INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr.
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-8603
Toll Free (800) 451-6027
www.idem.IN.gov

TO: Interested Parties / Applicant

DATE: July 9, 2010

RE: Bunge North America (East), LLC / 001-29347I-00005

FROM: Matthew Stuckey, Branch Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

Notice of Decision: Approval - Effective Immediately

Please be advised that on behalf of the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Management, I have issued a decision regarding the enclosed matter. Pursuant to IC 13-15-5-3, this permit is effective immediately, unless a petition for stay of effectiveness is filed and granted according to IC 13-15-6-3, and may be revoked or modified in accordance with the provisions of IC 13-15-7-1.

If you wish to challenge this decision, IC 4-21.5-3 and IC 13-15-6-1 require that you file a petition for administrative review. This petition may include a request for stay of effectiveness and must be submitted to the Office of Environmental Adjudication, 100 North Senate Avenue, Government Center North, Suite N 501E, Indianapolis, IN 46204, **within eighteen (18) calendar days of the mailing of this notice**. The filing of a petition for administrative review is complete on the earliest of the following dates that apply to the filing:

(1) the date the document is delivered to the Office of Environmental Adjudication (OEA);
(2) the date of the postmark on the envelope containing the document, if the document is mailed to OEA by U.S. mail; or
(3) The date on which the document is deposited with a private carrier, as shown by receipt issued by the carrier, if the document is sent to the OEA by private carrier.

The petition must include facts demonstrating that you are either the applicant, a person aggrieved or adversely affected by the decision or otherwise entitled to review by law. Please identify the permit, decision, or other order for which you seek review by permit number, name of the applicant, location, date of this notice and all of the following:

(1) the name and address of the person making the request;
(2) the interest of the person making the request;
(3) identification of any persons represented by the person making the request;
(4) the reasons, with particularity, for the request;
(5) the issues, with particularity, proposed for considerations at any hearing; and
(6) identification of the terms and conditions which, in the judgment of the person making the request, would be appropriate in the case in question to satisfy the requirements of the law governing documents of the type issued by the Commissioner.

If you have technical questions regarding the enclosed documents, please contact the Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch at (317) 233-0178. Callers from within Indiana may call toll-free at 1-800-451-6027, ext. 3-0178.

Enclosures
FNPER.dot12/03/07

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr.
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-8603
Toll Free (800) 451-6027
www.idem.IN.gov

Ms Christine Thomas
Bunge North America (East), LLC
1200 N 2nd Street
Decatur, IN 46733

July 9, 2010

Re: Interim Significant Source Modification Petition Approval
001-29347I-00005

Dear Ms. Thomas:

On June 23, 2010, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) received an interim Significant Source Modification petition from Bunge North America (East), LLC, located at 1200 N 2nd Street, in Decatur, Indiana for construction of a hammermill and pneumatic conveying system.

A public notice of the interim Significant Source Modification petition was published in the Decatur Daily Democrat on June 22, 2010. The public comment period ended on July 6, 2010.

There were no comments received during the public comment period. This interim Significant Source Modification petition is in effect on July 12, 2010 and expires on the effective date of the final Significant Source Modification permit.

The interim Significant Source Modification petition may be revoked after the effective date upon a written finding by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) that any of the reasons for denial in 326 IAC 2-13-1(h) exist or if the final Significant Source Modification permit is denied. The IDEM has reviewed this interim Significant Source Modification petition and has not found any such reason. The facilities subject to this approval may not operate until the final Significant Permit Modification is issued by OAQ.

The interim Significant Source Modification petition is federally enforceable. Detailed construction and operation conditions will be specified in the final Significant Source Modification permit 001-29347-00005.

If you have any questions regarding this interim Significant Source Modification petition, please contact Kristen Layton, OAQ, 100 North Senate Avenue, MC 61-53, Room 1003, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46204-2251, or call at (800) 451-6027, and ask for Kristen Layton or extension 3-3031, or dial (317) 233-3031.

Sincerely,

Matthew Stuckey, Branch Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

Enclosure: Interim Permit Evaluation (3 pages)

krl/dfr

cc: File – Adams County
Adams County Health Department
U.S. EPA, Region V
Compliance and Enforcement Branch

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Management

Interim Significant Permit Revision / Significant Source Modification Evaluation Sheet

Company Name:	Bunge North America (East), LLC		
Location:	1200 N 2nd Street, Decatur, IN 46733	**Permit No**:	001-29347I-00005
Permit Reviewer:	Kristen Layton	**Date Receipt of Application:**	6/24/2010
		Date of review:	7/8/2010
Description of the interim construction:	new hammermill and pneumatic conveying system		
Public Notice Period = 6/22/2010 to 7/6/2010			
Public Notice Date + 3 days = 17 days =	7/9/2010		

Interim Petition Applicability: 326 IAC 2-13-1

(a) Existing Source with valid permit;

(b) Exemptions:

(1) construction of a PSD source or PSD modification;

(2) construction or modification in nonattainment area that would emit those pollutants for which the nonattainment designation is based.

(3) any modification subject to 326 IAC 2-4.1.

(c) Public notice comment period is 14 calendar days.

Instructions: Check (✓) appropriate answers and make a recommendation.

1. Did the applicant submit a written petition for an interim significant permit revision or significant source modification?

☒ Yes Go to question 2.
☐ No Ignore verbal request.

2. Did the applicant pay the applicable interim permit fee? $625 for TV, FESOP, and SSOA. $500 for MSOP.

☒ Yes Go to question 3.
☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(1).

Comments: _____

3. Did the applicant state acceptance of federal enforceability of an interim significant permit revision or significant source modification?

☒ Yes Go to question 4.
☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(D).

4. Did the applicant or its authorized agent sign the application?

☒ Yes Go to question 5.
☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(E).

5. Did the applicant submit a notarized affidavit stating that the applicant will proceed at its own risk (if the interim significant permit revision or significant source modification is issued), including, but not limited to:

   (a) Financial risk,

   (b) Risk that additional emission controls may be required,

   (c) Risk that the final significant permit revision or significant source modification may be denied.

   ☒ Yes Go to question 6.
   ☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(F).

6. Did the applicant begin construction prior to submitting the interim significant permit revision or significant source modification application?

   ☐ Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(6).
   ☒ No Go to question 7.

7. What is the type of the interim construction?

   ☐ New Source Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(a)
   ☒ Modification to an existing source Go to question 8.

8. Did the applicant present data in the interim significant permit revision or significant source modification that is sufficient to determine PSD, NSPS, NESHAP, and state rule compliance?

   ☒ Yes Go to question 9.
   ☐ No Deny the application pursuant to:
   326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(B), for PSD;
   326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C), for NSPS or NESHAP;
   326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C), for state rules.

9. Is the proposed modification to be located in a nonattainment area?

   ☐ Yes Go to question 10.
   ☒ No Go to question 11.

   County: Adams County

   Comments: _____

10. Will the proposed modification emit the pollutant for which the area is nonattainment in quantities greater than the significant levels?

    ☐ Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(a)(2).
    ☐ No Go to question 11.

11. Did the petition include a complete description of the process?

    ☒ Yes Go to question 12.
    ☐ No Deny the petition, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2).

12. Did the interim significant permit revision or significant source modification petition contain conditions accepting either emission controls (baghouse, afterburners, scrubbers, etc.) or enforceable limits or other suitable restriction to avoid PSD applicability; as well as control parameters (incinerator operating temperature, baghouse pressure drop, etc.)? The specific limits must be explicitly spelled out (i.e.: The gas consumption of the boiler shall not exceed 29 million cubic feet per month.) A statement such as that the company agrees to conditions such that PSD rules are not applicable is not acceptable.

    ☒ Yes Go to question 13.
    ☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(B).

13. Do the emission controls and/or throughput limits prevent PSD applicability?

☒ Yes Go to question 14.
☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(B).

14. Will the modification, after application of all emission controls and/or throughput limitations comply with all applicable New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) (40 CFR 60)?

☒ Yes Go to question 15.
☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).

15. Will the modification, after application of all emission controls and/or throughput limitations comply with all applicable National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)?

☒ Yes Go to question 16.
☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).

16. Will the modification, after application of all emission controls and/or throughput limitations, comply with all applicable state rules?

☒ Yes Go to question 17.
☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).

17. Does the applicant dispute applicability of any applicable state or federal rule?

☐ Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).
☒ No Go to question 18.

18. Is there good reason to believe that the applicant does not intend to construct in accordance with the interim significant permit revision or significant source modification petition?

☐ Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(1).
☒ No Go to question 19.

19. Is there good reason to believe that information in the petition has been falsified?

☐ Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(7).
☒ No Approve the interim significant permit revision or significant source modification petition.

20. Has the petition been adequately public noticed? A proof of publication copy is necessary.

☒ Yes Go to question 21.
☐ No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(e).

Newspaper: The Decatur Daily Democrat, Decatur, IN

Date of publication: June 22, 2010

21. Were comments received within seventeen (17) days after the public notice of the interim significant permit revision or significant source modification?

(14 calendar days for comment period + 3 working days for mailing)

☐ Yes Evaluate the comments received, and make a recommendation.
X No Issue the final interim significant permit revision or significant source modification approval.

Comments: _____

_____

Recommendation: Approval

Date the applicant was informed of the decision: July 12, 2010

Method of informing the applicant: email

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Quality

Potential to Emit Calculations

Source Description and Location

Company Name:	Bunge North America, (East), LLC
Address City IN Zip:	1200 N 2nd Street, Decatur, IN 46733
County:	Adams
SIC / NAICS Code:	2079/311222
Interim Significant Source Modification No.:	001-29347I -00005
Significant Permit Modification No.:	001-29371-00005
Permit Reviewer:	Kristen Layton
Date:	June 10, 2010

Summary of Potential to Emit

The tables below summarize the potential to emit calculations for Bunge

	Uncontrolled Potential To Emit (ton/yr)		
Process / Emission Unit	**PM**	**PM_{10}**	**$PM_{2.5}$**
Screenings Hammermill	49.10	29.40	11.00
Screenings Pneumatic Convey	49.10	29.40	11.00
Totals:	**98.2**	**58.8**	**22.0**

	Limited Potential To Emit (ton/yr)		
Process / Emission Unit	**PM**	**PM_{10}**	**$PM_{2.5}$**
Screenings Hammermill	0.80	0.80	0.30
Screenings Pneumatic Convey	0.11	0.11	0.042
Totals:	**0.91**	**0.91**	**0.34**

Screenings Hammermill

Maximum capacity (ton/hr)	5.60
Maximum Hours Operated per Year	8,760
Potential Throughput (ton/year)	49,056
Baghouse Exhaust Volume (cfm)	4,000
Baghouse Exhaust Loading (gr/sdcf)	0.005
PM10: PM ratio	1:1
PM2.5: PM10 ratio	0.377:1

component of 2EL2
Baghouse filter number is also 2EL2
Hammermill: Bliss, model IE-3830-TF
Discharge 10' elevation
19.625 x 12.125 dimensions
ambient temperature
Baghouse: MAC Model MSS 16-Spacesaver
1120 sq ft media
spun bound polyester media
16 cartriges
Note: Remove the existing screening bin hammermill from 2EL1 with no emissions change.

PTE	Pollutant			
	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SCC
Emissions Factor (lb/ton)	2.0	1.2	0.45	30200786
Potential Emissions (tons/year)	49.1	29.4	11	

Controlled Emissions	Pollutant		
	PM	PM10	PM2.5
Emissions Factor (gr/dscf)	0.005	0.005	0.0019
Potential Emissions (tons/year)	0.80	0.80	0.30

Methodology

Emission factors are from AP42, Section 9.11.1, Table 9.11.1-1; AERS Facility Subsystem SCC & EF Listings; PM Calculator v. 2.0.2
PTE PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year) = emission factor (tons/year) * potential throughput (ton/year) * 1 ton / 2000 lb
Controlled Emissions PM/PM10/PM2.5 (ton/year) = emission factor (gr/dscf) * baghouse exhaust volume (cfm) * maximum hours operated per year (hour/year) * 60 (min/hour) * 1lb / 7000 grain * 1 ton / 2000 lb

Screenings Pneumatic Convey

Maximum capacity (ton/hr)	5.60
Maximum Hours Operated per Year	8,760
Potential Throughput (ton/year)	49,056
Baghouse Exhaust Volume (cfm)	590
Baghouse Exhaust Loading (gr/sdcf)	0.005
PM10: PM ratio	1:1
PM2.5: PM10 ratio	0.377:1

Blower is a component of 2EL3
Blower: Make/Model: Root Easy Air X2
Receiver is a component of 22EX2
Baghouse filter number is also 22EX2
Discharge 80' elevation
10" diameter dimensions
ambient temperature
Baghouse: MAC Model 54RT14 style 3
97 sq ft media
singed polyester media
14 bags

PTE	Pollutant			
	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SCC
Emissions Factor (lb/ton)	2.0	1.2	0.45	30200786
Potential Emissions (tons/year)	49.1	29.4	11.0	

Controlled Emissions	Pollutant		
	PM	PM10	PM2.5
Emissions Factor (gr/dscf)	0.005	0.005	0.0019
Potential Emissions (tons/year)	0.11	0.11	0.042

Methodology

Emission factors are from AP42, Section 9.11.1, Table 9.11.1-1; AERS Facility Subsystem SCC & EF Listings; PM Calculator v. 2.0.2
PTE PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year) = emission factor (tons/year) * potential throughput (ton/year) * 1 ton / 2000 lb
Controlled Emissions PM/PM10/PM2.5 (ton/year) = emission factor (gr/dscf) * baghouse exhaust volume (cfm) * maximum hours operated per year (hour/year) * 60 (min/hour) * 1lb / 7000 grain * 1 ton / 2000 lb

Bunge North America (East), LLC - Project Aggregation

Two separate projects are currently the subject of permitting at IDEM:

1. **Meal Sizing/Grinding**: The existing meal grinding system is being revised. The meal sizing system is the last system in the soybean process, followed by temporary meal storage and loadout. The project will enable a small increase in production, since it debottlenecks the soybean processing facility.

2. **Elevator Screenings Grinding & Conveying**: Soybeans are cleaned prior to soybean drying in the storage elevator using cleaners called scalperators. The scalperators remove weed seeds, sticks, stems, pods and loose soybean hulls that tend to hang up in and cause fires in the soybean dryers. After separation the screenings are then ground with a hammermill and temporarily stored in the elevator. Periodically, prior to the designated screenings storage becoming full, the conveying system used for conveying soybeans to process is switched over to convey the screenings to the hull storage system. This use of the same conveying system for two commodities results in some soybeans being mixed with the screenings flow and some screenings being mixed with the soybean flow. This occurs since bucket elevators are used in the transfer process. None of the bucket elevators we use are designed for 100% cleanout of the lower or boot area. The mixing of a minor amount of screenings into the bean stream is not a major issue since the screenings will be removed in the dehulling process. However, mixing soybeans into the screenings flow removes the soybeans from the process stream, essentially wasting the oil and protein content of the soybeans since they become part of the hull or fiber stream. The elimination of this “wasting” is justification for installing a separate pneumatic conveying system, the subject project.

   The elevator soybean handling, cleaning and drying systems are designed with capacities significantly greater than the soybean processing facility. This enables periodic preventive maintenance to be conducted on the elevator systems and not interrupting the soybean process. For this reason the soybean processing system starts with a day bin; a surge bin that holds approximately a day’s crush. For example, the soybean dryers are on a schedule to be shut down and cleaned on a weekly basis. To empty and clean a typical process dryer takes 8 hours. The screens in the scalperators must periodically be replaced to keep soybeans from going through larger holes worn in the scalperators screens. The time historically used to convey screenings in the soybean supply system does free up some soybean conveying time. However, the justification for the project is to, once the commodities are separate, to keep the two commodities separate, like is done in newer design soybean processing facilities.

   As mentioned above, elevator screenings are ground prior to temporary storage in the elevator. The current hammermill (screening bin hammermill, part of 2EL1) used for screenings size reduction is past its useful life. The maintenance dollars being spent to keep this unit operating justify its replacement. Since a replacement in much better condition is available at a crush facility which Bunge recently shut down, the replacement of the hammermill was added to the project. The cost to the facility is simply transportation and installation. Since the hammermill is to be replaced, Bunge is taking advantage of this project to improve on the dust control for the hammermill. The hammermill will discharge into a settling chamber plenum which is to be aspirated by a small baghouse filter.

New Source Review Circumvention (3M Project Aggregation) memo of John Rasnic, OAQPS, March 16, 1992

Rasnic raises 5 issues.

1. Filing more than one minor source or minor modification application associated with emission increases at a single plant within a short period of time may constitute strong evidence of an intent to circumvent the requirements of preconstruction review.

   Response: Adding the Hybrid Test emissions ATPA increase of the Meal Sizing/Grinding project to the Limited PTE of the Screenings Hammermill Replacement/Screenings Pneumatic Conveying project does not reach the PSD Significance Levels. Since there will be no other sources affected by the Screenings project, there are no other sources that will have associated emissions increases and no ATPA calculations have been conducted. There is no evidence of an intent to circumvent the requirements of preconstruction review.

2. If a project would not be funded or if it would not be economically viable if operated on an extended basis (at least a year) without the other projects, this should be considered evidence of circumvention.

   Response: The two projects are funded with capital monies. Both of the capital projects were evaluated separately. They are separately funded. Each has a separate budget. Each was funded because of an acceptable payback by each.

3. If reported production levels are necessary to meet projected production demands but are higher than permitted levels, this is additional evidence of circumvention.

   Response: Debottlenecking was addressed in the Meal Sizing/Grinding application. The Elevator Screenings Sizing and Pneumatic Conveying project will not enable increased production. The Elevator Screenings Sizing and Pneumatic Conveying project is not required for the Meal Sizing/Grinding project to reach its goal of increased production. Additionally, the current bottleneck in soybean processing is the cracking/dehulling system, 5EX.Until the current cracking mills are replaced with larger or more units, soybean production cannot increase beyond that addressed in the Significant Source Modification T001-23640-00005: 1,368,750 tons per 12 month period.

4. Statements of representatives of the source about the source's plans for operation can be evidence to show intent to circumvent preconstruction review requirements.

   Response: First, no such statements have been made. Second, no such statements would be valid if made. All of Bunge's intentions and communications concerning the results of the two projects have been true, accurate and complete.

5. It is reasonable to expect that company management would coordinate the planning and coordination of projects considering their intrinsic relationship with each other and their impact on the economic viability of the plant.

   Response: All of the Bunge soybean and canola processing plants schedule one annual plant shutdown during the summer months. They are scheduled such that the productive capacity that will be down at any one time in each market is minimized. For safety of all employees, contract help and neighbors, **all solvent** is removed from the extraction systems vessels as an initial step in each annual shutdown. This is a very expensive exercise but mandatory. For this reason it is not economical to conduct numerous shutdowns and spread the projects over many weeks. The annual shutdowns of each

plant are limited to 10 days to 2 week periods unless major vessel replacement is to occur. Therefore, the justification for these projects to be conducted during a short annual shutdown is as stated in Rasnic's memo – it is reasonable.

Mail to: Permit Administration & Support Section
Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53, IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

Bunge North America (East), LLC
1200 N 2nd Street
Decatur, IN 46733

Affidavit of Construction

I, _____, being duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say:
(Name of the Authorized Representative)

1. I live in _____ County, Indiana and being of sound mind and over twenty-one (21) years of age, I am competent to give this affidavit.

2. I hold the position of _____ for _____.
(Title) (Company Name)

3. By virtue of my position with _____, I have personal
(Company Name)
knowledge of the representations contained in this affidavit and am authorized to make these representations on behalf of _____.
(Company Name)

4. I hereby certify that Bunge North America (East), LLC, located at 1200 N 2nd Street, Decatur, IN 46733, completed construction of the screenings hammermill (unit 2EL2) and screenings pneumatic conveying system (unit 2EL3) and associated baghouses on _____ (date) in conformity with the requirements and intent of the construction permit application received by the Office of Air Quality on June 23, 2010, and as permitted pursuant to Interim Significant Source Modification No.001-29347I-00005, Plant ID No. 001-000005, issued on _____ _____.

5. **Permittee, please cross out the following statement if it does not apply:** Additional (operations/facilities) were constructed/substituted as described in the attachment to this document and were not made in accordance with the construction permit.

Further Affiant said not.
I affirm under penalties of perjury that the representations contained in this affidavit are true, to the best of my information and belief.

Signature_____

Date_____

STATE OF INDIANA)
)SS

COUNTY OF _____)

Subscribed and sworn to me, a notary public in and for _____ County and State of Indiana on this _____ day of _____, 20____. My Commission expires: _____.

Signature_____

Name _____
(typed or printed)

RECEIVED
STATE OF INDIANA
JUN 23 2010
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

PETITION FOR INTERIM SIGNIFICANT PERMIT REVISION, SIGNIFICANT SOURCE MODIFICATION, MINOR PERMIT REVISION, OR MINOR SOURCE MODIFICATION

Source Name: Bunge North America (East), LLC

Source Address: 1200 N 2nd Street, Decatur, IN 46733

Mailing Address: 1200 N 2nd Street, Decatur, IN 46733

SIC/NAICS Code: 2079/311222

Description of the Operation or Equipment:

Replace an existing screenings hammermill with a used hammermill from another Bunge location, maximum capacity of each hammermill set by the quality of the incoming soybeans and the design of the scalping screens: 5.6 tph. The hammermill will discharge into a settling chamber plenum which is vented through a 4000 cfm baghouse filter, which returns the collected dust to the plenum. The plenum will discharge ground product into a 590 cfm pneumatic conveyor system, consisting of a blower, tubing and a baghouse filter receiver. The receiver, located on and discharging collected screenings into a screenings storage bin, also serves as a bin vent filter for the screenings bin. The pneumatic conveyor also has a capacity of 5.6 tph. Based on the similarity of the baghouse filter unit designs and fabric material with several units currently in use at the plant and the results of several emission tests for particulate matter on the existing baghouse filter units, the exhaust gas of the proposed baghouse units will contain particulate matter or PM10 not in excess of 0.005 grains/dscf. Per USEPA it is presumed that PM2.5 emissions will be approximately 37.7% of the PM10 emissions.

Potential to Emit:

The PM, PM10 and PM2.5 potential to emit are 98.2, 58.8 and 22.0 tons/year, respectively. The attached sheets exhibit the methodology and calculations of the potential to emit before and after control in detail.

PSD Requirements:

The following are conditions, limits, and/or restrictions that are acceptable to ensure that the construction does not qualify as a major PSD source or major PSD modification.

D.1.1 PSD Minor Limits [326 IAC 2-2]

In order to make the requirements of 326 IAC 2-2 not applicable, the Permittee shall comply with the following:

(a) The PM/PM10 emissions from the hammermill plenum baghouse filter, Unit ID 2EL2, shall not exceed 0.17 lb/hr.

(b) The PM/PM10 emissions from the screenings pneumatic conveyor baghouse filter, Unit ID 2EL3, shall not exceed 0.03 lb/hr.

Compliance with these limits shall limit the potential to emit from this modification to less than twenty-five (25) tons per of PM and less than fifteen (15) tons of PM10 per twelve (12)

consecutive month period and render the requirements of 326 IAC 2-2 not applicable.

NSPS Requirements:

There are no applicable NSPS requirements. Ground screenings are not gain, therefore the Grain Elevator NSPS at 40 CFR 60 Subpart DD is not applicable.

NESHAP Requirements:

There are no applicable NSPS requirements.

State Rules & Requirements:

326 IAC 6-4-2(4): Fugitive emissions shall not be visible crossing the boundary or property line of a source.
326 IAC 5-1-2: Opacity from a source or facility shall not exceed any of the following limitations:
(A) Opacity shall not exceed an average of forty percent (40%) in any one (1) six (6) minute averaging period.
(B) Opacity shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) for more than a cumulative total of fifteen (15) minutes (sixty (60) readings as measured according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9) in a six (6) hour period.

D.1.2 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes), particulate emissions from each of following operations shall not exceed the pound per hour limit listed in the table below:

Unit	Process Weight Rate (ton/hr)	PM Limit (lb/hr)
2EL2	5.6	13.0
2EL3	5.6	13.0

The pounds per hour limitations were calculated using the following equations:

Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to sixty thousand (60,000) pounds per hour shall be accomplished by use of the equation:

$E = 4.10\ P^{0.67}$ where E = rate of emission in pounds per hour and
P = process weight rate in tons per hour

D.1.3 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(13)]

A Preventive Maintenance Plan is required for these facilities and their control devices. Section B - Preventative Maintenance Plan contains the Permittee's obligation with regard to the preventative maintenance plan required by this condition.

Compliance Determination Requirements

D.1.4 Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-6(1),(6)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

In order to demonstrate compliance with Condition D.1.1(a), the Permittee shall perform PM and PM-10 testing of the hammermill plenum baghouse filter, unit ID 2EL2, no later than 180 days of publication of the new or revised condensable PM test method(s) referenced in the U. S. EPA's

Final Rule for Implementation of the New Source Review (NSR) Program for Particulate Matter Less Than 2.5 Micrometers (PM2.5), signed on May 8th, 2008. This testing shall be conducted utilizing methods as approved by the Commissioner. These tests shall be repeated at least once every five (5) years from the date of this valid compliance demonstration. Testing shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 326 IAC 3-6 (Source Sampling Procedures). Section C - Performance Testing contains the Permittee's obligation with regard to the performance testing required by this condition. PM-10 includes filterable and condensable PM.

D.1.5 Particulate Matter (PM)

In order to comply with Conditions D.1.1 and D.1.2, the baghouses for particulate control shall be in operation and control emissions from 2EL2 and 2EL3 at all times that these processes are in operation.

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

D.1.6 Visible Emissions Notations

(a) Daily visible emission notations of the grain handling and grain drying stack exhausts/vents (2EL2, 2EL3) shall be performed during normal daylight operations. A trained employee shall record whether emissions are normal or abnormal.

(b) For processes operated continuously, "normal" means those conditions prevailing, or expected to prevail, eighty percent (80%) of the time the process is in operation, not counting startup or shut down time.

(c) In the case of batch or discontinuous operations, readings shall be taken during that part of the operation that would normally be expected to cause the greatest emissions.

(d) A trained employee is an employee who has worked at the plant at least one (1) month and has been trained in the appearance and characteristics of normal visible emissions for that specific process.

(e) If abnormal emissions are observed, the Permittee shall take reasonable response steps. Section C - Response to Excursions or Exceedances contains the Permittee's obligation with regard to the reasonable response steps required by this condition.

D.1.7 Parametric Monitoring

The Permittee shall record the pressure drop across the baghouses used in conjunction with 2EL2 and 2EL3 at least once per day when these facilities are in operation. When for any one reading, the pressure drop across the baghouse is outside the normal range of 0.5 and 10.0 inches of water or a range established during the latest stack test, the Permittee shall take reasonable response. Section C – Response to Excursions and Exceedances contains the Permittee's obligation with regard to the reasonable response steps required by this condition. A pressure reading that is outside the above mentioned range is not a deviation from this permit. Failure to take response steps shall be considered a deviation from this permit.

The instrument used for determining the pressure shall comply with Section C - Instrument Specifications, of this permit, shall be subject to approval by IDEM, OAQ, and shall be calibrated at least once every six (6) months.

D.1.8 Broken or Failed Bag Detection

(a) For a single compartment baghouses controlling emissions from a process operated continuously, a failed unit and the associated process shall be shut down immediately until the failed unit has been repaired or replaced. Operations may continue only if the event qualifies as an emergency and the Permittee satisfies the requirements of the emergency provisions of this permit (Section B - Emergency Provisions).

(b) For a single compartment baghouse controlling emissions from a batch process, the feed to the process shall be shut down immediately until the failed unit have been repaired or replaced. The emissions unit shall be shut down no later than the completion of the processing of the material in emissions unit. Operations may continue only if the event qualifies as an emergency and the Permittee satisfies the requirements of the emergency provisions of this permit (Section B - Emergency Provisions).

Bag failure can be indicated by a significant drop in the baghouse's pressure reading with abnormal visible emissions, by an opacity violation, or by other means such as gas temperature, flow rate, air infiltration, leaks, dust traces or triboflows.

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirement [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19]

D.1.9 Record Keeping Requirements

(a) To document the compliance status with Condition D.1.6, the Permittee shall maintain a daily record of visible emission notations of the grain handling processes' stack exhausts. The Permittee shall include in its daily record when a visible emission notation is not taken and the reason for the lack of visible emission notation (e.g. the process did not operate that day).

(b) To document the compliance status with Condition D.1.7, the Permittee shall maintain a daily record of the pressure drop across the baghouses controlling the grain handling processes. The Permittee shall include in its daily record when a pressure drop reading is not taken and the reason for the lack of a pressure drop reading (e.g. the process did not operate that day).

(c) Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to the records required by this condition.

Federal Enforceability:

The company consents to the federal enforceability of this interim petition.

Signature: Pat McNamara

Printed Name: Pat McNamara

Title or Position: Facility Manager

Phone Number: 260-724-2101

Date: June 22, 2010

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Quality

Interim Petition Checklist

Instructions: (a) Please answer yes or no.
(b) Enclosed this checklist with the completed interim petition package.

Company Name: Bunge North America (East), LLC

Location:

yes	1. Is the written interim petition prepared?
yes	2. Is the written petition signed and dated?
yes	3. Is the public notice drafted?
yes	4. Is the filing and review fee enclosed? $625 for TV, FESOP, and SSOA. $500 for MSOP.
yes	5. Is the account number written on the check or money order?
yes	6. Is the Affidavit of Construction signed, dated, and notarized?
yes	7. Is the proposed modification/revision described in detail?
yes	8. Is the proposed modification/revision a modification or addition to an existing source?
yes	9. Is the proposed modification/revision located in an attainment area for all the criteria pollutants?
no	10. Is the proposed modification/revision located in a nonattainment area? If yes, answer No. 11.
	11. Is the pollutant, which the nonattainment designation is based on, going to be emitted in this proposed modification/revision?
yes	12. Are potential emissions calculated?
yes	13. Is federal enforceability consent specifically indicated?
yes	14. Are specific conditions, limitations, and/or restrictions included that preclude applicability of PSD?
yes	15. Are specific conditions, limitations, and/or restrictions included that preclude applicability of NSPS?
yes	16. Are specific conditions, limitations, and/or restrictions included that preclude applicability of NESHAP?
yes	17. Are specific conditions, limitations, and/or restrictions included that assure compliance with all applicable state air pollution rules?
yes	18. Has a regular modification/revision permit application been submitted to OAQ?
no	19. Has the proposed modification/revision commenced prior to the submission of the interim permit petition?
yes	20. The interim petition comment period has been decided to be: 14 calendar days

Additional Comments:

Affidavit of Construction

I, Pat McNamara, being duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say:
(Name of the Authorized Representative)

1. I live in Adams County, Indiana and being of sound mind and over twenty-one (21) years of age, I am competent to give this affidavit.

2. I hold the position of Facility Manager (Title) for Bunge North America (East), LLC (Company Name).

3. By virtue of my position with Bunge North America (East), LLC (Company Name), I have personal knowledge of the representations contained in this affidavit and am authorized to make these representations on behalf of Bunge North America (East), LLC (Company Name).

4. I, the undersigned, have submitted an interim (significant source modification) petition to the Office of Air Quality for the construction of a replacement screenings hammermill with dust plenum and plenum baghouse filter and a new screenings pneumatic conveyor consisting of a blower, conveying pipe and receiver baghouse filter.

5. Bunge North America (East), LLC (Company Name) recognizes the following risks:
(a) own financial risk, (b) that IDEM may require additional or different control technology for the final approval, (c) that IDEM may deny issuance of the final approval, and
(d) any additional air permitting requirements.

Further Affiant said not.

I affirm under penalties of perjury that the representations contained in this affidavit are true, to the best of my information and belief.

Signature: Pat McNamara

Printed Name: Pat McNamara

Phone No.: 260-724-2101

Date: June 22, 2010

STATE OF INDIANA)
)SS
COUNTY OF Adams)

Subscribed and sworn to me, a notary public in and for Adams County and State of Indiana on this 22nd day of June, 2010.

My Commission expires: September 26, 2017

Signature: Margaret A. Moses

Printed Name: Margaret A. Moses

MARGARET A. MOSES
Notary Public, State of Indiana
Adams County
My Commission Expires
September 26, 2017

revised 3/23/01 drp

NOTICE OF 14-DAY PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Proposed Approval of Interim Significant Permit Revision/Significant Source Modification
for **Bunge North America (East), LLC**
in **Adams County**

Notice is hereby given that the above company located at 1200 N 2nd Street, Decatur, Indiana, has made application to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ) for an interim permit to construct a replacement hammermill and new pneumatic conveying sytem, both with an individual baghouse filter as air pollution control. Based on 8,760 hours per year of operation, the PM, PM10 & PM2.5 emissions are 0.91, 0.91 & 0.34 tons per year, respectively. *The applicant has requested that the particulate limits for this replacement and new equipment be limited to these emission rates, which are less than that allowed by the applicable state emissions rule.*

The company has submitted an application for a significant permit revision / significant source modification. The OAQ shall review the application in accordance with the Permit Review Rules. Operation of the source cannot commence until a valid operating permit is issued. The construction of the proposed project is entirely at the applicant's own risk.

Notice is hereby given that there will be a period of 14 days from the date of publication of this notice during which any interested person may comment on why this interim permit should or should not be issued. Appropriate comments should be related to air quality issues, interpretation of the applicable state and federal rules, calculations made, technical issues, or the effect that the operation of this facility would have on any aggrieved individuals. A copy of the application and staff review is available for examination at the **Decatur Public Library, 128 S. 3rd Street, Decatur, Indiana, 46733**. All comments, along with supporting documentation, should be submitted in writing to the IDEM, OAQ, 100 North Senate Avenue, MC 61-53, Room 1003, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46204-2251.

Persons not wishing to comment at this time, but wishing to receive notice of future proceedings conducted related to this action, must submit a written request to the Office of Air Quality (OAQ), at the above address. All interested parties of record will receive a notice of the decision on this matter and will then have 15 days after receipt of the Notice of Decision to file a petition for administrative review. Procedures for filing such a petition will be enclosed with the Notice.

Questions should be directed to OAQ, 100 North Senate Avenue, MC 61-53, Room 1003, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46204-2251, or call (800) 451-6027 or (317) 233-0178.

Company Official's Signature: Pat McNamara

Company Official's Printed Name: Pat McNamara

Company Name: Bunge North America (East), LLC

2001 International 9100i C-12 Cat 425hp 416,000 miles, 10spd 161" wheel base, Weight 16,000#, New radiator, Great fuel mileage over 7.5 mpg, Great Farm truck.
260-701-2571

Motorcycles/ Snowmobiles For Sale

Honda VTX 1300R 2007 1K miles Garage Stored. $7,200. 223-4507

washer, stove, refrigerator and garbage disposal. Start your spring move-in by calling Biggs Property Management at (260) 724-4616 or stop by at 522 S. 13th St. Decatur. Some Restrictions apply. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY HOUSING

Summer Special! 2 bedroom spacious apartment for only $295/month with first month free rent. Deposit only $300. Call 724-4616 for more information.

Brian 701-8080. NO PETS

NICE 2 bedroom mobile home. Appliances, water, AC, washer/dryer hookup, included. TOTAL ELECTRIC. No pets. $275/mo. 260-223-1383

Property For Rent

2 Bedroom House. Central Air Condition. Nice neighborhood, fenced yard, storage shed. All appliances furnished. $500/month. Call 765-210-0441



Level Full Time & Perm Benefits!
#124/39/96 $190 E-1

APPLY NOW

LEGAL NOTICE

City of Decatur, Indiana
Plan Commission
Public Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the City of Decatur Plan Commission will hold a public hearing on **Tuesday, July 6, 2010, at 4:30** pm, at City Hall, 225 West Monroe Street, Decatur, Indiana to review the following consideration(s):

Meetings to run consecutively:

(1) Oakmont Development Company is requesting Plan Commission approval for the First Amendment to Amended and Restated Secondary Dedication, Declaration, Protective Restrictions, Covenants, Limitations, Easements and Approvals Appended to and as Part of the Dedication and Plat of the Grey Goose, Section I, a Subdivision in the City of Decatur, Indiana.

Written suggestions or objections to the provisions of said proposed projects shall be filed with the City of Decatur Plan Commission at the time and place specified. Said hearing may be continued from time to time as necessary.

Interested persons desiring to present their views upon the proposed amendment, either in writing or verbally, will be given the opportunity to be heard at the above mentioned time and place.

Roger W. Gage
Superintendent Building/ Zoning Department
Plan 10
06-22

Contact
Joe Graber or Phil Eicher
(800) 448-7439 (260) 589-2171

LEGAL NOTICE

LEGAL NOTICE
NOTICE OF 14 DAY PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Proposed Approval of Interim Significant Permit Revision/Significant Source Modification for **Bunge North America (East), LLC in Adams County**

Notice is hereby given that the above company located at 1200 N 2nd Street, Decatur, Indiana, has made application to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ) for an interim permit to construct a replacement hammermill and new pneumatic conveying system, both with an individual baghouse filter as air pollution control. Based on 8,760 hours per year of operation, the PM, PM10 & PM2.5 emissions are 0.91, 0.91 & 0.34 tons per year, respectively. The applicant has requested that the particles limits for this replacement and new equipment be limited to these emission rates, which are less than that allowed by the applicable state emissions rule.

The company has submitted an application for a significant permit revision/significant source modification. The OAQ shall review the application in accordance with the Permit Review Rules. Operation of the source cannot commence until a valid operating permit is issued. The construction of the proposed project is entirely at the applicant's own risk.

Notice is hereby given that there will be a period of 14 days from the date of publication of this notice during which any interested person may comment on why this interim permit should or should not be issued. Appropriate comments should be related to air quality issues, interpretation of the applicable state and federal rules, calculations made, technical issues, or the effect that the operation of this facility would have on any aggrieved individuals. A copy of the application and staff review is available for examination at the **Decatur Public Library, 128 S. 3rd Street, Decatur, Indiana, 46733.** All comments, along with supporting documentation, should be submitted in writing to the IDEM, OAQ, 100 North Senate Avenue, MC 61-53, Room 1003, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46204-2251.

Persons not wishing to comment at this time, but wishing to receive notice of future proceedings conducted related to this action, must submit a written request to the Office of Air Quality (OAQ), at the above address. All interested parties of record will receive a notice of the decision on this matter and will then have 15 days after receipt of the Notice of Decision to file a petition for administrative review. Procedures for filing such a petition will be enclosed with the Notice.

Questions should be directed to OAQ, 100 North Senate Avenue, MC 61-53, Room 1003, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46204-2251, or call (800) 451-6027 or (317) 233-0178.

Pat McNamara
Bunge North America (East) LLC
06-22

located at the office of t
Sheriff of Adams Cour
located at 313 South
Street, Decatur, IN 467
the fee simple title in a
to the following describ
real estate located in Ada
County, Indiana, to wit:

A portion of lnlot numb
741 in Frank Routh's su
division of Outlot numb
262 in Joseph Crabb's Th
Western Addition to the C
of Decatur, Indiana, m
particularly described
follows:

Commencing at the no
west corner of lnlot numb
741 in Frank Routh's Su
division of Outlot Numb
262 in Joseph Crabb's Th
Western Addition to the C
of Decatur, Indiana; the
Easterly on and upon
North line of said lnlot a
tance of 60.00 feet; the
southerly parallel to the w
line of said lnlot a dista
of 83.71 feet to the so
line of said lnlot num
741; thence westerly
and upon said south lin
distance of 60.71 feet to
southwest corner of said
lot; thence northerly on
upon the west line of
lnlot number 741 a dista
of 76.50 feet to the plac
commencement,
subject to all easeme
variances, mineral intere
encroachments, and o
interests of record,
more commonly known
1010 West Adams St
Decatur IN 46733 (To
ship: Decatur City, W
ington; Parcel No: 01-05
111-005.00-022).**

**The Sheriff's Departr
does not warrant the a
racy of the street add
published herein.

Together with rents, iss
income and profits the
said Sale will be made
out relief from valuatio
appraisement laws.

"SEAL"
Charles E. Padgett, Jr.
Sheriff of Adams County
Prepared by:
Gregory A. Purvis,
No.5848-49
Benjamin I. Terhune,
No. 25833-49
SPANGLER, JENNING
DOUGHERTY, P.C.
9200 Keystone Cros
Suite 410
Indianapolis, IN 46240
Tel: (317) 571-7690
(317) 571-7686
Plaintiff's Attorneys
06-8,15,22

FOR SALE BY OWNER



4 bedroom 2-1/2 bath home with newly finished basement. Nice location, one block from BMS and BHS for more info call 260-301-3439 or visit www.mydecaturhouse.com

For Sale- Two Country building lots. Located on 000 between 850 N and 900 N. Access to city sewer. Approximately 160 wide x 660 deep, Asking $30,000 per lot. 701-5011

3 BR ranch w/ 1 full bath, 2 half baths, Living Room, Family Room w/bar, Dining Room, Fireplace, GFA/Central Air, Inground Pool, New Roof. Approx 1800 Sq. Ft. $139,000. 946 Yorktown Rd. 260-414-1361

FedEx Express

ARRIVAL FORM 4652.DLAB

CU201062782025

TO: IDEM
PH:
MSC: 0000000547
PCS: 1

FROM: BUNGE N A
CARR: FEX
TRK#: 793658283228
RCVD: 06/23/2010 10:18

RECEIVED
STATE OF INDIANA
JUN 23 2010
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

FLR:
IDEM

MSC: 00000005

RT 819 1 A
3228
06.23
FZ

ORIGIN ID: FWAA (26
PAT MCNAMARA
BUNGE NORTH AMERICA
1200 NORTH 2ND STRE

DECATUR, IN 46733
UNITED STATES US

TO KRISTEN LAYT
INDIANA DEPT
MAIL CODE 61
100 N SENATE
INDIANAPOLIS
(999) 999-9999
INV:
PO:

TRK# 0201 7936 5828

NL GS

Part # 156297-435 RIT 06/08

Wor

Env

Align bot

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr.
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-8603
Toll Free (800) 451-6027
www.idem.IN.gov

SENT VIA U.S. MAIL: CONFIRMED DELIVERY AND SIGNATURE REQUESTED

TO: Christine Thomas
Bunge North America (East), LLC
1200 N 2nd Street
Decatur, IN 46733

DATE: July 9, 2010

FROM: Matt Stuckey, Branch Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

SUBJECT: Final Decision
Interim
001-29347I-00005

Enclosed is the final decision and supporting materials for the air permit application referenced above. Please note that this packet contains the original, signed, permit documents.

The final decision is being sent to you because our records indicate that you are the contact person for this application. However, if you are not the appropriate person within your company to receive this document, please forward it to the correct person.

A copy of the final decision and supporting materials has also been sent via standard mail to:
Pat McNamara - Facility Manager
OAQ Permits Branch Interested Parties List

If you have technical questions regarding the enclosed documents, please contact the Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch at (317) 233-0178, or toll-free at 1-800-451-6027 (ext. 3-0178), and ask to speak to the permit reviewer who prepared the permit. If you think you have received this document in error, please contact Joanne Smiddie-Brush of my staff at 1-800-451-6027 (ext 3-0185), or via e-mail at jbrush@idem.IN.gov.

Final Applicant Cover letter.dot 11/30/07

Mail Code 61-53

IDEM Staff	GHOTOPP 7/9/2010 Bunge North America (East), LLC 001-29347I-00005 Final			AFFIX STAMP HERE IF USED AS CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
Name and address of Sender	▶	Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality – Permits Branch 100 N. Senate Indianapolis, IN 46204	Type of Mail: **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING ONLY**	

Line	Article Number	Name, Address, Street and Post Office Address	Postage	Handing Charges	Act. Value (If Registered)	Insured Value	Due Send if COD	R.R. Fee	S.D. Fee	S.H. Fee	Rest. Del. Fee Remarks
1		Christine Thomas Bunge North America (East), LLC 1200 N 2nd St Decatur IN 46733 (Source CAATS) via confirmed delivery									
2		Pat McNamara Facility Mgr Bunge North America (East), LLC 1200 N 2nd St Decatur IN 46733 *(RO CAATS)*									
3		Adams County Commissioners 313 West Jefferson Street Decatur IN 46733 *(Local Official)*									
4		Adams County Health Department County Svcs Complex, 313 W. Jefferson # 314 Decatur IN 46733-1673 *(Health Department)*									
5		Decatur City Council and Mayors Office 225 W. Monroe St. Decatur IN 46733 *(Local Official)*									
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											

Total number of pieces Listed by Sender	Total number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of Receiving employee)	The full declaration of value is required on all domestic and international registered mail. The maximum indemnity payable for the reconstruction of nonnegotiable documents under Express Mail document reconstructing insurance is $50,000 per piece subject to a limit of $50, 000 per occurrence. The maximum indemnity payable on Express mil merchandise insurance is $500. The maximum indemnity payable is $25,000 for registered mail, sent with optional postal insurance. See ***Domestic Mail Manual*** **R900, S913**, and **S921** for limitations of coverage on inured and COD mail. See ***International Mail Manual*** for limitations o coverage on international mail. Special handling charges apply only to Standard Mail (A) and Standard Mail (B) parcels.
4			

FACSIMILIE OF PS Form 3877