frac{L_i - L_o}{L_i} \times 100 \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$

Where,

%R = Percent reduction of the control device;

L_i = Inlet loading of pollutant, kg/Mg (lb/ton); and

L_o = Outlet loading of pollutant, kg/Mg (lb/ton).

(d) *Conversion of D/F measurements to TEQ units.* To convert D/F measurements to TEQ units, the owner or operator must use the procedures and equations in “Interim Procedures for Estimating Risks Associated with Exposures to Mixtures of Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins and -Dibenzofurans (CDDs and CDFs) and 1989 Update” (EPA-625/3-89-016), incorporated by reference in §63.1502 of this subpart, available from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia, NTIS no. PB 90-145756.

(e) *Secondary aluminum processing unit.* Use the procedures in paragraphs (e)(1), (2), and (3) or the procedure in paragraph (e)(4) of this section to determine compliance with emission limits for a secondary aluminum processing unit.

(1) Use Equation 9 to compute the mass-weighted PM emissions for a secondary aluminum processing unit. Compliance is achieved if the mass-weighted emissions for the secondary aluminum processing unit (E_{cPM}) is less than or equal to the emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit (L_{cPM}) calculated using Equation 1 in §63.1505(k).

$$E_{cPM} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (E_{tiPM} \times T_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i)} \quad (\text{Eq. 9})$$

Where,

E_{cPM} = The mass-weighted PM emissions for the secondary aluminum processing unit;

E_{tiPM} = Measured PM emissions for individual emission unit i;

T_{ti} = The average feed rate for individual emission unit i during the operating cycle or performance test period; and

n = The number of emission units in the secondary aluminum processing unit.

(2) Use Equation 10 to compute the aluminum mass-weighted HCl emissions for the secondary aluminum processing unit. Compliance is achieved if the mass-weighted emissions for the secondary aluminum processing unit (E_{cHCl}) is less than or equal to the emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit (L_{cHCl}) calculated using Equation 2 in §63.1505(k).

$$E_{cHCl} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (E_{tiHCl} \times T_{ti})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{ti})} \quad (Eq. 10)$$

Where,

E_{cHCl} = The mass-weighted HCl emissions for the secondary aluminum processing unit; and

E_{tiHCl} = Measured HCl emissions for individual emission unit i .

(3) Use Equation 11 to compute the aluminum mass-weighted D/F emissions for the secondary aluminum processing unit. Compliance is achieved if the mass-weighted emissions for the secondary aluminum processing unit is less than or equal to the emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit ($L_{cD/F}$) calculated using Equation 3 in §63.1505(k).

$$E_{cD/F} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (E_{tiD/F} \times T_{ti})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{ti})} \quad (Eq. 11)$$

Where,

$E_{cD/F}$ = The mass-weighted D/F emissions for the secondary aluminum processing unit; and

$E_{tiD/F}$ = Measured D/F emissions for individual emission unit i .

(4) As an alternative to using the equations in paragraphs (e)(1), (2), and (3) of this section, the owner or operator may demonstrate compliance for a secondary aluminum processing unit by demonstrating that each existing group 1 furnace is in compliance with the emission limits for a new group 1 furnace in §63.1505(i) and that each existing in-line fluxer is in compliance with the emission limits for a new in-line fluxer in §63.1505(j).

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 69 FR 53984, Sept. 3, 2004]

§ 63.1514 [Reserved]

Notifications, Reports, And Records

§ 63.1515 Notifications.

(a) *Initial notifications.* The owner or operator must submit initial notifications to the applicable permitting authority as described in paragraphs (a)(1) through (7) of this section.

(1) As required by §63.9(b)(1), the owner or operator must provide notification for an area source that subsequently increases its emissions such that the source is a major source subject to the standard.

(2) As required by §63.9(b)(3), the owner or operator of a new or reconstructed affected source, or a source that has been reconstructed such that it is an affected source, that has an initial startup after the effective date of this subpart and for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is not required under §63.5(d), must provide notification that the source is subject to the standard.

(3) As required by §63.9(b)(4), the owner or operator of a new or reconstructed major affected source that has an initial startup after the effective date of this subpart and for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is required by §63.5(d) must provide the following notifications:

(i) Intention to construct a new major affected source, reconstruct a major source, or reconstruct a major source such that the source becomes a major affected source;

(ii) Date when construction or reconstruction was commenced (submitted simultaneously with the application for approval of construction or reconstruction if construction or reconstruction was commenced before the effective date of this subpart, or no later than 30 days after the date construction or reconstruction commenced if construction or reconstruction commenced after the effective date of this subpart);

(iii) Anticipated date of startup; and

(iv) Actual date of startup.

(4) As required by §63.9(b)(5), after the effective date of this subpart, an owner or operator who intends to construct a new affected source or reconstruct an affected source subject to this subpart, or reconstruct a source such that it becomes an affected source subject to this subpart, must provide notification of the intended construction or reconstruction. The notification must include all the information required for an application for approval of construction or reconstruction as required by §63.5(d). For major sources, the application for approval of construction or reconstruction may be used to fulfill these requirements.

(i) The application must be submitted as soon as practicable before the construction or reconstruction is planned to commence (but no sooner than the effective date) if the construction or reconstruction commences after the effective date of this subpart; or

(ii) The application must be submitted as soon as practicable before startup but no later than 90 days after the effective date of this subpart if the construction or reconstruction had commenced and initial startup had not occurred before the effective date.

(5) As required by §63.9(d), the owner or operator must provide notification of any special compliance obligations for a new source.

(6) As required by §63.9(e) and (f), the owner or operator must provide notification of the anticipated date for conducting performance tests and visible emission observations. The owner or operator must notify the Administrator of the intent to conduct a performance test at least 60 days before the performance test is scheduled; notification of opacity or visible emission observations for a performance test must be provided at least 30 days before the observations are scheduled to take place.

(7) As required by §63.9(g), the owner or operator must provide additional notifications for sources with continuous emission monitoring systems or continuous opacity monitoring systems.

(b) *Notification of compliance status report.* Each owner or operator of an existing affected source must submit a notification of compliance status report within 60 days after the compliance date established by §63.1501(a). Each owner or operator of a new affected source must submit a notification of compliance status report within 90 days after conducting the initial performance test required by §63.1511(b), or within 90 days after the compliance date established by §63.1501(b) if no initial performance test is required. The notification must be signed by the responsible official who must certify its accuracy. A complete notification of compliance status report must include the information specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (10) of this section. The required information may be submitted in an operating permit application, in an amendment to an operating permit application, in a separate submittal, or in any combination. In a State with an approved operating permit program where delegation of authority under section 112(l) of the CAA has not been requested or approved, the owner or operator must provide duplicate notification to the applicable Regional Administrator. If an owner or operator submits the information specified in this section at different times or in different submittals, later submittals may refer to earlier submittals instead of duplicating and resubmitting the information previously submitted. A complete notification of compliance status report must include:

(1) All information required in §63.9(h). The owner or operator must provide a complete performance test report for each affected source and emission unit for which a performance test is required. A complete performance test report includes all data, associated measurements, and calculations (including visible emission and opacity tests).

(2) The approved site-specific test plan and performance evaluation test results for each continuous monitoring system (including a continuous emission or opacity monitoring system).

- (3) Unit labeling as described in §63.1506(b), including process type or furnace classification and operating requirements.
- (4) The compliant operating parameter value or range established for each affected source or emission unit with supporting documentation and a description of the procedure used to establish the value (*e.g.*, lime injection rate, total reactive chlorine flux injection rate, afterburner operating temperature, fabric filter inlet temperature), including the operating cycle or time period used in the performance test.
- (5) Design information and analysis, with supporting documentation, demonstrating conformance with the requirements for capture/collection systems in §63.1506(c).
- (6) If applicable, analysis and supporting documentation demonstrating conformance with EPA guidance and specifications for bag leak detection systems in §63.1510(f).
- (7) Manufacturer's specification or analysis documenting the design residence time of no less than 1 second for each afterburner used to control emissions from a scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln subject to alternative emission standards in §63.1505(e).
- (8) Manufacturer's specification or analysis documenting the design residence time of no less than 0.8 seconds and design operating temperature of no less than 1,600 °F for each afterburner used to control emissions from a sweat furnace that is not subject to a performance test.
- (9) The OM&M plan (including site-specific monitoring plan for each group 1 furnace with no add-on air pollution control device).
- (10) Startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, with revisions.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 59793, Sept. 24, 2002; 67 FR 79818, Dec. 30, 2002]

§ 63.1516 Reports.

(a) *Startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan/reports.* The owner or operator must develop a written plan as described in §63.6(e)(3) that contains specific procedures to be followed for operating and maintaining the source during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, and a program of corrective action for malfunctioning process and air pollution control equipment used to comply with the standard. The owner or operator shall also keep records of each event as required by §63.10(b) and record and report if an action taken during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction is not consistent with the procedures in the plan as described in §63.6(e)(3). In addition to the information required in §63.6(e)(3), the plan must include:

- (1) Procedures to determine and record the cause of the malfunction and the time the malfunction began and ended; and

(2) Corrective actions to be taken in the event of a malfunction of a process or control device, including procedures for recording the actions taken to correct the malfunction or minimize emissions.

(b) *Excess emissions/summary report.* The owner or operator must submit semiannual reports according to the requirements in §63.10(e)(3). Except, the owner or operator must submit the semiannual reports within 60 days after the end of each 6-month period instead of within 30 days after the calendar half as specified in §63.10(e)(3)(v). When no deviations of parameters have occurred, the owner or operator must submit a report stating that no excess emissions occurred during the reporting period.

(1) A report must be submitted if any of these conditions occur during a 6-month reporting period:

(i) The corrective action specified in the OM&M plan for a bag leak detection system alarm was not initiated within 1 hour.

(ii) The corrective action specified in the OM&M plan for a continuous opacity monitoring deviation was not initiated within 1 hour.

(iii) The corrective action specified in the OM&M plan for visible emissions from an aluminum scrap shredder was not initiated within 1 hour.

(iv) An excursion of a compliant process or operating parameter value or range (*e.g.*, lime injection rate or screw feeder setting, total reactive chlorine flux injection rate, afterburner operating temperature, fabric filter inlet temperature, definition of acceptable scrap, or other approved operating parameter).

(v) An action taken during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction was not consistent with the procedures in the plan as described in §63.6(e)(3).

(vi) An affected source (including an emission unit in a secondary aluminum processing unit) was not operated according to the requirements of this subpart.

(vii) A deviation from the 3-day, 24-hour rolling average emission limit for a secondary aluminum processing unit.

(2) Each report must include each of these certifications, as applicable:

(i) For each thermal chip dryer: “Only unpainted aluminum chips were used as feedstock in any thermal chip dryer during this reporting period.”

(ii) For each dross-only furnace: “Only dross and salt flux were used as the charge materials in any dross-only furnace during this reporting period.”

(iii) For each sidewell group 1 furnace with add-on air pollution control devices: “Each furnace was operated such that the level of molten metal remained above the top of the passage between the sidewell and hearth during reactive fluxing, and reactive flux, except for cover flux, was added only to the sidewell or to a furnace hearth equipped with an add-on air pollution control device for PM, HCl, and D/F emissions during this reporting period.”

(iv) For each group 1 melting/holding furnace without add-on air pollution control devices and using pollution prevention measures that processes only clean charge material: “Each group 1 furnace without add-on air pollution control devices subject to emission limits in §63.1505(i)(2) processed only clean charge during this reporting period.”

(v) For each group 2 furnace: “Only clean charge materials were processed in any group 2 furnace during this reporting period, and no fluxing was performed or all fluxing performed was conducted using only nonreactive, non-HAP-containing/non-HAP-generating fluxing gases or agents, except for cover fluxes, during this reporting period.”

(vi) For each in-line fluxer using no reactive flux: “Only nonreactive, non-HAP-containing, non-HAP-generating flux gases, agents, or materials were used at any time during this reporting period.”

(3) The owner or operator must submit the results of any performance test conducted during the reporting period, including one complete report documenting test methods and procedures, process operation, and monitoring parameter ranges or values for each test method used for a particular type of emission point tested.

(c) *Annual compliance certifications.* For the purpose of annual certifications of compliance required by 40 CFR part 70 or 71, the owner or operator must certify continuing compliance based upon, but not limited to, the following conditions:

(1) Any period of excess emissions, as defined in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, that occurred during the year were reported as required by this subpart; and

(2) All monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements were met during the year.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 69 FR 53984, Sept. 3, 2004; 71 FR 20461, Apr. 20, 2006]

§ 63.1517 Records

(a) As required by §63.10(b), the owner or operator shall maintain files of all information (including all reports and notifications) required by the general provisions and this subpart.

(1) The owner or operator must retain each record for at least 5 years following the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record. The most recent 2 years of records must be retained at the facility. The remaining 3 years of records may be retained off site.

(2) The owner or operator may retain records on microfilm, computer disks, magnetic tape, or microfiche; and

(3) The owner or operator may report required information on paper or on a labeled computer disk using commonly available and EPA-compatible computer software.

(b) In addition to the general records required by §63.10(b), the owner or operator of a new or existing affected source (including an emission unit in a secondary aluminum processing unit) must maintain records of:

(1) For each affected source and emission unit with emissions controlled by a fabric filter or a lime-injected fabric filter:

(i) If a bag leak detection system is used, the number of total operating hours for the affected source or emission unit during each 6-month reporting period, records of each alarm, the time of the alarm, the time corrective action was initiated and completed, and a brief description of the cause of the alarm and the corrective action(s) taken.

(ii) If a continuous opacity monitoring system is used, records of opacity measurement data, including records where the average opacity of any 6-minute period exceeds 5 percent, with a brief explanation of the cause of the emissions, the time the emissions occurred, the time corrective action was initiated and completed, and the corrective action taken.

(iii) If an aluminum scrap shredder is subject to visible emission observation requirements, records of all Method 9 observations, including records of any visible emissions during a 30-minute daily test, with a brief explanation of the cause of the emissions, the time the emissions occurred, the time corrective action was initiated and completed, and the corrective action taken.

(2) For each affected source with emissions controlled by an afterburner:

(i) Records of 15-minute block average afterburner operating temperature, including any period when the average temperature in any 3-hour block period falls below the compliant operating parameter value with a brief explanation of the cause of the excursion and the corrective action taken; and

(ii) Records of annual afterburner inspections.

(3) For each scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln and group 1 furnace, subject to D/F and HCl emission standards with emissions controlled by a lime-injected fabric filter, records of 15-minute block average inlet temperatures for each lime-injected fabric filter, including any period when the 3-hour block average temperature exceeds the compliant operating parameter value +14 °C (+25 °F), with a brief explanation of the cause of the excursion and the corrective action taken.

(4) For each affected source and emission unit with emissions controlled by a lime-injected fabric filter:

(i) Records of inspections at least once every 8-hour period verifying that lime is present in the feeder hopper or silo and flowing, including any inspection where blockage is found, with a brief explanation of the cause of the blockage and the corrective action taken, and records of inspections at least once every 4-hour period for the subsequent 3 days. If flow monitors, pressure drop sensors or load cells are used to verify that lime is present in the hopper and flowing, records of all monitor or sensor output including any event where blockage was found, with a brief explanation of the cause of the blockage and the corrective action taken;

(ii) If lime feeder setting is monitored, records of daily inspections of feeder setting, including records of any deviation of the feeder setting from the setting used in the performance test, with a brief explanation of the cause of the deviation and the corrective action taken.

(iii) If lime addition rate for a noncontinuous lime injection system is monitored pursuant to the approved alternative monitoring requirements in §63.1510(v), records of the time and mass of each lime addition during each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test and calculations of the average lime addition rate (lb/ton of feed/charge).

(5) For each group 1 furnace (with or without add-on air pollution control devices) or in-line fluxer, records of 15-minute block average weights of gaseous or liquid reactive flux injection, total reactive flux injection rate and calculations (including records of the identity, composition, and weight of each addition of gaseous, liquid or solid reactive flux), including records of any period the rate exceeds the compliant operating parameter value and corrective action taken.

(6) For each continuous monitoring system, records required by §63.10(c).

(7) For each affected source and emission unit subject to an emission standard in kg/Mg (lb/ton) of feed/charge, records of feed/charge (or throughput) weights for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test.

(8) Approved site-specific monitoring plan for a group 1 furnace without add-on air pollution control devices with records documenting conformance with the plan.

(9) Records of all charge materials for each thermal chip dryer, dross-only furnace, and group 1 melting/holding furnaces without air pollution control devices processing only clean charge.

(10) Operating logs for each group 1 sidewell furnace with add-on air pollution control devices documenting conformance with operating standards for maintaining the level of molten metal above the top of the passage between the sidewell and hearth during reactive flux injection and for adding reactive flux only to the sidewell or a furnace hearth equipped with a control device for PM, HCl, and D/F emissions.

(11) For each in-line fluxer for which the owner or operator has certified that no reactive flux was used:

(i) Operating logs which establish that no source of reactive flux was present at the in-line fluxer;

(ii) Labels required pursuant to §63.1506(b) which establish that no reactive flux may be used at the in-line fluxer; or

(iii) Operating logs which document each flux gas, agent, or material used during each operating cycle.

(12) Records of all charge materials and fluxing materials or agents for a group 2 furnace.

(13) Records of monthly inspections for proper unit labeling for each affected source and emission unit subject to labeling requirements.

(14) Records of annual inspections of emission capture/collection and closed vent systems.

(15) Records for any approved alternative monitoring or test procedure.

(16) Current copy of all required plans, including any revisions, with records documenting conformance with the applicable plan, including:

(i) Startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan;

(ii) OM&M plan; and

(iii) Site-specific secondary aluminum processing unit emission plan (if applicable).

(17) For each secondary aluminum processing unit, records of total charge weight, or if the owner or operator chooses to comply on the basis of aluminum production, total aluminum produced for each 24-hour period and calculations of 3-day, 24-hour rolling average emissions.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 79818, Dec. 30, 2002]

Other

§ 63.1518 Applicability of general provisions.

The requirements of the general provisions in subpart A of this part that are applicable to the owner or operator subject to the requirements of this subpart are shown in appendix A to this subpart.

§ 63.1519 Implementation and enforcement.

(a) This subpart can be implemented and enforced by the U.S. EPA, or a delegated authority such as the applicable State, local, or Tribal agency. If the U.S. EPA Administrator has delegated authority to a State, local, or Tribal agency, then that agency, in addition to the U.S. EPA, has the authority to implement and enforce this regulation. Contact the applicable U.S. EPA Regional Office to find out if this subpart is delegated to a State, local, or Tribal agency.

(b) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority of this regulation to a State, local, or Tribal agency under subpart E of this part, the authorities contained in paragraph (c) of this section are retained by the Administrator of U.S. EPA and cannot be transferred to the State, local, or Tribal agency.

(c) The authorities that cannot be delegated to State, local, or Tribal agencies are as specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section.

(1) Approval of alternatives to the requirements in §§63.1500 through 63.1501 and 63.1505 through 63.1506.

(2) Approval of major alternatives to test methods for under §63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f), as defined in §63.90, and as required in this subpart.

(3) Approval of major alternatives to monitoring under §63.8(f), as defined in §63.90, and as required in this subpart.

(4) Approval of major alternatives to recordkeeping and reporting under §63.10(f), as defined in §63.90, and as required in this subpart.

[68 FR 37359, June 23, 2003]

§ 63.1520 [Reserved]

Table 1 to Subpart RRR of Part 63—Emission Standards for New and Existing Affected Sources

Table 1 to Subpart RRR--Emission Standards for New and Existing Affected Sources

Affected source/ Emission unit	Pollutant	Limit	Units
All new and existing affected sources and emission units that are controlled with a PM add-on control device and that choose to monitor with a COM; and all new and existing aluminum scrap shredders that choose to monitor with a COM or to monitor visible emissions	Opacity	10	percent
New and existing aluminum scrap shredder	PM	0.01	gr/dscf
New and existing thermal chip dryer	THC	0.80	lb/ton of feed
	D/F ^a	2.50	µg TEQ/Mg of feed
New and existing scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln	PM	0.08	lb/ton of feed
	HCl	0.80	lb/ton of feed
	THC	0.06	lb/ton of feed
	D/F ^a	0.25	µg TEQ/Mg of feed
Or Alternative limits if afterburner has a design residence time of at least 1 second and operates at a temperature of at least 1400 °F	PM	0.30	lb/ton of feed
	HCl	1.50	lb/ton of feed
	THC	0.20	lb/ton of feed
	D/F ^a	5.0	µg TEQ/Mg of feed
New and existing sweat furnace	D/F ^a	0.80	ng TEQ/dscm @ 11% O ₂ ^b
New and existing dross-only furnace	PM	0.30	lb/ton of feed

[View or download PDF](#)

New and existing in-line fluxer ^c	HCl	0.04	lb/ton of feed
	PM	0.01	lb/ton of feed
New and existing in-line fluxer with no reactive fluxing		No limit	Work practice: no reactive fluxing
New and existing rotary dross cooler	PM	0.04	gr/dscf
New and existing clean furnace (Group 2)		No limit	Work practices: clean charge only and no reactive fluxing
New and existing group 1 melting/holding furnace (processing only clean charge) ^c	PM	0.80	lb/ton of feed
	HCl	0.40	lb/ton of feed
		or 10	percent of the HCl upstream of an add-on control device
New and existing group 1 furnace ^c	PM	0.40	lb/ton of feed
	HCl	0.40	lb/ton of feed
		or 10	percent of the HCl upstream of an add-on control device
	D/F ^a	15.0	µg TEQ/Mg of feed
New and existing group 1 furnace ^c with clean charge only	PM	0.40	lb/ton of feed
	HCl	0.40	lb/ton of feed
		Or 10	percent of the HCl upstream of an add-on control device
	D/F ^a	No Limit	Clean charge only

[View or download PDF](#)

New and existing secondary aluminum processing unit^{a,d} (consists of all existing group 1 furnaces and existing in-line flux boxes at the facility, or all simultaneously constructed new group 1 furnaces and new in-line fluxers)

PM^e

$$L_{t_{PM}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (L_{i_{PM}} \times T_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i)}$$

HCl^f

$$L_{t_{HCl}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (L_{i_{HCl}} \times T_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i)}$$

D/F^g

$$L_{t_{D/F}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (L_{i_{D/F}} \times T_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i)}$$

^a D/F limit applies to a unit at a major or area source.

^b Sweat furnaces equipped with afterburners meeting the specifications of §63.1505(f)(1) are not required to conduct a performance test.

^c These limits are also used to calculate the limits applicable to secondary aluminum processing units.

^d Equation definitions: $L_{i_{PM}}$ = the PM emission limit for individual emission unit i in the secondary aluminum processing unit [kg/Mg (lb/ton) of feed]; T_i = the feed rate for individual emission unit i in the secondary aluminum processing unit; $L_{t_{PM}}$ = the overall PM emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit [kg/Mg (lb/ton) of feed]; $L_{i_{HCl}}$ = the HCl emission limit for individual emission unit i in the secondary aluminum processing unit [kg/Mg (lb/ton) of feed]; $L_{t_{HCl}}$ = the overall HCl emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit [kg/Mg (lb/ton) of feed]; $L_{i_{D/F}}$ = the D/F emission limit for individual emission unit i [μ g TEQ/Mg (gr TEQ/ton) of feed]; $L_{t_{D/F}}$ = the overall D/F emission limit for the secondary aluminum processing unit [μ g TEQ/Mg (gr TEQ/ton) of feed]; n = the number of units in the secondary aluminum processing unit.

^e In-line fluxers using no reactive flux materials cannot be included in this calculation since they are not subject to the PM limit.

^f In-line fluxers using no reactive flux materials cannot be included in this calculation since they are not subject to the HCl limit.

^g Clean charge furnaces cannot be included in this calculation since they are not subject to the D/F limit.

[View or download PDF](#)

Table 2 to Subpart RRR of Part 63—Summary of Operating Requirements for New and Existing Affected Sources and Emission Units

Affected source/emission unit	Monitor type/operation/process	Operating requirements
All affected sources and emission units with an add-on air pollution control device	Emission capture and collection system	Design and install in accordance with Industrial Ventilation: A Handbook of Recommended Practice; operate in accordance with OM&M plan. ^b
All affected sources and emission units subject to production-based (lb/ton of feed) emission limits ^a	Charge/feed weight or Production weight	Operate a device that records the weight of each charge; Operate in accordance with OM&M plan. ^b
Group 1 furnace, group 2 furnace, in-line fluxer and scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln	Labeling	Identification, operating parameter ranges and operating requirements posted at affected sources and emission units; control device temperature and residence time requirements posted at scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln.
Aluminum scrap shredder with fabric filter	Bag leak detector or	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of alarm and complete in accordance with OM&M plan ^b ; operate such that alarm does not sound more than 5% of operating time in 6-month period.
	COM or	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of a 6-minute average opacity reading of 5% or more and complete in accordance with OM&M plan. ^b
	VE	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of any observed VE and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan. ^b
Thermal chip dryer with afterburner	Afterburner operating temperature	Maintain average temperature for each 3-hr period at or above average operating temperature during the performance test.
	Afterburner operation	Operate in accordance with OM&M plan. ^b
	Feed material	Operate using only unpainted aluminum chips.
Scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln with afterburner and lime-	Afterburner operating temperature	Maintain average temperature for each 3-hr period at or above average operating temperature during the performance test.

injected fabric filter		
	Afterburner operation	Operate in accordance with OM&M plan. ^b
	Bag leak detector or	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of alarm and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan; ^b operate such that alarm does not sound more than 5% of operating time in 6-month period.
	COM	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of a 6-minute average opacity reading of 5% or more and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan. ^b
	Fabric filter inlet temperature	Maintain average fabric filter inlet temperature for each 3-hr period at or below average temperature during the performance test +14 °C (+25 °F).
	Lime injection rate	Maintain free-flowing lime in the feed hopper or silo at all times for continuous injection systems; maintain feeder setting at level established during the performance test for continuous injection systems.
Sweat furnace with afterburner	Afterburner operating temperature	If a performance test was conducted, maintain average temperature for each 3-hr period at or above average operating temperature during the performance test; if a performance test was not conducted, and afterburner meets specifications of §63.1505(f)(1), maintain average temperature for each 3-hr period at or above 1600 °F.
	Afterburner operation	Operate in accordance with OM&M plan. ^b
Dross-only furnace with fabric filter	Bag leak detector or	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of alarm and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan; ^b operate such that alarm does not sound more than 5% of operating time in 6-month period.
	COM	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of a 6-minute average opacity reading of 5% or more and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan. ^b
	Feed/charge material	Operate using only dross as the feed

		material.
Rotary dross cooler with fabric filter	Bag leak detector or	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of alarm and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan; ^b operate such that alarm does not sound more than 5% of operating time in 6-month period.
	COM	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of a 6-minute average opacity reading of 5% or more and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan. ^b
In-line fluxer with lime-injected fabric filter (including those that are part of a secondary aluminum processing unit)	Bag leak detector or	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of alarm and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan; ^b operate such that alarm does not sound more than 5% of operating time in 6-month period.
	COM	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of a 6-minute average opacity reading of 5% or more and complete in accordance with the OM&M plan. ^b
	Lime injection rate	Maintain free-flowing lime in the feed hopper or silo at all times for continuous injection systems; maintain feeder setting at level established during performance test for continuous injection systems.
	Reactive flux injection rate	Maintain reactive flux injection rate at or below rate used during the performance test for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test.
In-line fluxer (using no reactive flux material)	Flux materials	Use no reactive flux.
Group 1 furnace with lime-injected fabric filter (including those that are part of a secondary of aluminum processing unit).	Bag leak detector or	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of alarm; operate such that alarm does not sound more than 5% of operating time in 6-month period; complete corrective action in accordance with the OM&M plan. ^b
	COM	Initiate corrective action within 1-hr of a 6-minute average opacity reading of 5% or more; complete corrective action in accordance with the OM&M plan. ^b

	Fabric filter inlet temperature	Maintain average fabric filter inlet temperature for each 3-hour period at or below average temperature during the performance test +14 °C (+25 °F).
	Reactive flux injection rate	Maintain reactive flux injection rate (kg/Mg) (lb/ton) at or below rate used during the performance test for each furnace cycle.
	Lime injection rate	Maintain free-flowing lime in the feed hopper or silo at all times for continuous injection systems; maintain feeder setting at level established at performance test for continuous injection systems.
	Maintain molten aluminum level	Operate sidewell furnaces such that the level of molten metal is above the top of the passage between sidewell and hearth during reactive flux injection, unless the hearth is also controlled.
	Fluxing in sidewell furnace hearth	Add reactive flux only to the sidewell of the furnace unless the hearth is also controlled.
Group 1 furnace without add-on controls (including those that are part of a secondary aluminum processing unit)	Reactive flux injection rate	Maintain reactive flux injection rate (kg/Mg) (lb/ton) at or below rate used during the performance test for each operating cycle or time period used in the performance test.
	Site-specific monitoring plan ^c	Operate furnace within the range of charge materials, contaminant levels, and parameter values established in the site-specific monitoring plan.
	Feed material (melting/holding furnace)	Use only clean charge.
Clean (group 2) furnace	Charge and flux materials	Use only clean charge. Use no reactive flux.

^aThermal chip dryers, scrap dryers/delacquering kilns/decoating kilns, dross-only furnaces, in-line fluxers and group 1 furnaces including melting/holding furnaces.

^bOM&M plan—Operation, maintenance, and monitoring plan.

°Site-specific monitoring plan. Owner/operators of group 1 furnaces without control devices must include a section in their OM&M plan that documents work practice and pollution prevention measures, including procedures for scrap inspection, by which compliance is achieved with emission limits and process or feed parameter-based operating requirements. This plan and the testing to demonstrate adequacy of the monitoring plan must be developed in coordination with and approved by the permitting authority.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 79818, Dec. 30, 2002; 69 FR 53984, Sept. 3, 2004]

Table 3 to Subpart RRR of Part 63—Summary of Monitoring Requirements for New and Existing Affected Sources and Emission Units

Affected source/Emission unit	Monitor type/Operation/Process	Monitoring requirements
All affected sources and emission units with an add-on air pollution control device	Emission capture and collection system	Annual inspection of all emission capture, collection, and transport systems to ensure that systems continue to operate in accordance with ACGIH standards.
All affected sources and emission units subject to production-based (lb/ton of feed/charge) emission limits ^a	Feed/charge weight	Record weight of each feed/charge, weight measurement device or other procedure accuracy of $\pm 1\%$ ^b ; calibrate according to manufacturers specifications, or at least once every 6 months.
Group 1 furnace, group 2 furnace, in-line fluxer, and scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln	Labeling	Check monthly to confirm that labels are intact and legible.
Aluminum scrap shredder with fabric filter	Bag leak detector or	Install and operate in accordance with “Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Guidance” ^c ; record voltage output from bag leak detector.
	COM or	Design and install in accordance with PS-1; collect data in accordance with subpart A of 40 CFR part 63; determine and record 6-minute block averages.
	VE	Conduct and record results of 30-minute daily test in accordance with Method 9.
Thermal chip dryer with	Afterburner operating	Continuous measurement device to meet

afterburner	temperature	specifications in §63.1510(g)(1); record average temperature for each 15-minute block; determine and record 3-hr block averages.
	Afterburner operation	Annual inspection of afterburner internal parts; complete repairs in accordance with the OM&M plan.
	Feed/charge material	Record identity of each feed/charge; certify feed/charge materials every 6 months.
Scrap dryer/delacquering kiln/decoating kiln with afterburner and lime-injected fabric filter	Afterburner operating temperature.	Continuous measurement device to meet specifications in §63.1510(g)(1); record temperature for each 15-minute block; determine and record 3-hr block averages.
	Afterburner operation	Annual inspection of afterburner internal parts; complete repairs in accordance with the OM&M plan.
	Bag leak detector or	Install and operate in accordance with “Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Guidance ^c ”; record voltage output from bag leak detector.
	COM	Design and Install in accordance with PS-1; collect data in accordance with subpart A of 40 CFR part 63; determine and record 6-minute block averages.
	Lime injection rate	For continuous injection systems, inspect each feed hooper or silo every 8 hours to verify that lime is free flowing; record results of each inspection. If blockage occurs, inspect every 4 hours for 3 days; return to 8-hour inspections if corrective action results in no further blockage during 3-day period, record feeder setting daily.
	Fabric filter inlet temperature.	Continuous measurement device to meet specifications in §63.1510(h)(2); record temperatures in 15-minute block averages; determine and record 3-hr block averages.

Sweat furnace with afterburner	Afterburner operating temperature	Continuous measurement device to meet specifications in §63.1510(g)(1); record temperatures in 15-minute block averages; determine and record 3-hr block averages.
	Afterburner operation	Annual inspection of afterburner internal parts; complete repairs in accordance with the OM&M plan.
Dross-only furnace with fabric filter	Bag leak detector or	Install and operate in accordance with “Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Guidance” ^c ; record output voltage from bag leak detector.
	COM	Design and install in accordance with PS-1; collect data in accordance with subpart A of 40 CFR part 63; determine and record 6-minute block averages.
	Feed/charge material	Record identity of each feed/charge; certify charge materials every 6 months.
Rotary dross cooler with fabric filter	Bag leak detector or	Install and operate in accordance with “Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Guidance” ^c ; record output voltage from bag leak detector.
	COM	Design and install in accordance with PS-1; collect data in accordance with subpart A of 40 CFR part 63; determine and record 6-minute block averages.
In-line fluxer with lime-injected fabric filter	Bag leak detector or	Install and operate in accordance with “Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Guidance” ^c ; record output voltage from bag leak detector.
	COM	Design and install in accordance with PS-1; collect data in accordance with subpart A of 40 CFR part 63; determine and record 6-minute block averages
	Reactive flux injection rate	Weight measurement device accuracy of $\pm 1\%$ ^b ; calibrate according to manufacturer's specifications or at least once every 6 months; record time, weight and type of reactive flux added or injected

		for each 15-minute block period while reactive fluxing occurs; calculate and record total reactive flux injection rate for each operating cycle or time period used in performance test; or Alternative flux injection rate determination procedure per §63.1510(j)(5).
	Lime injection rate	For continuous injection systems, record feeder setting daily and inspect each feed hopper or silo every 8 hrs to verify that lime is free-flowing; record results of each inspection. If blockage occurs, inspect every 4 hrs for 3 days; return to 8-hour inspections if corrective action results in no further blockage during 3-day period. ^d
In-line fluxer using no reactive flux	Flux materials	Record flux materials; certify every 6 months for no reactive flux.
Group 1 furnace with lime-injected fabric filter	Bag leak detector or	Install and operate in accordance with “Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Guidance” ^c ; record output voltage from bag leak detector.
	COM	Design and install in accordance with PS-1; collect data in accordance with subpart A of 40 part CFR 63; determine and record 6-minute block averages.
	Lime injection rate	For continuous injection systems, record feeder setting daily and inspect each feed hopper or silo every 8 hours to verify that lime is free-flowing; record results of each inspection. If blockage occurs, inspect every 4 hours for 3 days; return to 8-hour inspections if corrective action results in no further blockage during 3-day period. ^d
	Reactive flux injection rate	Weight measurement device accuracy of $\pm 1\%$ ^b ; calibrate every 3 months; record weight and type of reactive flux added or injected for each 15-minute block period while reactive fluxing occurs; calculate

		and record total reactive flux injection rate for each operating cycle or time period used in performance test; or Alternative flux injection rate determination procedure per §63.1510(j)(5).
	Fabric filter inlet temperature	Continuous measurement device to meet specifications in §63.1510(h)(2); record temperatures in 15-minute block averages; determine and record 3-hour block averages.
	Maintain molten aluminum level in sidewell furnace	Maintain aluminum level operating log; certify every 6 months.
Group 1 furnace without add-on controls	Fluxing in sidewell furnace hearth	Maintain flux addition operating log; certify every 6 months.
	Reactive flux injection rate	Weight measurement device accuracy of +1% ^b ; calibrate according to manufacturers specifications or at least once every six months; record weight and type of reactive flux added or injected for each 15-minute block period while reactive fluxing occurs; calculate and record total reactive flux injection rate for each operating cycle or time period used in performance test.
	OM&M plan (approved by permitting agency)	Demonstration of site-specific monitoring procedures to provide data and show correlation of emissions across the range of charge and flux materials and furnace operating parameters.
	Feed material (melting/holding furnace)	Record type of permissible feed/charge material; certify charge materials every 6 months.
Clean (group 2) furnace	Charge and flux materials	Record charge and flux materials; certify every 6 months for clean charge and no reactive flux.

^aThermal chip dryers, scrap dryers/delacquering kilns/decoating kilns, dross-only furnaces, in-line fluxers and group 1 furnaces or melting/holding furnaces.

^bPermitting agency may approve measurement devices of alternative accuracy, for example in cases where flux rates are very low and costs of meters of specified accuracy are prohibitive; or where feed/charge weighing devices of specified accuracy are not practicable due to equipment layout or charging practices.

^cNon-triboelectric bag leak detectors must be installed and operated in accordance with manufacturers' specifications.

^dPermitting agency may approve other alternatives including load cells for lime hopper weight, sensors for carrier gas pressure, or HCl monitoring devices at fabric filter outlet.

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 69 FR 53985, Sept. 3, 2004]

Appendix A to Subpart RRR of Part 63—General Provisions Applicability to Subpart RRR

Citation	Requirement	Applies to RRR	Comment
§63.1(a)(1)–(4)	General Applicability	Yes.	
§63.1(a)(5)		No	[Reserved].
§63.1(a)(6)–(8)		Yes.	
§63.1(a)(9)		No	[Reserved].
§63.1(a)(10)–(14)		Yes.	
§63.1(b)	Initial Applicability Determination	Yes	EPA retains approval authority.
§63.1(c)(1)	Applicability After Standard Established	Yes.	
§63.1(c)(2)		Yes	§63.1500(e) exempts area sources subject to this subpart from the obligation to obtain Title V operating permits.
§63.1(c)(3)		No	[Reserved].
§63.1(c)(4)–(5)		Yes.	
§63.1(d)		No	[Reserved].
§63.1(e)	Applicability of Permit	Yes.	

	Program		
§63.2	Definitions	Yes	Additional definitions in §63.1503.
§63.3	Units and Abbreviations	Yes	
§63.4(a)(1)–(3)	Prohibited Activities	Yes.	
§63.4(a)(4)		No	[Reserved]
§63.4(a)(5)		Yes.	
§63.4(b)–(c)	Circumvention/ Severability	Yes.	
§63.5(a)	Construction and Reconstruction—Applicability	Yes.	
§63.5(b)(1)	Existing, New, Reconstructed Sources—Requirements	Yes.	
§63.5(b)(2)		No	[Reserved].
§63.5(b)(3)–(6)		Yes.	
§63.5(c)		No	[Reserved].
§63.5(d)	Application for Approval of Construction/ Reconstruction	Yes.	
§63.5(e)	Approval of Construction/ Reconstruction	Yes.	
§63.5(f)	Approval of Construction/Reconstruction Based on State Review	Yes.	
§63.6(a)	Compliance with Standards and Maintenance—Applicability	Yes.	
§63.6(b)(1)–(5)	New and Reconstructed Sources—Dates	Yes.	
§63.6(b)(6)		No	[Reserved].
§63.6(b)(7)		Yes.	
§63.6(c)(1)	Existing Sources Dates	Yes	§63.1501 specifies dates.
§63.6(c)(2)		Yes.	
§63.6(c)(3)–(4)		No	[Reserved].
§63.6(c)(5)		Yes.	

§63.6(d)		No	[Reserved].
§63.6(e)(1)–(2)	Operation & Maintenance Requirements	Yes	§63.1510 requires plan.
§63.6(e)(3)	Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan	Yes.	
§63.6(f)	Compliance with Emission Standards	Yes.	
§63.6(g)	Alternative Standard	No	
§63.6(h)	Compliance with Opacity/VE Standards	Yes.	
§63.6(i)(1)–(14)	Extension of Compliance	Yes.	
§63.6(i)(15)		No	[Reserved].
§63.6(i)(16)		Yes.	
§63.6(j)	Exemption from Compliance	Yes.	
§63.7(a)–(h)	Performance Test Requirements-Applicability and Dates	Yes	Except §63.1511 establishes dates for initial performance tests.
§63.7(b)	Notification	Yes.	
§63.7(c)	Quality Assurance/Test Plan	Yes.	
§63.7(d)	Testing Facilities	Yes.	
§63.7(e)	Conduct of Tests	Yes.	
§63.7(f)	Alternative Test Method	Yes.	
§63.7(g)	Data Analysis	Yes.	
§63.7(h)	Waiver of Tests	Yes.	
§63.8(a)(1)	Monitoring Requirements—Applicability	Yes.	
§63.8(a)(2)		Yes.	
§63.8(a)(3)		No	[Reserved]
§63.8(a)(4)		Yes	
§63.8(b)	Conduct of Monitoring	Yes.	
§63.8(c)(1)–(3)	CMS Operation and Maintenance	Yes.	

§63.8(c)(4)–(8)		Yes.	
§63.8(d)	Quality Control	Yes.	
§63.8(e)	CMS Performance Evaluation	Yes.	
§63.8(f)(1)–(5)	Alternative Monitoring Method	No	§63.1510(w) includes provisions for monitoring alternatives.
§63.8(f)(6)	Alternative to RATA Test	Yes.	
§63.8(g)(1)	Data Reduction	Yes.	
§63.8(g)(2)		No	§63.1512 requires five 6-minute averages for an aluminum scrap shredder.
§63.8(g)(3)–(5)		Yes.	
§63.9(a)	Notification Requirements—Applicability	Yes.	
§63.9(b)	Initial Notifications	Yes.	
§63.9(c)	Request for Compliance Extension	Yes.	
§63.9(d)	New Source Notification for Special Compliance Requirements	Yes.	
§63.9(e)	Notification of Performance Test	Yes.	
§63.9(f)	Notification of VE/Opacity Test	Yes.	
§63.9(g)	Additional CMS Notifications	Yes.	
§63.9(h)(1)–(3)	Notification of Compliance Status	Yes	Except §63.1515 establishes dates for notification of compliance status reports.
§63.9(h)(4)		No	[Reserved].
§63.9(h)(5)–(6)		Yes.	
§63.9(i)	Adjustment of Deadlines	Yes.	
§63.9(j)	Change in Previous Information	Yes.	

§63.10(a)	Recordkeeping/Reporting— Applicability	Yes.	
§63.10(b)	General Requirements	Yes	§63.1517 includes additional requirements.
§63.10(c)(1)	Additional CMS Recordkeeping	Yes.	
§63.10(c)(2)– (4)		No	[Reserved].
§63.10(c)(5)		Yes.	
§63.10(c)(6)		Yes.	
§63.10(c)(7)– (8)		Yes.	
§63.10(c)(9)		No	[Reserved].
§63.10(c)(10)– (13)		Yes.	
§63.10(c)(14)		Yes.	
§63.10(d)(1)	General Reporting Requirements	Yes.	
§63.10(d)(2)	Performance Test Results	Yes.	
§63.10(d)(3)	Opacity or VE Observations	Yes.	
§63.10(d)(4)– (5)	Progress Reports/Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Reports	Yes.	
§63.10(e)(1)– (2)	Additional CMS Reports	Yes.	
§63.10(e)(3)	Excess Emissions/CMS Performance Reports	Yes	Reporting deadline given in §63.1516.
§63.10(e)(4)	COMS Data Reports	Yes.	
§63.10(f)	Recordkeeping/Reporting Waiver	Yes.	
§63.11(a)–(b)	Control Device Requirements	No	Flares not applicable.
§63.12(a)–(c)	State Authority and Delegations	Yes.	EPA retains authority for applicability determinations.
§63.13	Addresses	Yes.	

§63.14	Incorporation by Reference	Yes	Chapters 3 and 5 of ACGIH Industrial Ventilation Manual for capture/collection systems; and Interim Procedures for Estimating Risk Associated with Exposure to Mixtures of Chlorinated Dibenzofurans (CDDs and CDFs) and 1989 Update (incorporated by reference in §63.1502).
§63.15	Availability of Information/Confidentiality	Yes.	

[65 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 2000, as amended at 67 FR 59793, Sept. 24, 2002; 67 FR 79818, Dec. 30, 2002; 69 FR 53986, Sept. 3, 2004; 70 FR 75346, Dec. 19, 2005]

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Quality

Addendum to the Technical Support Document (ATSD) for a Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit (FESOP)

Source Background and Description

Source Name:	Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Location:	6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, IN 47201
County:	Bartholomew
SIC Code:	3341
Operation Permit No.:	F 005-14161-00043
Operation Permit Issuance Date:	August 13, 2008
Significant Permit Revision No.:	005-26965-00043
Permit Reviewer:	Josiah Balogun

On April 28, 2009, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) had a notice published in The Republic, Columbus, Indiana, stating that Nikkei M.C Aluminum America, Inc had applied for a Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit (FESOP) to continue to operate a secondary Aluminum production. The notice also stated that OAQ proposed to issue a FESOP for this operation and provided information on how the public could review the proposed FESOP and other documentation. Finally, the notice informed interested parties that there was a period of thirty (30) days to provide comments on whether or not this FESOP should be issued as proposed.

No changes have been made to the TSD because the OAQ prefers that the Technical Support Document reflects the permit that was on public notice. Changes that occur after the public notice are documented in this Addendum to the Technical Support Document. This accomplishes the desired result, ensuring that these types of concerns are documented and part of the record regarding this permit decision.

Changes to the Permit

Upon further review IDEM, OAQ has made the following changes to the Title V permit F005-14161-00043. (deleted language appears as ~~strikeout~~ and the new language **bolded**):

Change 1: The typographical error in Condition B.11 has been corrected.

B.11 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3][326 IAC 2-8-4(9)][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

.....
(b) A copy of the PMPs shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request and within a reasonable time, and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. If an exceedance of an emission limit has occurred and a deficient PMPs was the cause or the primary contributor of the exceedance, then IDEM, OAQ may require the Permittee to revise its PMPs ~~as necessary to avoid a future exceedance~~.

Change 2: Condition B.12 - Emergency Provisions has been updated in the permit.

B.12 Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-8-12]

.....
(h) The Permittee shall include all emergencies in the Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report ~~unless otherwise specified~~. **Any emergencies that have been**

previously reported pursuant to paragraph (b)(5) of this condition and certified by an "authorized individual" need only be referenced by the date of the original report.

Change 3: Condition B.22 - Annual Fee Payment has been revised accordingly in the permit.

B.22 Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-7-19] [326 IAC 2-8-4(6)] [326 IAC 2-8-16][326 IAC 2-1.1-7]

- (a) The Permittee shall pay annual fees to IDEM, OAQ ~~not later than~~ **within** thirty (30) calendar days of receipt ~~after of~~ a billing. Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-19(b), if the Permittee does not receive a bill from IDEM, OAQ the applicable fee is due April 1 of each year.
-

Change 4: Condition C.16 has been revised accordingly in the permit.

C.16 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5]

- (a) When the results of a stack test performed in conformance with Section C - Performance Testing, of this permit exceed the level specified in any condition of this permit, the Permittee shall take appropriate response actions. The Permittee shall submit a description of these response actions to IDEM, OAQ, not later than thirty (30) days after receipt of the test results. The Permittee shall take appropriate action to minimize excess emissions from the affected facility while the ~~reasonable steps~~ **response actions** are being implemented.
-

Change 5: Condition C.18 - General Reporting Requirements has been corrected in the permit.

C.18 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) The Permittee shall submit the attached Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report or its equivalent. Any deviation from permit requirements, the date(s) of each deviation, the cause of the deviation, and the response steps taken must be reported except that a deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of this permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in this report. This report shall be submitted not later than thirty (30) days after the end of the reporting period. The Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report shall include the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1). A deviation is an exceedance of a permit limitation ~~of or~~ a failure to comply with a requirement of the permit.

Change 6: Condition D.1.8 - Record Keeping Requirement has been revised in the permit.

D.1.8 Record Keeping Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- (c) Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements of this permit contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to ~~the records keeping~~ **required by this condition.**

Change 7: Condition D.2.7 - Record Keeping Requirement has been revised in the permit.

D.2.7 Record Keeping Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- (c) Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements of this permit contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to ~~the records keeping~~ **required by this condition.**

**Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Quality**

Technical Support Document (TSD) for a Significant Permit Revision to a
Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit (FESOP)

Source Description and Location

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Location: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, IN 47201
County: Bartholomew
SIC Code: 3341
Operation Permit No.: F 005-14161-00043
Operation Permit Issuance Date: August 13, 2008
Significant Permit Revision No.: 005-26965-00043
Permit Reviewer: Josiah Balogun

On September 2, 2008, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) received an application from Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc related to an administrative review (Cause 08-A-J-4167) of their Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit.

Existing Approvals

The source was issued FESOP Renewal No. 005-14161-00043 on August 13, 2008. The source has not received any other permit since the approval of the renewal.

County Attainment Status

The source is located in Bartholomew County.

Pollutant	Designation
SO ₂	Better than national standards.
CO	Unclassifiable or attainment effective November 15, 1990.
O ₃	Unclassifiable or attainment effective June 15, 2004, for the 8-hour ozone standard. ¹
PM ₁₀	Unclassifiable effective November 15, 1990.
NO ₂	Cannot be classified or better than national standards.
Pb	Not designated.
¹ Unclassifiable or attainment effective October 18, 2000, for the 1-hour ozone standard which was revoked effective June 15, 2005. Unclassifiable or attainment effective April 5, 2005, for PM _{2.5} .	

(a) Ozone Standards

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) and Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) are regulated under the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the purposes of attaining and maintaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone. Therefore, VOC and NOx emissions are considered when evaluating the rule applicability relating to ozone. Bartholomew County has been designated as attainment or unclassifiable for ozone. Therefore, VOC and NOx emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2.

- (b) **PM2.5**
Bartholomew County has been classified as attainment for PM2.5. On May 8, 2008 U.S. EPA promulgated the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) for PM2.5 emissions, and the effective date of these rules was July 15th, 2008. Indiana has three years from the publication of these rules to revise its PSD rules, 326 IAC 2-2, to include those requirements. The May 8, 2008 rule revisions require IDEM to regulate PM10 emissions as a surrogate for PM2.5 emissions until 326 IAC 2-2 is revised.
- (c) **Other Criteria Pollutants**
Bartholomew County has been classified as attainment or unclassifiable in Indiana for all other criteria pollutants. Therefore, these emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2.

Fugitive Emissions

Since this type of operation is in one of the twenty-eight (28) listed source categories under 326 IAC 2-2 or 326 IAC 2-3, fugitive emissions are counted toward the determination of PSD and Emission Offset applicability.

Status of the Existing Source

The table below summarizes the potential to emit of the entire source, prior to the proposed revision, after consideration of all enforceable limits established in the effective permits:

Pollutant	Emissions (tons/year)
PM	< 100
PM10	< 100
SO ₂	< 100
VOC	< 100
CO	< 100
NO _x	< 100

- (a) This existing source is not a major stationary source, under PSD (326 IAC 2-2), because no attainment regulated pollutant is emitted at a rate of 100 tons per year or more, and it is one of the twenty-eight (28) listed source categories, as specified in 326 IAC 2-2-1(gg)(1).
- (b) These emissions are based upon Operating Permit No. 005-14161-00043, issued on August 13, 2008.

HAPs	Potential To Emit (tons/year)
Single HAP	less than 10
Total HAPs	less than 25

- (c) This existing source is not a major source of HAPs, as defined in 40 CFR 63.41, because the Permittee has accepted limits on HAPs emissions to less than ten (10) tons per year for any single HAP and less than twenty-five (25) tons per year of a combination of HAPs. Therefore, this source is an area source under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

Description of Proposed Revision

This modification consist of modifying the existing Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit Renewal Conditions to reflect the resolution to the petition that was filed by Nikkei MC Aluminum America, Inc on September 2, 2008 for an administrative review (Cause 08-A-J-4167) of their Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit No.T005-14161-00043.

Enforcement Issues

There are no pending enforcement actions related to this revision.

Permit Level Determination – FESOP Revision

There is no increase in the potential to emit of any regulated pollutants as the source is not adding new emission units.

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-8-11.1(g)(3), this modification is considered as a significant Permit revision because modifying the existing Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit Renewal conditions to reflect the resolution involves significant changes to existing requirements in the Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit Renewal.

PTE of the Entire Source After Issuance of the FESOP Revision

- (a) **FESOP Status**
This revision to an existing Title V minor stationary source will not change the minor status, because the potential to emit criteria pollutants from the entire source will still be limited to less than the Title V major source threshold levels. Therefore, the source will still be subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-8 (FESOP).
- (b) **PSD Minor Source**
This modification to an existing PSD minor stationary source will not change the PSD minor status, because the potential to emit of all attainment regulated pollutants from the entire source will continue to be less than the PSD major source threshold levels. Therefore, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-2, the PSD requirements do not apply.

Federal Rule Applicability Determination

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

- (a) There are no New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)(40 CFR Part 60) included for this proposed revision.

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)

- (b) There are no National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) (326 IAC 14, 326 IAC 20 and 40 CFR Part 63) included for this proposed revision.

Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM)

- (c) Pursuant to 40 CFR 64.2, Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) is not included in the permit, because the potential to emit of the source is limited to less than the Title V major source thresholds and the source is not required to obtain a Part 70 or Part 71 permit.

State Rule Applicability Determination

There are no new State rule that are applicable to this modification:

Compliance Determination, Monitoring and Testing Requirements

Permits issued under 326 IAC 2-8 are required to ensure that sources can demonstrate compliance with all applicable state and federal rules on a continuous basis. All state and federal rules contain compliance provisions, however, these provisions do not always fulfill the requirement for a continuous demonstration. When this occurs IDEM, OAQ, in conjunction with the source, must develop specific conditions to satisfy 326 IAC 2-8-4. As a result, Compliance Determination Requirements are included in the permit. The Compliance Determination Requirements in Section D of the permit are those conditions that are found directly within state and federal rules and the violation of which serves as grounds for enforcement action.

If the Compliance Determination Requirements are not sufficient to demonstrate continuous compliance, they will be supplemented with Compliance Monitoring Requirements, also in Section D of the permit. Unlike Compliance Determination Requirements, failure to meet Compliance Monitoring conditions would serve as a trigger for corrective actions and not grounds for enforcement action. However, a violation in relation to a compliance monitoring condition will arise through a source's failure to take the appropriate corrective actions within a specific time period.

Proposed Changes

The following changes listed below are due to the proposed revision. Deleted language appears as ~~strikethrough~~ text and new language appears as **bold** text:

Comment 1: Explanation of calculations used for emission limits – The emission factors used throughout Appendix A, in various places, reference SCC numbers, stack test dates, or “another facility approved by IDEM.” NMAA requests that IDEM identify the source of each emission factor and evaluate its appropriateness. NMAA requests that IDEM eliminate the use of emission factors derived solely from the Emission Inventory Improvement Program (“EIIP”) and Factor Information Retrieval System (“FIRE”) because these programs act as a clearinghouse and do not provide the necessary information to determine the applicability and background of the emission factor. In addition, NMAA requests that IDEM provide a copy of the stack test that is referenced as the basis for the emission factor. Finally, NMAA requests that IDEM specify the version of each referenced AP-42 emission factor.

Response 1: See Response 27.

Comment 2: "Within" versus "Not later than" - Throughout the permit where action is required "Within" as specified timeframe, NMAA requests that this term be replaced with "not later than". This changes clarifies whether activities that take place on the last day of the period are compliant with the condition.

B.22 ~~3~~ Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-7-19] [326 IAC 2-8-4(6)] [326 IAC 2-8-16][326 IAC 2-1.1-7]

- (a) The Permittee shall pay annual fees to IDEM, OAQ ~~within~~ **not later than** thirty (30) calendar days ~~of~~ **after** receipt of a billing. Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-19(b), if the Permittee does not receive a bill from IDEM, OAQ the applicable fee is due April 1 of each year.
-

Response 2: The word "Within" has been replaced with "not later than" in some part of the permit.

C.17 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)] [326 IAC 2-8-5]

- (b) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, all record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented ~~within~~ **not later than** ninety (90) days ~~of~~ **after** permit issuance.

Comment 3: A.2: Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary - NMAA requests that all references to "Maximum" capacity to revised to "nominal" capacity. This change will give effect to the equipment descriptions in these sections as descriptive only by not using limiting language. NMAA also requests these changes to be reflected throughout Sections D and E of the permit.

A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary [326 IAC 2-8-3(c)(3)]

This stationary source consists of the following emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) One (1) 30.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (H), identified as EU-01, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 8.6 million British thermal unit per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #3, exhausting through Stacks S6 and S8, constructed in 1998, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 6,150 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (b) One (1) 40.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (E), identified as EU-02, equipped with four (4) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 5.50 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse, known as DC #4, exhausting through Stack S7, constructed 1994, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 8050 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (c) One (1) 20.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (M), identified as EU-03, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of at 8.0 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #1, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 4,260 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (d) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#1), with a maximum capacity of at 1.59 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-04, equipped with an afterburner, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of at 8.50 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a maximum capacity of 4,400 pounds of aluminum chips per hour;
- (e) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#2), with a maximum capacity of at 3.26 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-05, equipped with an afterburner, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of at 11.2 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S5, constructed in 1994, with a maximum capacity of 8,419 pounds of aluminum chips per hour; and
- (f) Three (3) pouring/casting areas, identified as EU-08, exhausting through general ventilation, constructed in 1990, 1994 and 1998, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 44,000 pounds of aluminum per hour; and

Response 3: All references to maximum capacity in Section A.2 have been revised to nominal capacity.

Comment 4: A.3: Insignificant Activities - NMAA requests that the throughput for the dross reflect the actual throughput at the facility as set out in the attached redline. In addition, NMAA requests that the reference to 326 IAC 6-3-2 be deleted because it is inappropriate to include an applicability statement in an equipment description.

A.3 Insignificant Activities [326 IAC 2-7-1(21)][326 IAC 2-8-3(c)(3)(l)]

This stationary source also includes the following insignificant activities:

- (a) The dross processing has a ~~capacity to generate 0.102~~ **throughput of 0.382** tons per hour.

Response 4: IDEM has revised the throughput of the dross processing.

Comment 5: B.8: Permit Term - The changes listed in the redline version clarify the requirements for certification such that the certification is limited only to documents required by this permit itself and acknowledge that an equivalent form meeting the requirements of 326 IAC 2-8-3(d) is acceptable to IDEM

B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-8-4(2)][326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][IC 13-15-3-6(a)]

- (a) This permit, F005-14161-00043, is issued for a fixed term of ~~Ten~~ **ten** (10) years from the issuance date of this permit. Subsequent revisions, modifications, or amendments of this permit do not affect the expiration date of this permit.

B.8 Certification [326 IAC 2-8-3(d)][326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)(i)][326 IAC 2-8-5(1)]

- (a) Where specifically designated by this permit ~~or required by an applicable requirement~~, any application form, report, or compliance certification submitted shall contain certification by ~~an~~ **the** "authorized individual" of truth, accuracy, and completeness. This certification shall state that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.
- (b) One (1) certification shall be included, using the attached Certification Form ~~or another~~ **form meeting the requirements of 326 IAC 2-8-3(d)**, with each submittal requiring certification. One (1) certification may cover multiple forms in one (1) submittal.
- (c) ~~An~~ **The** "authorized individual" is defined at 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Response 5: IDEM agrees to change the conditions. Therefore, Conditions B.2 and B.8 has been revised as follows.

Comment 6: B.11: Preventive Maintenance Plan - NMAA requests that this Condition be revised to clarify the requirements of the Preventive Maintenance Plan. In addition, NMAA requests that Subcondition (b) be revised consistent with the stay agreement to Cause No. 04-A-J-3490 for Indiana Michigan Power -Tanner's Creek

B.11 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3][326 IAC 2-8-4(9)][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- (a) If required by specific condition(s) in Section D of this permit, the Permittee shall maintain and implement Preventive Maintenance Plans (PMPs) **for the source as described in 326 IAC 1-6-3. At a minimum a PMP that meets the requirements of 326 IAC 1-6-3 includes** including the following information on each facility:

- (1) Identification of the individual(s) responsible for inspecting, maintaining, and repairing emission control devices;

- (2) A description of the items or conditions that will be inspected and the inspection schedule for said items or conditions; and
 - (3) Identification and quantification of the replacement parts that will be maintained in inventory for quick replacement.
- (b) A copy of the PMPs shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request and within a reasonable time, and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. ~~IDEM, OAQ may require the Permittee to revise its PMPs whenever lack of proper maintenance causes or is the primary contributor to an exceedance of any limitation on emissions or potential to emit. The PMPs do not require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).~~ **If an exceedance of an emission limit has occurred and a deficient PMPs was the cause or the primary contributor of the exceedance, then IDEM, OAQ may require the Permittee to revise its PMPs as necessary to avoid a future exceedance.**
-

Response 6: The Preventive Maintenance Plan has been updated in the permit.

Comment 7: B.12:Emergency Provisions - NMAA requests that Subcondition(h) be deleted because it is inconsistent with the proposed provision in Condition C.18(a) (moved from Condition B.15) that states that "a deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirements that exists independent of this permit shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in the deviation report."

B.12 Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-8-12]

(a) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), is not an affirmative defense for an action brought for noncompliance with a federal or state health-based emission limitation except as provided in 326 IAC 2-8-12 **or this condition.**

.....

(h) The Permittee shall include all emergencies in the Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report **unless otherwise specified.**

Response 7: Changing the word "Within" to "not later than" is not consistent with the rule. Therefore, IDEM will not effect the changes in Condition B.12. Conditions B.12(a) and (h) have been updated.

Comment 8: B.15: Deviations from Permit Requirements - NMAA requests that this provision be deleted because it is duplicative of Condition C.18(a). See Condition C.18(a) regarding additional revisions to address this Condition.

~~B.15 Deviations from Permit Requirements and Conditions [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)(ii)]~~

~~(a) Deviations from any permit requirements (for emergencies see Section B - Emergency Provisions), the probable cause of such deviations, and any response steps or preventive measures taken shall be reported to:~~

~~Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance Data Section, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2254~~

~~using the attached Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report, or its equivalent. A deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of this permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in this report.~~

~~The Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report does require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).~~

~~(b) A deviation is an exceedance of a permit limitation or a failure to comply with a requirement of the permit.~~

Response 8: Condition B.15 has been deleted from the permit. Subsequent conditions have been renumbered. This Condition is duplicative to Condition C.18(a).

Comment 9: B.17: Permit Renewal - NMAA requests that IDEM add a reference 326 IAC 2-8-3 to make it consistent with the meaning of the rule.

B.17 Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-8-3(h)]

.....
(c) If the Permittee submits a timely and complete application for renewal of this permit, the source's failure to have a permit is not a violation of 326 IAC 2-8 until IDEM, OAQ takes final action on the renewal application, except that this protection shall cease to apply if, subsequent to the completeness determination **and as required by 326 IAC 2-8-3**, the Permittee fails to submit by the deadline specified in writing by IDEM, OAQ any additional information identified as being needed to process the application.

Response 9: Condition B.17 has been revised in the permit.

Comment 10: B.21: Inspection and Entry - NMAA requests that this Condition be revised to clarify to ensure essential legal privileges are not overridden in the permit.

Response 10: No changes have been made to Condition B.21. IDEM will not include the languages suggested by NMAA in the permit.

Comment 11: C.3: Opacity - NMAA requests that the reference to 326 IAC 5-1-1 be added to ensure that the exception for water vapor is preserved in the permit

C.3 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 5-1-2 (Opacity Limitations), except as provided in **326 IAC 5-1-1 (Applicability)** and 326 IAC 5-1-3 (Temporary Alternative Opacity Limitations), opacity **not including condensed water vapor** shall meet the following, unless otherwise stated in this permit:

Response 11: Condition C.3 has been revised in the permit.

Comment 12: C.11: Compliance Monitoring - NMAA requests that this Condition be revised to limit it to Compliance-related requirements as required by this permit.

C.11 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)][326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

Unless otherwise specified in this permit, all monitoring and record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented **within not later than** ninety (90) days **of after** permit issuance. ~~If required by Section D, the~~ **The** Permittee shall be responsible for installing any ~~necessary~~ **required by this permit** equipment and initiating any required monitoring related to that equipment. If due to circumstances beyond its control, that equipment cannot be installed

and operated within ninety (90) days, the Permittee may extend the compliance schedule related to the equipment for an additional ninety (90) days provided the Permittee notifies:
.....

Response 12: Condition C.11 has been revised in the permit.

Comment 13: C.16: Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test - For subcondition (a), NMAA requests that any references to "appropriate actions" be replaced with "reasonable steps." In addition, SDI requests that an additional subcondition be added to provide NMAA and IDEM with the option to agree to a different schedule of activities for a retest.

C.16 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-8-4][326 IAC 2-8-5]

- (a) When the results of a stack test performed in conformance with Section C - Performance Testing, of this permit exceed the level specified in any condition of this permit, the Permittee shall take appropriate response actions. The Permittee shall submit a description of these response actions to IDEM, OAQ, ~~within~~ **not later than** thirty (30) days ~~of~~ **after** receipt of the test results. The Permittee shall take appropriate action to minimize excess emissions from the affected facility while the ~~response actions~~ **reasonable steps** are being implemented.
- (b) A retest to demonstrate compliance shall be performed ~~within~~ **not later than** one hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of the original test results. Should the Permittee demonstrate to IDEM, OAQ that retesting in one hundred twenty (120) days is not practicable, IDEM, OAQ may extend the retesting deadline.
- (c) IDEM, OAQ reserves the authority to take any actions allowed under law in response to noncompliant stack tests.

The response action documents submitted pursuant to this condition do require the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Response 13: Condition C.16 has been revised in the permit.

Comment 14: C.18: General Reporting Requirements - NMAA requests that Subcondition(a) be revised to consolidate requirements from Condition B.15: Deviations from Permit Requirements. In addition, NMAA requests that Subcondition (d) be deleted because this is redundant to each D Section Reporting Requirements.

C.18 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)(C)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) The Permittee shall submit the attached Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report or its equivalent. Any deviation from permit requirements, the date(s) of each deviation, the cause of the deviation, and the response steps taken must be reported **except that a deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of this permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in this report.** This report shall be submitted ~~within~~ **not later than** thirty (30) days ~~of~~ **after** the end of the reporting period. The Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report shall include the certification by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1). **A deviation is an exceedance of a permit limitation of a failure to comply with a requirement of the permit.**
.....

Response 14: Condition C.18 has been revised in the permit.

Comment 15: D.1 Generally - NMAA requests that all references to chlorine injection and all accompanying limits be removed because NMAA's facility no longer perform chlorine injection.

SECTION D.1 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description:

- (a) One (1) 30.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (H), identified as EU-01, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 8.6 million British thermal unit per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #3, exhausting through Stacks S6 and S8, constructed in 1998, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 6,150 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (b) One (1) 40.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (E), identified as EU-02, equipped with four (4) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 5.50 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse, known as DC #4, exhausting through Stack S7, constructed 1994, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 8050 pounds of aluminum per hour;
- (c) One (1) 20.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (M), identified as EU-03, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of at 8.0 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #1, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 4,260 pounds of aluminum per hour;

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

Response 15: All references to maximum capacity in Section D.1 have been revised to nominal capacity.

Comment 16: D.1.2 FESOP Minor Limits - Permittee has no information that HCL emissions would exceed to tpy and, therefore does not admit that a permit limit on HCL is appropriate. However, if a limit on HCL is included, NMAA request that this Condition be revised to reflect the new limits not to exceed 10 tpy of HAPs to remain as minor source of HAPs.

D.1.2 PSD and FESOP Minor Limit [326 IAC 2-2] [326 IAC 2-8-4]

The Permittee shall comply with the following:

- (a) The total throughput of flux to the three reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 shall be limited to less than ~~400.8~~ **399** tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period ~~and the chlorine injection to the three reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 shall be limited to less than 141.6 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period~~ with compliance determined at the end of each month. ~~This will limit the amount of any single HAP to less than ten (10) tons per year and total HAPs to less than twenty five (25) tons per year and HCL emissions shall not exceed:~~

~~(1) 30.0 metric ton Reverberatory furnace, identified as EU-01~~

~~(a) 0.005~~ **0.025** pounds of HCL per pound of flux

~~(b) 0.05 pounds of Cl₂ per pound of chlorine injected~~

~~(2) — 40.0 metric ton Reverberatory furnace, identified as EU-02~~

~~(a) — 0.005 pounds of HCL per pound of flux~~

~~(b) — 0.05 pounds of Cl₂ per pound of chlorine injected~~

~~(3) — 20.0 metric ton Reverberatory furnace, identified as EU-03~~

~~(a) — 0.005 pounds of HCL per pound of flux~~

~~(b) — There is no chlorine injection in to the 20 metric ton furnace~~

Compliance with the above limits in combination with potential emissions from other emission units will ensure that the source wide potential to emit of any single HAP and total HAPs will be less than 10 and 25 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period, respectively and will render 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70) not applicable to this source.

Response 16: The HAPs limit has been revised.

Comment 17: D.1.3: Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes - NMAA requests that the process weight for Reverberatory Furnace (E) and Reverberatory Furnace (M) be revised to equal the nominal capacity of aluminum per hour as consistent with the rate used to calculate PTE in Appendix A.

D.1.3 Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2(e), (Particulate Emissions Limitations for Manufacturing Processes), the allowable particulate matter (PM) emissions from the three (3) reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 shall not exceed the pounds per hour when operating at the process weight shown in the table below:

Operation	Process Weight (tons/hr)	Allowable Limits (lbs/hr)
30 MT Reverberatory Fur. EU-01	3.075	8.7
40 MT Reverberatory Fur. EU-02	3.825 4.025	10.1 10.4
20 MT Reverberatory Fur. EU-03	2.177 2.13	6.9 6.8

Response 17: The typo in Condition D.1.3 has been corrected.

Comment 18: D.1.6: Testing Requirements - NMAA requests that this Condition be revised to clarify that continued requirement to conduct compliance demonstrations on the furnaces. In addition, NMAA requests that any testing requirement for HCl be deleted from the permit.

D.1.6 Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

(a) In order to determine compliance with Conditions D.1.1 and **D.1.3** ~~D.1.2~~, the Permittee shall perform PM and PM₁₀ testing by May 2011 **and at least once every five (5) years**

thereafter on one (1) of the two (2) reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01 and EU-03 utilizing methods as approved by the Commissioner. ~~This test shall be repeated at least once every five (5) years from the date of this valid compliance demonstration.~~ Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C- Performance Testing.

- (b) In order to determine compliance with Conditions D.1.1 and ~~D.1.3~~ **D.1.2**, the Permittee shall perform PM and PM₁₀ testing by June 2009 **and at least once every five (5) years thereafter** on the reverberatory furnace identified as EU-02 utilizing methods as approved by the Commissioner. ~~This test shall be repeated at least once every five (5) years from the date of this valid compliance demonstration.~~ Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C- Performance Testing.
- (c) Within 180 days after issuance of this Permit ~~F005-14161-00043~~ **F005-26965-00043** **and at least once every five (5) years thereafter**, in order to demonstrate compliance with Condition D.1.2, the Permittee shall perform HCL testing on ~~either any of ; the 30-MT~~ reverberatory furnace, identified as EU-01; **or the 40 M-T** reverberatory furnace, **identified as EU-02; or the 20 M-T reverberatory furnace, identified as reverberatory furnace EU-03**, utilizing methods as approved by the commissioner. ~~This test shall be repeated at least once every five (5) years from the date of this valid compliance demonstration.~~ Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C - Performance Testing.

Response 18: The Testing Requirements has been revised in the permit.

Comment 19: D.1.7:Broken or Failed Bag Detection - NMAA requests that this Condition be revised to address the practical working conditions of the reverberatory furnaces.

Response 19: IDEM, OAQ believes the current language is most appropriate for this condition. Therefore, this condition will not be revised.

Comment 20: D.1.8: Record Keeping Requirement - See comment on Condition D.1.2 regarding whether an emission limit for HCl is appropriate at all. If an emission limit is included, then NMAA requests that Subcondition (b) be revised as consistent with the language in Subcondition (a) to document compliance with Condition D.1.2

D.1.8 Record Keeping Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

- (a) To document compliance with Condition D.1.1(a) - PSD and Part 70 Minor Limit, the Permittee shall maintain monthly records of raw material throughput to the three reverberatory furnaces.
- (b) To document compliance with Condition D.1.2 - FESOP Minor Limit, the Permittee shall maintain monthly records **of flux usage**. ~~at the facility of the materials used that contain any HAPs. The records shall be complete and sufficient to establish compliance with the HAP usage limits and HAP emission limits that may be established in this permit. The records shall contains a minimum of the following:~~
- (1) ~~Flux and chlorine throughputs; and~~
- (2) ~~Identification of the facility or facilities associated with the usage of each HAP.~~
- (c) ~~All records shall be maintained in accordance with~~ Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements of this permit **contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to record keeping.**

Response 20: Condition D.1.8 has been revised.

Comment 21: D.2: Generally - Throughout Section D.2, NMAA requests that IDEM change "3 hour average" to "3-hour block average" to be consistent with D.2.4 Afterburner.

SECTION D.2 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description:

- (d) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#1), with a maximum capacity of at 1.59 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-04, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 8.50 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 4,400 pounds of aluminum chips per hour.
- (e) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#2), with a maximum capacity of at 3.26 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-05, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 11.2 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S5, constructed in 1994, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 8,419 pounds of aluminum chips per hour.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

Response 21: All references to maximum capacity in Section D.2 have been revised to nominal capacity.

Comment 22: D.2.1: FESOP Minor Limit - NMAA request that the total usage of aluminum chips be limited to less than "56,147" ton per 12 consecutive month period (not "51,600") as consistent with the rate used to calculate PTE in Appendix A.

D.2.1 FESOP Minor Limit [326 IAC 2-8-4]

The Permittee shall comply with the following:

- (a) The total throughput of aluminum chips to the two chip dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05 shall be less than ~~51,600~~ **56,147** tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period, with compliance determined at the end of the month.
 - (b) The total VOC emission rate from the ~~three~~ two chip dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05 shall not exceed 2.72 pounds of VOC per ton of aluminum chips throughput.
-

Response 22: The typo in Condition D.2.1 has been corrected.

Comment 23: D.2.4: Afterburner - NMAA requests that the redlined items be deleted because the language in this condition is duplicative to condition D.2.6(a).

D.2.4 Afterburner [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)(D)]

The afterburners shall operate at all times that the two (2) chip dryers are in operation. ~~When operating, the afterburner for chip dryer #1, identified as EU-04 and chip dryer #2, identified as EU-05 shall maintain a minimum 3 hour average temperature of 1300°F (from 2004 test) and 1200°F (from 2006 test), respectively or 3 hour average minimum temperature determined in the latest compliance testing to maintain an overall destruction efficiency of not less than ninety-five percent (95%) of volatile organic compound (VOC) in order to determine compliance with Condition D.2.1(b).~~

Response 23: Condition D.2.4 has been revised.

Comment 24: D.2.5: Testing Requirements - NMAA request that the requirement to determine fan amperage or duct pressure during performance testing be deleted consistent with the comment on Condition D.2.6.

D.2.5 Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) In order determine compliance with Condition D.2.1(b), the Permittee shall conduct performance test by June 2009 on the chip dryer #1 (EU-04) to determine the destruction efficiency of the afterburner for VOC, and to determine the 3-hour block average temperature ~~and fan amperage or duct pressure~~ necessary for compliance with Condition D.2.4 - Afterburner using methods approved by the Commissioner. Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C - Performance Testing.
- (b) In order determine compliance with Condition D.2.1(b), the Permittee shall conduct performance test by May 2011 on the chip dryer #2 (EU-05) to determine the destruction efficiency of the afterburner for VOC, and to determine the 3-hour block average temperature ~~and fan amperage or duct pressure~~ necessary for compliance with Condition D.2.4 - Afterburner using methods approved by the Commissioner. Testing shall be conducted in accordance with Section C - Performance Testing.

Response 24: Condition D.2.5 has been revised.

Comment 25: D.2.6: Parametric Monitoring - NMAA requests that IDEM deleted Subcondition (d) because it is not relevant to demonstrate compliance.

D.2.6 Parametric Monitoring [326 IAC 2-8-5(a)(1)]

- (a) A continuous monitoring system shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated on the afterburner for measuring operating temperature. For purposes of this condition continuous shall mean temperature measurement no less often than once per minute. The output of this system shall be recorded as 3-hour **block** average. From the date of the start up of the afterburner until the approved stack test results are available, the Permittee shall operate the chip dryer #1 and chip dryer #2 afterburners at or above the 3-hour **block** average temperature of 1300°F and 1200°F respectively.

A reading that is below the temperature established in the most recent compliant stack test is not a deviation from this permit. Failure to take response steps in accordance with Section C - Response to Excursions or Exceedances shall be considered as a deviation from the permit.
- (b) The Permittee shall determine the 3-hour **block** average temperature from the most recent valid stack test approved by IDEM that demonstrates compliance with Condition D.2.1(b) - FESOP Minor Limit.
- (c) On and after the date the approved stack test results are available, the Permittee shall operate the afterburner at or above the 3-hour **block** average temperature as observed during the compliant stack test. When for any one reading, the temperature is below the normal temperature as established in most recent compliant stack test, the Permittee shall take reasonable response steps in accordance with Section C- Response to Excursions and Exceedances. A reading that is below the temperature as established in most recent compliant stack test is not a deviation from this permit. Failure to take response steps in accordance with section C - Response to Excursions or Exceedances shall be considered as a deviation from the permit.
- ~~(d) The duct pressure or fan amperage for EU-04 and EU-05 shall be observed at least once per~~

~~day when the afterburner is in operation. When for any one reading, the duct pressure or amperage is outside the normal range as established in the unit's most recent compliant stack test, the Permittee shall take reasonable response steps in accordance with Section C - Response to Excursions and Exceedances. A reading that is outside the range as established in most recent compliant stack test is not a deviation from this permit. Failure to take response steps in accordance with section C - Response to Excursions or Exceedances shall be considered as a deviation from the permit.~~

Response 25: Condition D.2.6 has been revised.

Comment 26: D.3 Generally - NMAA request Section D.3 be deleted because, even with the actual revised throughput, this is exempt from 326 IAC 6-3-2 for the fact that potential emissions are less than 0.551 pounds per hour and therefore exempted under 326 IAC 6-3-2

SECTION D.3 — EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description: Insignificant Activities

~~(a) The dross processing has a capacity to generate 0.102 tons per hour.
(326 IAC 6-3-2).~~

~~(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)~~

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

D.3.1 Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes [326 IAC 6-3-2]

~~Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2(c), the allowable particulate matter (PM) emissions from dross process shall not exceed 0.89 pounds per hour emission rate established by the equation:~~

~~Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to sixty thousand (60,000) pounds per hour was determined by use of the equation:~~

$$~~E = 4.10 \times P^{0.67}~~$$

~~Where:~~

~~P = process weight in tons/hr and
E = rate of emission in pounds per hour~~

Response 26: Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-1(b)(14), the dross processing potential to emit is less than 0.551 pounds per hour and is therefore exempt from this rule. Therefore, Section D.3 has been deleted from the permit.

Comment 27: Appendix A Generally - NMAA notes that there are several typographical errors in labeling in the emissions calculations table (e.g reference to tons bar/hr and tons iron /hr). Therefore, NMAA requests that IDEM correct the units.

Process	Rate (tons Al /hr)	Pollutant	Ef (lb/ton produced)	Ebc (tons/yr)	Eac (tons/yr)	Type of Control	Control Efficiency (%)
30 MT Rever. Fur EU-01	3.075	PM	4.30	57.91	2.90	Baghouse	95.00%
		PM-10	2.60	35.02	1.75	Baghouse	95.00%
		SO2	0.00	0.00	0.00		
		NOx	0.00	0.00	0.00		
		VOC	0.20	2.69	2.69		
		CO	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Methodology

Uncontrolled Emissions = Capacity (tons/hr)*Emission Factor (lb/ton)*8760hrs/yr *1ton/2000lb

Controlled Emissions = Uncontrolled Emissions*(1- Control Efficiency)

Emission Factor based on SCC# 3-04-001-03

.....

Process	Rate (tons Al /hr)	Pollutant	Ef (lb/ton produced)	Ebc (tons/yr)	Eac (tons/yr)	Type of Control	Control Efficiency (%)
40 MT Reve. Fur EU-02	4.025	PM	4.30	75.81	0.76	Baghouse	99.00%
		PM-10	2.60	45.84	0.46	Baghouse	99.00%
		SO2	0.00	0.00	0.00		
		NOx	0.00	0.00	0.00		
		VOC	0.20	3.53	3.53		
		CO	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Methodology

Uncontrolled Emissions = Capacity (tons/hr)*Emission Factor (lb/ton)*8760hrs/yr *1ton/2000lb

Controlled Emissions = Uncontrolled Emissions*(1- Control Efficiency)

Emission Factor based on SCC# 3-04-001-03

.....

Process	Rate (tons Al /hr)	Pollutant	Ef (lb/ton produced)	Ebc (tons/yr)	Eac (tons/yr)	Type of Control	Control Efficiency (%)
20 MT Reve. Fur EU-03	2.13	PM	4.30	40.12	0.4012	Baghouse	99.00%
		PM-10	2.60	24.26	0.2426	Baghouse	99.00%
		SO2	0.00	0.00	0.00		
		NOx	0.00	0.00	0.00		
		VOC	0.20	1.87	1.87		
		CO	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Methodology

Uncontrolled Emissions = Capacity (tons/hr)*Emission Factor (lb/ton)*8760hrs/yr *1ton/2000lb

Controlled Emissions = Uncontrolled Emissions*(1- Control Efficiency)
 Emission Factor based on SCC# 3-04-001-03

Process	Rate (tons bars /hr)	Pollutant	Ef (lb/ton produced)	Ebc (tons/yr)	Eac (tons/yr)	Type of Control	Control Efficiency (%)
Chip Dryer #1 EU-04	2.2	PM	0.00	0.00	0.00		
		PM-10	0.00	0.00	0.00		
		SO2	2.90	27.94	27.94		
		NOx	0.90	8.67	8.67		
		VOC	3.40	32.76	1.64	Afterburner	95.00%
		CO	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Methodology

Uncontrolled Emissions = Capacity (tons/hr)*Emission Factor (lb/ton)*8760hrs/yr * 1ton/2000lb

Controlled Emissions = Uncontrolled Emissions*(1- Control Efficiency)

Stack test of 1996 and Fire 6.23 SCC# 304-001-09

Process	Rate (tons iron /hr)	Pollutant	Ef (lb/ton produced)	Ebc (tons/yr)	Eac (tons/yr)	Type of Control	Control Efficiency (%)
Chip Dryer #2 EU-05	4.2095	PM	0	0.00	0.00		
		PM-10	0	0.00	0.00		
		SO2	2.90	53.47	53.47		
		NOx	0.90	16.59	16.59		
		VOC	3.40	62.76	3.14	Afterburner	95.00%
		CO	0.00	0.00	0.00		

Methodology

Uncontrolled Emissions = Capacity (tons/hr)*Emission Factor (lb/ton)*8760hrs/yr * 1ton/2000lb

Controlled Emissions = Uncontrolled Emissions*(1- Control Efficiency)

Emission factor from stack testing of January 1996 observed and approved by IDEM

Stack test of 1996 and Fire 6.23 SCC# 304-001-09

Process	Rate (tons iron /hr)	Pollutant	Ef (lb/ton produced)	Ebc (tons/yr)	Eac (tons/yr)	Type of Control	Control Efficiency (%)
Pouring/Casting EU-08	22	PM	0	0.00	0.00	none	none

		PM-10	0	0.00	0.00		
		SO2	0.02	1.93	1.93		
		NOx	0.01	0.96	0.96		
		VOC	0.14	13.49	13.49		
		CO	0	0.00	0.00		

Methodology

Uncontrolled Emissions = Capacity (tons/hr)*Emission Factor (lb/ton)*8760hrs/yr * 1ton/2000lb

Controlled Emissions = Uncontrolled Emissions*(1- Control Efficiency)

Fires 6.23 EPA SCC# 3-04-0013 -1420

.....

Process	Rate (lb/hr)	Pollutant	Ef (lb/ton produced)	Ebc (tons/yr)	Eac (tons/yr)	Type of Control	Control Efficiency (%)
EU -01 Fluxing Reverberatory 30 MT Furnace H	6.6	PM	1000	14.45	0.72	Baghouse	95.00%
		PM10	532	7.69	0.38	Baghouse	95.00%
EU -02 Fluxing Reverberatory 40 MT Furnace E	8.8	PM	1000	19.27	0.19	Baghouse	99.00%
		PM10	532	10.25	0.10	Baghouse	99.00%
EU -03 Fluxing Reverberatory 20 MT Furnace M	4.4	PM	1000	9.64	0.10	Baghouse	99.00%
		PM10	532	5.13	0.05	Baghouse	99.00%

Methodology

Uncontrolled Emissions = Capacity (tons/hr)*Emission Factor (lb/ton)*8760hrs/yr * 1ton/2000lb

Controlled Emissions = Uncontrolled Emissions*(1- Control Efficiency)

Fires 6.23 EPA SCC# 3-04-001-04

.....

Dross capacity (lbs/hr)	Dross capacity (tons/hr)	PM Emission factor (lbs/ton)	PM ₁₀ Emission factor (lbs/ton)	PM Emissions (lbs/hr)	PM ₁₀ Emissions (lbs/hr)	PM Emissions (tons/yr)	PM ₁₀ Emissions (tons/yr)
203.8 764	0.1019 0.382	0.101	0.151	0.04 0.04	0.02 0.06	0.05 0.169	0.07 0.253

Methodology

PM/PM₁₀ Emissions (ton/yr) = Dross capacity (tons/hr) x Emissions factor x 8760hr/yr x 1ton/2000lb

Emission factor based on an other facility approved by

IDEM

Response 27: The typos in the calculations have been deleted. The dross cooling calculation has been revised in the permit and all the emission factor used in the calculation are from the SCC number which is equivalent to the AP-42.

Other Changes

Upon further review, IDEM, OAQ has decided to make the following changes to the permit No. F005-14161-00043. Deleted language appears as ~~strikethrough~~ text and new language appears as **bold** text:

Change 1: This permit did not allow incineration, therefore, the sentence suggested by NMAA "or in this permit" shall not be included in the permit.

C.5 Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]

The Permittee shall not operate an incinerator or incinerate any waste or refuse except as provided in 326 IAC 4-2 and 326 IAC 9-1-2.

Change 2: The daily records of the duct pressures or fan amperage has been deleted from the permit and the continuous temperature record condition has been updated.

D.2.7 Record Keeping Requirement [326 IAC 2-8-4(3)]

(a) To document compliance with Condition D.2.6 - Parametric Monitoring, the Permittee shall maintain:
(1) Continuous temperature records and 3 hour **block** average temperature records of the afterburners.

~~(2) Daily records of the duct pressures or fan amperages.~~

~~(c) All records shall be maintained in accordance with Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements of this permit~~ **contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to record keeping.**

Change 3: All references to maximum capacity in Section E have been revised to nominal capacity.

SECTION E EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description:

- (a) One (1) 30.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (H), identified as EU-01, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 8.6 million British thermal unit per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #3, exhausting through Stacks S6 and S8, constructed in 1998, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 6,150 pounds of aluminum per hour; ~~with the addition of 6.6 pounds of flux per hour;~~
- (b) One (1) 40.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (E), identified as EU-02, equipped with four (4) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of 5.50 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse, known as DC #4, exhausting through Stack S7, constructed 1994, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 8050 pounds of aluminum per hour; ~~with the addition of 8.8 pounds of flux per hour;~~
- (c) One (1) 20.0 metric ton reverberatory furnace (M), identified as EU-03, equipped with two (2) natural gas-fired burners, with a maximum capacity of at 8.0 million British thermal units per hour each, equipped with a baghouse using lime injection, identified as DC #1,

exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 4,260 pounds of aluminum per hour; ~~with the addition of 4.4 pounds of flux per hour;~~

(d) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#1), with a maximum capacity of at 1.59 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-04, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 8.50 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S3, constructed in 1990, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 4,400 pounds of aluminum chips per hour; and

(e) One (1) natural gas-fired chip dryer (#2), with a maximum capacity of at 3.26 million British thermal units per hour, identified as EU-05, equipped with an afterburner, with a maximum capacity of at 11.2 million British thermal units per hour, exhausting through Stack S5, constructed in 1994, with a ~~maximum~~ **nominal** capacity of 8,419 pounds of aluminum chips per hour.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-8-4(1)]

.....

E.1.2 NESHAP Supart RRR Requirements [40 CFR 63, Subpart RRR]

Pursuant to 40 CFR, Subpart RRR, the Permittee shall comply with ~~the~~ **applicable** provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart RRR, for existing three Reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03 and the two Chip dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05 beginning March 24, 2003.

Change 4: The addresses for the Permit Branch and Compliance Branch have changed to Permit Administration and Support Section (PASS) and Compliance and Enforcement Branch, respectively. The addresses have been updated throughout the permit.

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance **and Enforcement** Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

.....
Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permits ~~Branch~~ **Administration and Support Section (PASS)**, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

Change 5: The quarterly report has been updated in the permit.

**INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY
COMPLIANCE DATA SECTION**

FESOP Quarterly Report

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
FESOP Permit No.: F005-14161-00043

Facility: Two (2) Chip Dryers, identified as EU-04 and EU-05
Parameter: Total aluminum throughput
Limit: less than ~~51,600~~ **56,147** tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period

**INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY
COMPLIANCE DATA SECTION**

Flux Usage FESOP Quarterly Report

Source Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc
Source Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
Mailing Address: 6875 South Inwood Drive, Columbus, Indiana 47201
FESOP Permit No.: F005-14161-00043
Facility: Three (3) reverberatory furnaces, identified as EU-01, EU-02 and EU-03
Parameter: HAPs
Limit: ~~9 tons per year for individual HAP
24 tons per year for total HAPs
Equivalent to 400.8 tons per year~~ **Less than 399 tons per year twelve (12) consecutive month period of flux and 141.6 tons per year of chlorine**

Conclusion and Recommendation

Unless otherwise stated, information used in this review was derived from the application and additional information submitted by the applicant. An application for the purposes of this review was received on September 2, 2008.

The source shall be subject to the conditions of the attached proposed FESOP Significant Revision No. 005-26965-00043. The staff recommends to the Commissioner that this FESOP Significant Revision be approved.



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr.
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-8603
Toll Free (800) 451-6027
www.idem.IN.gov

SENT VIA U.S. MAIL: CONFIRMED DELIVERY AND SIGNATURE REQUESTED

TO: Scott Larrison
Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc.
6875 S. Inwood Drive
Columbus, IN 47201

DATE: June 8, 2009

FROM: Matt Stuckey, Branch Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

SUBJECT: Final Decision
Significant Permit Revision
005-26965-00043

Enclosed is the final decision and supporting materials for the air permit application referenced above. Please note that this packet contains the original, signed, permit documents.

The final decision is being sent to you because our records indicate that you are the contact person for this application. However, if you are not the appropriate person within your company to receive this document, please forward it to the correct person.

A copy of the final decision and supporting materials has also been sent via standard mail to:
OAQ Permits Branch Interested Parties List

If you have technical questions regarding the enclosed documents, please contact the Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch at (317) 233-0178, or toll-free at 1-800-451-6027 (ext. 3-0178), and ask to speak to the permit reviewer who prepared the permit. If you think you have received this document in error, please contact Joanne Smiddie-Brush of my staff at 1-800-451-6027 (ext 3-0185), or via e-mail at jbrush@idem.IN.gov.

Final Applicant Cover letter.dot 11/30/07



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr.
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-8603
Toll Free (800) 451-6027
www.idem.IN.gov

June 8, 2009

TO: Bartholomew County Public Library

From: Matthew Stuckey, Branch Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

Subject: **Important Information for Display Regarding a Final Determination**

Applicant Name: Nikkei M C Aluminum America, Inc.
Permit Number: 005-26965-00043

You previously received information to make available to the public during the public comment period of a draft permit. Enclosed is a copy of the final decision and supporting materials for the same project. Please place the enclosed information along with the information you previously received. To ensure that your patrons have ample opportunity to review the enclosed permit, **we ask that you retain this document for at least 60 days.**

The applicant is responsible for placing a copy of the application in your library. If the permit application is not on file, or if you have any questions concerning this public review process, please contact Joanne Smiddie-Brush, OAQ Permits Administration Section at 1-800-451-6027, extension 3-0185.

Enclosures
Final Library.dot 11/30/07

Mail Code 61-53

IDEM Staff	GHOTOPP 6/8/2009 NIKKEI MC Aluminum America, Inc 005-26965-00043 Final		AFFIX STAMP HERE IF USED AS CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	
Name and address of Sender		Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality – Permits Branch 100 N. Senate Indianapolis, IN 46204	Type of Mail: CERTIFICATE OF MAILING ONLY	

Line	Article Number	Name, Address, Street and Post Office Address	Postage	Handing Charges	Act. Value (If Registered)	Insured Value	Due Send if COD	R.R. Fee	S.D. Fee	S.H. Fee	Rest. Del. Fee	Remarks
1		Scott Larrison NIKKEI MC Aluminum America, Inc 6875 S. Inwood Dr. Columbus IN 47201 (Source CAATS) via confirmed delivery										
2		Columbus City Council and Mayors Office 123 Washington St Columbus IN 47201 (Local Official)										
3		Mr. Elbert Held 734 Hutchins Columbus IN 47201 (Affected Party)										
4		Mr. Boris Ladwig 333 2nd St Columbus IN 47201 (Affected Party)										
5		Eileen Booher 1316 Chestnut St. Columbus IN 47201 (Affected Party)										
6		Mr. Lcnfc 1039 Sycamore St Columbus IN 47201 (Affected Party)										
7		Bartholomew Co Public Library 536 Fifth St. Columbus IN 47201-6225 (Library)										
8		Bartholomew County Commissioners 440 Third Street Columbus IN 47202 (Local Official)										
9		Mr. Jean Terpstra 3210 Grove Pkwy Columbus IN 47203 (Affected Party)										
10		August Tindell 31 Reo Street Columbus IN 47201 (Affected Party)										
11		Terry Lowe 1110 Central Ave. Columbus IN 47201 (Affected Party)										
12		Mr. Charles Mitch 3210 Grove Parkway Columbus IN 47203 (Affected Party)										
13		Bartholomew County Health Department 440 3rd Street, Suite 303 Columbus IN 47201 (Health Department)										
14		Ms. Lynda L. Place 7421 Bull Rapids Rd Woodburn IN 46797 (Affected Party)										
15												

Total number of pieces Listed by Sender	Total number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of Receiving employee)	The full declaration of value is required on all domestic and international registered mail. The maximum indemnity payable for the reconstruction of nonnegotiable documents under Express Mail document reconstructing insurance is \$50,000 per piece subject to a limit of \$50, 000 per occurrence. The maximum indemnity payable on Express mil merchandise insurance is \$500. The maximum indemnity payable is \$25,000 for registered mail, sent with optional postal insurance. See Domestic Mail Manual R900, S913, and S921 for limitations of coverage on inured and COD mail. See International Mail Manual for limitations o coverage on international mail. Special handling charges apply only to Standard Mail (A) and Standard Mail (B) parcels.
13			