



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204
(800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Michael R. Pence
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

To: Interested Parties
Date: December 18, 2014
From: Matthew Stuckey, Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality
Source Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Permit Level: MSOP
Permit Number: 069-35080-00030
Source Location: 3650 W 200 N
Type of Action Taken: Changes that are administrative in nature

Notice of Decision: Approval - Effective Immediately

Please be advised that on behalf of the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Management, I have issued a decision regarding the matter referenced above.

The final decision is available on the IDEM website at: <http://www.in.gov/apps/idem/caats/>
To view the document, select Search option 3, then enter permit 35080.

If you would like to request a paper copy of the permit document, please contact IDEM's central file room:

Indiana Government Center North, Room 1201
100 North Senate Avenue, MC 50-07
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Phone: 1-800-451-6027 (ext. 4-0965)
Fax (317) 232-8659

Pursuant to IC 13-15-5-3, this permit is effective immediately, unless a petition for stay of effectiveness is filed and granted according to IC 13-15-6-3, and may be revoked or modified in accordance with the provisions of IC 13-15-7-1.

(continues on next page)

If you wish to challenge this decision, IC 4-21.5-3 and IC 13-15-6-1 require that you file a petition for administrative review. This petition may include a request for stay of effectiveness and must be submitted to the Office of Environmental Adjudication, 100 North Senate Avenue, Government Center North, Suite N 501E, Indianapolis, IN 46204, **within eighteen (18) calendar days of the mailing of this notice**. The filing of a petition for administrative review is complete on the earliest of the following dates that apply to the filing:

- (1) the date the document is delivered to the Office of Environmental Adjudication (OEA);
- (2) the date of the postmark on the envelope containing the document, if the document is mailed to OEA by U.S. mail; or
- (3) The date on which the document is deposited with a private carrier, as shown by receipt issued by the carrier, if the document is sent to the OEA by private carrier.

The petition must include facts demonstrating that you are either the applicant, a person aggrieved or adversely affected by the decision or otherwise entitled to review by law. Please identify the permit, decision, or other order for which you seek review by permit number, name of the applicant, location, date of this notice and all of the following:

- (1) the name and address of the person making the request;
- (2) the interest of the person making the request;
- (3) identification of any persons represented by the person making the request;
- (4) the reasons, with particularity, for the request;
- (5) the issues, with particularity, proposed for considerations at any hearing; and
- (6) identification of the terms and conditions which, in the judgment of the person making the request, would be appropriate in the case in question to satisfy the requirements of the law governing documents of the type issued by the Commissioner.

If you have technical questions regarding the enclosed documents, please contact the Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch at (317) 233-0178. Callers from within Indiana may call toll-free at 1-800-451-6027, ext. 3-0178.



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204
(800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Michael R. Pence
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

Rudy Mim
UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
3650 W. 200 N.
Huntington, Indiana 46750

December 18, 2014

Re: 069-35080-00030
Administrative Amendment to
M069-27290-00030

Dear Mr. Mim:

UT Electronic Controls, Inc. was issued a Minor Source Operating Permit (MSOP) Renewal No. M069-27290-00030 on May 11, 2009 for a stationary printed circuit board manufacturer located at 3650 W. 200 N. Huntington, Indiana 46750. On October 27, 2014, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) received an application from the source relating to:

- (a) One (1) screen printing operation, identified as DE08, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 800 boards per hour.
- (b) One (1) wave solder machine with Line K, using tin/lead-based solder and water-based flux as a control, identified as ES10, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (c) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line K, identified as PS13 and PS14, both constructed in 2014, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE 15 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (d) One (1) electric reflow oven with line Top J, identified as HE14, constructed in 2014 and exhausting through stack #49.

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)(11), this change to the permit is considered an administrative amendment because the permit is amended to add an emissions unit or emissions units, subject to 326 IAC 2-1.1-3 (Exemptions), at the request of the applicant.

An Administrative Amendment to this permit is hereby approved as described in the attached Technical Support Document (TSD). The attached Technical Support Document (TSD) provides additional explanation of the changes to the permit.

All other conditions of the permit shall remain unchanged and in effect. Please find attached the entire MSOP as revised.

A copy of the permit is available on the Internet at: <http://www.in.gov/ai/appfiles/idem-caats/>. For additional information about air permits and how the public and interested parties can participate, refer to the IDEM Permit Guide on the Internet at: <http://www.in.gov/idem/5881.htm>; and the Citizens' Guide to IDEM on the Internet at: <http://www.in.gov/idem/6900.htm>.

This decision is subject to the Indiana Administrative Orders and Procedures Act - IC 4-21.5-3-5. If you have any questions on this matter, please contact Anh Nguyen of my staff at 317-233-5334 or 1-



UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Huntington, Indiana
Permit Reviewer: C. Sullivan

Page 2 of 2
MSOP MPR No. 069-35080-00030

800-451-6027, and ask for extension 3-5334.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Tripurari P. Sinha in black ink, with the initials "TS" written to the right of the signature.

Tripurari P. Sinha, Ph. D., Section Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

Attachments: Technical Support Document, Appendix A, and revised permit

TS/AN

cc: File - Huntington County
Huntington County Health Department
U.S. EPA, Region V
Compliance and Enforcement Branch



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204

(800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Michael R. Pence
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

Minor Source Operating Permit Renewal OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
3650 W 200 N
Huntington, Indiana 46750

(herein known as the Permittee) is hereby authorized to operate subject to the conditions contained herein, the source described in Section A (Source Summary) of this permit.

This permit is issued to the above mentioned company under the provisions of 326 IAC 2-1.1, 326 IAC 2-6.1 and 40 CFR 52.780, with conditions listed on the attached pages.

Indiana statutes from IC 13 and rules from 326 IAC, quoted in conditions in this permit, are those applicable at the time the permit was issued. The issuance or possession of this permit shall not alone constitute a defense against an alleged violation of any law, regulation or standard, except for the requirement to obtain a MSOP under 326 IAC 2-6.1.

Operation Permit No.: M069-27290-00030	
Original Signed by: Alfred C. Dumauval, Ph. D., Section Chief Permits Branch Office of Air Quality	Issuance Date: May 11, 2009 Expiration Date: May 11, 2019

First Notice-Only Change No.: 069-30432-00030, issued on May 11, 2011
First Administrative Amendment No.: 069-32264-00030, issued on September 26, 2012
Minor Permit Revision No.: 069-33508-00030, issued on February 7, 2014


Administrative Amendment No.: 069-35080-00030	
Issued by:  Tripurari P. Sinha, Ph.D., Section Chief Permits Branch Office of Air Quality	Issuance Date: December 18, 2014 Expiration Date: May 11, 2019



TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. SOURCE SUMMARY

- A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(c)][326 IAC 2-6.1-4(a)]
- A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary

B. GENERAL CONDITIONS

- B.1 Definitions [326 IAC 2-1.1-1]
- B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-6.1-7(a)][326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][IC 13-15-3-6(a)]
- B.3 Term of Conditions [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]
- B.4 Enforceability
- B.5 Severability
- B.6 Property Rights or Exclusive Privilege
- B.7 Duty to Provide Information
- B.8 Annual Notification [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(5)]
- B.9 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3]
- B.10 Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]
- B.11 Termination of Right to Operate [326 IAC 2-6.1-7(a)]
- B.12 Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-6.1-7]
- B.13 Permit Amendment or
Revision [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(3)][326 IAC 2-6.1-6]
- B.14 Source Modification Requirement
- B.15 Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(4)(B)][326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(4)][IC 13-14-2-2]
[IC 13-17-3-2][IC 13-30-3-1]
- B.16 Transfer of Ownership or Operational Control [326 IAC 2-6.1-6]
- B.17 Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-1.1-7]
- B.18 Credible Evidence [326 IAC 1-1-6]

C. SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(1)]

- C.1 Particulate Emission Limitations For Processes with Process Weight Rates
Less Than One Hundred (100) Pounds per Hour [326 IAC 6-3-2]
- C.2 Permit Revocation [326 IAC 2-1.1-9]
- C.3 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]
- C.4 Open Burning [326 IAC 4-1] [IC 13-17-9]
- C.5 Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]
- C.6 Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]
- C.7 Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]

Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(2)]

- C.8 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- C.9 Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(2)]

- C.10 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]
- C.11 Instrument Specifications [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Corrective Actions and Response Steps

- C.12 Response to Excursions or Exceedances

C.13 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test
Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(2)]

- C.14 Malfunctions Report [326 IAC 1-6-2]
- C.15 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5]
- C.16 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-6.1-2]
[IC 13-14-1-13]

D.1 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(1)]

- D.1.1 Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Avoidance Limit - VOC [326 IAC 8-1-6]
- D.1.2 Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes [326 IAC 6-3-2]
- D.1.3 Preventative Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-8-4(9)]

Compliance Determination Requirements

- D.1.4 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)[326 IAC 8-1-2] [326 IAC 8-1-4]
- D.1.6 Reporting Requirements

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(2)]

- D.1.5 Record Keeping Requirements

D.2. EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(1)]

- D.2.1 Particulate [326 IAC 6-2]

Quarterly Report
Annual Notification
Malfunction Report

SECTION A SOURCE SUMMARY

This permit is based on information requested by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ). The information describing the source contained in conditions A.1 and A.2 is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions. However, the Permittee should be aware that a physical change or a change in the method of operation that may render this descriptive information obsolete or inaccurate may trigger requirements for the Permittee to obtain additional permits or seek modification of this permit pursuant to 326 IAC 2, or change other applicable requirements presented in the permit application.

A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(c)][326 IAC 2-6.1-4(a)]

The Permittee owns and operates a stationary printed circuit board manufacturer.

Source Address:	3650 W 200 N, Huntington, Indiana 46750
General Source Phone Number:	260-358-0888
SIC Code:	3822
County Location:	Huntington
Source Location Status:	Attainment for all criteria pollutants
Source Status:	Minor Source Operating Permit Program Minor Source, under PSD Minor Source, Section 112 of the Clean Air Act Not 1 of 28 Source Categories

A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary

This stationary source consists of the following emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) Nine (9) wave solder machines, including the following:
- (1) One (1) wave solder machine with Line A, identified as ES03, constructed in 1999, with a maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #12.
 - (2) One (1) wave solder machine with Line B, identified as ES02, constructed in 1998, with a maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #51.
 - (3) One (1) wave solder machine with Line C, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES05, constructed in 2002, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #14.
 - (4) One (1) wave solder machine with Line D, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES04, constructed in 2001, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #15.
 - (5) One (1) wave solder machine with Line E, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES07, constructed in 2005, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #16.
 - (6) One (1) wave solder machine with Line F, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES06, constructed in 2004, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #36.
 - (7) One (1) wave solder machine with Line G, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES08, constructed in 2005, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #4.

- (8) One (1) wave solder machine with Line AB, using silver-based solder and water-based flux as a control, identified as ES09, constructed in 2006, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #62.
 - (9) One (1) wave solder machine with Line K, using tin/lead-based solder and water-based flux as a control, identified as ES10, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (b) Eighteen (18) coating operations, including the following:
- (1) One (1) RTV applicator with Line A, identified as PS03, constructed in 2003, and exhausting to stack #48. PS03 has both RTV and conformal coating capabilities but materials may not be dispensed by the machine simultaneously.
 - (2) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line A, identified as NS10 and PS01, constructed in 1999 and 2003, respectively, with a total maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE04), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #48.
 - (3) Three (3) conformal coaters with Line B, identified as NS08, PS02, and PS05 (RTV and conformal capability) constructed in 1999, 2003, and 2009 respectively, with a total maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE03), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #6.
 - (4) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line C, identified as PS04 and PS06, both constructed in 2005 and 2009 respectively, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE08), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #52.
 - (5) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line D, identified as PS11 and PS12, both approved for construction in 2012, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE09), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #20.
 - (6) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line E, identified as NS07 and NS09, constructed in 1995 and 1998, respectively, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE11), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #53.
 - (7) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line F, identified as PS07 and PS08, both constructed in 1999, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE05 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #47.
 - (8) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line G, identified as PS10 and PS09, both constructed in 2012, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE 12 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #58.
 - (9) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line K, identified as PS13 and PS14, both constructed in 2014, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE 15 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (c) Ten (10) printing operations, constructed after 1995, including the following:

- (1) Two (2) screen printing operations with Line A, identified as DE07, constructed in 2013, and DE03, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, each.
 - (2) Two (2) ink jet printing operations with Line D, identified as PM02 and PM03, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, each.
 - (3) Two (2) ink jet printing operations with Line F, identified as PM04 and PM05, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, each.
 - (4) Two (2) screen printing operation, identified as DE05 and DE06, both constructed in 2011, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour.
 - (5) One (1) screen printing operation with Line A, identified as DE04 bottom-side SMT Line #2, with a maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour.
 - (6) One (1) screen printing operation, identified as DE08, constructed in 2014 with a maximum throughput rate of 800 boards per hour.
- (d) One (1) stencil cleaner with Line A, identified as SC01, constructed in 1995, with a maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour.
 - (e) One (1) natural gas fired humidifier, constructed in 1989, with a maximum heat input rate of 0.7 MMBtu/hr.
 - (f) One (1) electric cure oven with Bottom A, identified as HE02, and exhausting through stack #64.
 - (g) One (1) electric reflow oven with Top A, identified as HE07, and exhausting through stack #49.
 - (h) One (1) electric reflow oven with Top B, identified as HE13, and exhausting through stack #57.
 - (i) One (1) electric reflow oven with Top L, identified as HE10, and exhausting through Stack #55.
 - (j) One (1) electric reflow oven with Flex Line, identified as HE06, and exhausting through Stack #46.
 - (k) Two (2) Halt Chambers for testing, identified as HT01 and HT02, constructed in 1989 and 2007, respectively, and exhausting through stacks #56 and #63, respectively.
 - (l) Two (2) natural gas fueled boilers, identified as Boiler 1 and Boiler 2, rated at 2.0 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour, each, constructed in 2008.
 - (m) One (1) natural gas water heater, identified as Water Heater, rated at 2.3 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour, constructed in 2008.
 - (n) One (1) electric reflow oven with line Top J, identified as HE14, constructed in 2014 and exhausting through stack #49.

SECTION B GENERAL CONDITIONS

B.1 Definitions [326 IAC 2-1.1-1]

Terms in this permit shall have the definition assigned to such terms in the referenced regulation. In the absence of definitions in the referenced regulation, the applicable definitions found in the statutes or regulations (IC 13-11, 326 IAC 1-2 and 326 IAC 2-1.1-1) shall prevail.

B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-6.1-7(a)][326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5][IC 13-15-3-6(a)]

- (a) This permit, M069-27290-00030, is issued for a fixed term of ten (10) years from the issuance date of this permit, as determined in accordance with IC 4-21.5-3-5(f) and IC 13-15-5-3. Subsequent revisions, modifications, or amendments of this permit do not affect the expiration date of this permit.
- (b) If IDEM, OAQ, upon receiving a timely and complete renewal permit application, fails to issue or deny the permit renewal prior to the expiration date of this permit, this existing permit shall not expire and all terms and conditions shall continue in effect, until the renewal permit has been issued or denied.

B.3 Term of Conditions [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

Notwithstanding the permit term of a permit to construct, a permit to operate, or a permit modification, any condition established in a permit issued pursuant to a permitting program approved in the state implementation plan shall remain in effect until:

- (a) the condition is modified in a subsequent permit action pursuant to Title I of the Clean Air Act; or
- (b) the emission unit to which the condition pertains permanently ceases operation.

B.4 Enforceability

Unless otherwise stated, all terms and conditions in this permit, including any provisions designed to limit the source's potential to emit, are enforceable by IDEM, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and by citizens in accordance with the Clean Air Act.

B.5 Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable; a determination that any portion of this permit is invalid shall not affect the validity of the remainder of the permit.

B.6 Property Rights or Exclusive Privilege

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege.

B.7 Duty to Provide Information

- (a) The Permittee shall furnish to IDEM, OAQ, within a reasonable time, any information that IDEM, OAQ may request in writing to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. Upon request, the Permittee shall also furnish to IDEM, OAQ copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
- (b) For information furnished by the Permittee to IDEM, OAQ, the Permittee may include a claim of confidentiality in accordance with 326 IAC 17.1. When furnishing copies of requested records directly to U. S. EPA, the Permittee may assert a claim of confidentiality in accordance with 40 CFR 2, Subpart B.

B.8 Annual Notification [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(5)]

- (a) An annual notification shall be submitted by an authorized individual to the Office of Air Quality stating whether or not the source is in operation and in compliance with the terms and conditions contained in this permit.
- (b) The annual notice shall be submitted in the format attached no later than March 1 of each year to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251
- (c) The notification shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.

B.9 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 1-6-3]

- (a) A Preventive Maintenance Plan meets the requirements of 326 IAC 1-6-3 if it includes, at a minimum:
 - (1) Identification of the individual(s) responsible for inspecting, maintaining, and repairing emission control devices;
 - (2) A description of the items or conditions that will be inspected and the inspection schedule for said items or conditions; and
 - (3) Identification and quantification of the replacement parts that will be maintained in inventory for quick replacement.The Permittee shall implement the PMPs.
- (b) If required by specific condition(s) in Section D of this permit where no PMP was previously required, the Permittee shall prepare and maintain Preventive Maintenance Plans (PMPs) no later than ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit or ninety (90) days after initial start-up, whichever is later, including the following information on each facility:
 - (1) Identification of the individual(s) responsible for inspecting, maintaining, and repairing emission control devices;
 - (2) A description of the items or conditions that will be inspected and the inspection schedule for said items or conditions; and
 - (3) Identification and quantification of the replacement parts that will be maintained in inventory for quick replacement.

If, due to circumstances beyond the Permittee's control, the PMPs cannot be prepared and maintained within the above time frame, the Permittee may extend the date an additional ninety (90) days provided the Permittee notifies:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

The Permittee shall implement the PMPs.

- (c) A copy of the PMPs shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request and within a reasonable time, and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. IDEM, OAQ may require the Permittee to revise its PMPs whenever lack of proper maintenance causes or is the primary contributor to an exceedance of any limitation on emissions.
- (d) To the extent the Permittee is required by 40 CFR Part 60/63 to have an Operation Maintenance, and Monitoring (OMM) Plan for a unit, such Plan is deemed to satisfy the PMP requirements of 326 IAC 1-6-3 for that unit.

B.10 Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

- (a) All terms and conditions of permits established prior to M069-27290-00030 and issued pursuant to permitting programs approved into the state implementation plan have been either:
 - (1) incorporated as originally stated,
 - (2) revised, or
 - (3) deleted.
- (b) All previous registrations and permits are superseded by this permit.

B.11 Termination of Right to Operate [326 IAC 2-6.1-7(a)]

The Permittee's right to operate this source terminates with the expiration of this permit unless a timely and complete renewal application is submitted at least one hundred twenty (120) days prior to the date of expiration of the source's existing permit, consistent with 326 IAC 2-6.1-7.

B.12 Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-6.1-7]

- (a) The application for renewal shall be submitted using the application form or forms prescribed by IDEM, OAQ and shall include the information specified in 326 IAC 2-6.1-7. Such information shall be included in the application for each emission unit at this source. The renewal application does require an affirmation that the statements in the application are true and complete by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

Request for renewal shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permit Administration and Support Section, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

- (b) A timely renewal application is one that is:
 - (1) Submitted at least one hundred twenty (120) days prior to the date of the expiration of this permit; and
 - (2) If the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.

- (c) If the Permittee submits a timely and complete application for renewal of this permit, the source's failure to have a permit is not a violation of 326 IAC 2-6.1 until IDEM, OAQ takes final action on the renewal application, except that this protection shall cease to apply if, subsequent to the completeness determination, the Permittee fails to submit by the deadline specified, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-6.1-4(b), in writing by IDEM, OAQ any additional information identified as being needed to process the application.

B.13 Permit Amendment or Revision [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(3)][326 IAC 2-6.1-6]

- (a) Permit amendments and revisions are governed by the requirements of 326 IAC 2-6.1-6 whenever the Permittee seeks to amend or modify this permit.
- (b) Any application requesting an amendment or modification of this permit shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permit Administration and Support Section, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251
- (c) The Permittee shall notify the OAQ no later than thirty (30) calendar days of implementing a notice-only change. [326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)]

B.14 Source Modification Requirement

A modification, construction, or reconstruction is governed by the requirements of 326 IAC 2.

B.15 Inspection and Entry

[326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(4)(B)][326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(4)][IC 13-14-2-2][IC 13-17-3-2][IC 13-30-3-1]

Upon presentation of proper identification cards, credentials, and other documents as may be required by law, and subject to the Permittee's right under all applicable laws and regulations to assert that the information collected by the agency is confidential and entitled to be treated as such, the Permittee shall allow IDEM, OAQ, U.S. EPA, or an authorized representative to perform the following:

- (a) Enter upon the Permittee's premises where a permitted source is located, or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (b) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (c) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, inspect, at reasonable times, any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit;
- (d) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, sample or monitor, at reasonable times, substances or parameters for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements; and
- (e) As authorized by the Clean Air Act, IC 13-14-2-2, IC 13-17-3-2, and IC 13-30-3-1, utilize any photographic, recording, testing, monitoring, or other equipment for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements.

B.16 Transfer of Ownership or Operational Control [326 IAC 2-6.1-6]

- (a) The Permittee must comply with the requirements of 326 IAC 2-6.1-6 whenever the Permittee seeks to change the ownership or operational control of the source and no other change in the permit is necessary.
- (b) Any application requesting a change in the ownership or operational control of the source shall contain a written agreement containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage and liability between the current and new Permittee. The application shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Permit Administration and Support Section, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

The application which shall be submitted by the Permittee does require an affirmation that the statements in the application are true and complete by an "authorized individual" as defined by 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(1).

- (c) The Permittee may implement notice-only changes addressed in the request for a notice-only change immediately upon submittal of the request. [326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)(3)]

B.17 Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-1.1-7]

- (a) The Permittee shall pay annual fees due no later than thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of a bill from IDEM, OAQ.
- (b) The Permittee may call the following telephone numbers: 1-800-451-6027 or 317-233-4230 (ask for OAQ, Billing, Licensing, and Training Section), to determine the appropriate permit fee.

B.18 Credible Evidence [326 IAC 1-1-6]

For the purpose of submitting compliance certifications or establishing whether or not the Permittee has violated or is in violation of any condition of this permit, nothing in this permit shall preclude the use, including the exclusive use, of any credible evidence or information relevant to whether the Permittee would have been in compliance with the condition of this permit if the appropriate performance or compliance test or procedure had been performed.

SECTION C SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS

Entire Source

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(1)]

C.1 Particulate Emission Limitations For Processes with Process Weight Rates Less Than One Hundred (100) Pounds per Hour [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2(e)(2), particulate emissions from any process not exempt under 326 IAC 6-3-1(b) or (c) which has a maximum process weight rate less than 100 pounds per hour and the methods in 326 IAC 6-3-2(b) through (d) do not apply shall not exceed 0.551 pounds per hour.

C.2 Permit Revocation [326 IAC 2-1.1-9]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-1.1-9 (Revocation of Permits), this permit to operate may be revoked for any of the following causes:

- (a) Violation of any conditions of this permit.
- (b) Failure to disclose all the relevant facts, or misrepresentation in obtaining this permit.
- (c) Changes in regulatory requirements that mandate either a temporary or permanent reduction of discharge of contaminants. However, the amendment of appropriate sections of this permit shall not require revocation of this permit.
- (d) Noncompliance with orders issued pursuant to 326 IAC 1-5 (Episode Alert Levels) to reduce emissions during an air pollution episode.
- (e) For any cause which establishes in the judgment of IDEM, the fact that continuance of this permit is not consistent with purposes of this article.

C.3 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 5-1-2 (Opacity Limitations), except as provided in 326 IAC 5-1-1 (Applicability) and 326 IAC 5-1-3 (Temporary Alternative Opacity Limitations), opacity shall meet the following, unless otherwise stated in this permit:

- (a) Opacity shall not exceed an average of forty percent (40%) in any one (1) six (6) minute averaging period as determined in 326 IAC 5-1-4.
- (b) Opacity shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) for more than a cumulative total of fifteen (15) minutes (sixty (60) readings as measured according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9 or fifteen (15) one (1) minute nonoverlapping integrated averages for a continuous opacity monitor) in a six (6) hour period.

C.4 Open Burning [326 IAC 4-1] [IC 13-17-9]

The Permittee shall not open burn any material except as provided in 326 IAC 4-1-3, 326 IAC 4-1-4 or 326 IAC 4-1-6. The previous sentence notwithstanding, the Permittee may open burn in accordance with an open burning approval issued by the Commissioner under 326 IAC 4-1-4.1.

C.5 Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]

The Permittee shall not operate an incinerator except as provided in 326 IAC 4-2 or in this permit. The Permittee shall not operate a refuse incinerator or refuse burning equipment except as provided in 326 IAC 9-1-2 or in this permit.

C.6 Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]

The Permittee shall not allow fugitive dust to escape beyond the property line or boundaries of the property, right-of-way, or easement on which the source is located, in a manner that would violate 326 IAC 6-4 (Fugitive Dust Emissions).

C.7 Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]

- (a) Notification requirements apply to each owner or operator. If the combined amount of regulated asbestos containing material (RACM) to be stripped, removed or disturbed is at least 260 linear feet on pipes or 160 square feet on other facility components, or at least thirty-five (35) cubic feet on all facility components, then the notification requirements of 326 IAC 14-10-3 are mandatory. All demolition projects require notification whether or not asbestos is present.
- (b) The Permittee shall ensure that a written notification is sent on a form provided by the Commissioner at least ten (10) working days before asbestos stripping or removal work or before demolition begins, per 326 IAC 14-10-3, and shall update such notice as necessary, including, but not limited to the following:
- (1) When the amount of affected asbestos containing material increases or decreases by at least twenty percent (20%); or
- (2) If there is a change in the following:
- (A) Asbestos removal or demolition start date;
- (B) Removal or demolition contractor; or
- (C) Waste disposal site.
- (c) The Permittee shall ensure that the notice is postmarked or delivered according to the guidelines set forth in 326 IAC 14-10-3(2).
- (d) The notice to be submitted shall include the information enumerated in 326 IAC 14-10-3(3).

All required notifications shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

The notice shall include a signed certification from the owner or operator that the information provided in this notification is correct and that only Indiana licensed workers and project supervisors will be used to implement the asbestos removal project.

- (e) **Procedures for Asbestos Emission Control**
The Permittee shall comply with the applicable emission control procedures in 326 IAC 14-10-4 and 40 CFR 61.145(c). Per 326 IAC 14-10-1, emission control requirements are applicable for any removal or disturbance of RACM greater than three (3) linear feet on pipes or three (3) square feet on any other facility components or a total of at least 0.75 cubic feet on all facility components.

- (f) Demolition and Renovation
The Permittee shall thoroughly inspect the affected facility or part of the facility where the demolition or renovation will occur for the presence of asbestos pursuant to 40 CFR 61.145(a).
- (g) Indiana Licensed Asbestos Inspector
The Permittee shall comply with 326 IAC 14-10-1(a) that requires the owner or operator, prior to a renovation/demolition, to use an Indiana Licensed Asbestos Inspector to thoroughly inspect the affected portion of the facility for the presence of asbestos. The requirement to use an Indiana Licensed Asbestos inspector is not federally enforceable.

Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(2)]

C.8 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

- (a) For performance testing required by this permit, a test protocol, except as provided elsewhere in this permit, shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

no later than thirty-five (35) days prior to the intended test date.
- (b) The Permittee shall notify IDEM, OAQ of the actual test date at least fourteen (14) days prior to the actual test date.
- (c) Pursuant to 326 IAC 3-6-4(b), all test reports must be received by IDEM, OAQ not later than forty-five (45) days after the completion of the testing. An extension may be granted by IDEM, OAQ if the Permittee submits to IDEM, OAQ a reasonable written explanation not later than five (5) days prior to the end of the initial forty-five (45) day period.

Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

C.9 Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

The commissioner may require stack testing, monitoring, or reporting at any time to assure compliance with all applicable requirements by issuing an order under 326 IAC 2-1.1-11. Any monitoring or testing shall be performed in accordance with 326 IAC 3 or other methods approved by the commissioner or the U. S. EPA.

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(2)]

C.10 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Compliance with applicable requirements shall be documented as required by this permit. The Permittee shall be responsible for installing any necessary equipment and initiating any required monitoring related to that equipment. All monitoring and record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented when operation begins.

C.11 Instrument Specifications [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) When required by any condition of this permit, an analog instrument used to measure a parameter related to the operation of an air pollution control device shall have a scale such that the expected maximum reading for the normal range shall be no less than twenty percent (20%) of full scale. The analog instrument shall be capable of measuring values outside of the normal range.

- (b) The Permittee may request that the IDEM, OAQ approve the use of an instrument that does not meet the above specifications provided the Permittee can demonstrate that an alternative instrument specification will adequately ensure compliance with permit conditions requiring the measurement of the parameters.

Corrective Actions and Response Steps

C.12 Response to Excursions or Exceedances

Upon detecting an excursion where a response step is required by the D Section or an exceedance of a limitation in this permit:

- (a) The Permittee shall take reasonable response steps to restore operation of the emissions unit (including any control device and associated capture system) to its normal or usual manner of operation as expeditiously as practicable in accordance with good air pollution control practices for minimizing excess emissions.
- (b) The response shall include minimizing the period of any startup, shutdown or malfunction. The response may include, but is not limited to, the following:
 - (1) initial inspection and evaluation;
 - (2) recording that operations returned or are returning to normal without operator action (such as through response by a computerized distribution control system); or
 - (3) any necessary follow-up actions to return operation to normal or usual manner of operation.
- (c) A determination of whether the Permittee has used acceptable procedures in response to an excursion or exceedance will be based on information available, which may include, but is not limited to, the following:
 - (1) monitoring results;
 - (2) review of operation and maintenance procedures and records; and/or
 - (3) inspection of the control device, associated capture system, and the process.
- (d) Failure to take reasonable response steps shall be considered a deviation from the permit.
- (e) The Permittee shall record the reasonable response steps taken.

C.13 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test

- (a) When the results of a stack test performed in conformance with Section C - Performance Testing, of this permit exceed the level specified in any condition of this permit, the Permittee shall submit a description of its response actions to IDEM, OAQ, no later than seventy-five (75) days after the date of the test.
- (b) A retest to demonstrate compliance shall be performed no later than one hundred eighty (180) days after the date of the test. Should the Permittee demonstrate to IDEM, OAQ that retesting in one hundred eighty (180) days is not practicable, IDEM, OAQ may extend the retesting deadline.
- (c) IDEM, OAQ reserves the authority to take any actions allowed under law in response to noncompliant stack tests.

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(2)]

C.14 Malfunctions Report [326 IAC 1-6-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 1-6-2 (Records; Notice of Malfunction):

- (a) A record of all malfunctions, including startups or shutdowns of any facility or emission control equipment, which result in violations of applicable air pollution control regulations or applicable emission limitations shall be kept and retained for a period of three (3) years and shall be made available to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ) or appointed representative upon request.
- (b) When a malfunction of any facility or emission control equipment occurs which lasts more than one (1) hour, said condition shall be reported to OAQ, using the Malfunction Report Forms (2 pages). Notification shall be made by telephone or facsimile, as soon as practicable, but in no event later than four (4) daytime business hours after the beginning of said occurrence.
- (c) Failure to report a malfunction of any emission control equipment shall constitute a violation of 326 IAC 1-6, and any other applicable rules. Information of the scope and expected duration of the malfunction shall be provided, including the items specified in 326 IAC 1-6-2(a)(1) through (6).
- (d) Malfunction is defined as any sudden, unavoidable failure of any air pollution control equipment, process, or combustion or process equipment to operate in a normal and usual manner. [326 IAC 1-2-39]

C.15 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5]

- (a) Records of all required monitoring data, reports and support information required by this permit shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of monitoring sample, measurement, report, or application. These records shall be physically present or electronically accessible at the source location for a minimum of three (3) years. The records may be stored elsewhere for the remaining two (2) years as long as they are available upon request. If the Commissioner makes a request for records to the Permittee, the Permittee shall furnish the records to the Commissioner within a reasonable time.
- (b) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, for all record keeping requirements not already legally required, the Permittee shall be allowed up to ninety (90) days from the date of permit issuance or the date of initial start-up, whichever is later, to begin such record keeping.

C.16 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-6.1-2] [IC 13-14-1-13]

- (a) Reports required by conditions in Section D of this permit shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Compliance and Enforcement Branch, Office of Air Quality
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 61-53 IGCN 1003
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251

- (b) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, any notice, report, or other submission required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ on or before the date it is due.

- (c) Reporting periods are based on calendar years, unless otherwise specified in this permit. For the purpose of this permit "calendar year" means the twelve (12) month period from January 1 to December 31 inclusive.

SECTION D.1 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description:

- (a) Nine (9) wave solder machines, including the following:
 - (1) One (1) wave solder machine with Line A, identified as ES03, constructed in 1999, with a maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #12.
 - (2) One (1) wave solder machine with Line B, identified as ES02, constructed in 1998, with a maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #51.
 - (3) One (1) wave solder machine with Line C, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES05, constructed in 2002, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #14.
 - (4) One (1) wave solder machine with Line D, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES04, constructed in 2001, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #15.
 - (5) One (1) wave solder machine with Line E, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES07, constructed in 2005, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #16.
 - (6) One (1) wave solder machine with Line F, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES06, constructed in 2004, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #36.
 - (7) One (1) wave solder machine with Line G, using water-based flux as a control, identified as ES08, constructed in 2005, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #4.
 - (8) One (1) wave solder machine with Line AB, using silver-based solder and water-based flux as a control, identified as ES09, constructed in 2006, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #62.
 - (9) One (1) wave solder machine with Line K, using tin/lead-based solder and water-based flux as a control, identified as ES10, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (b) Eighteen (18) coating operations, including the following:
 - (1) One (1) RTV applicator with Line A, identified as PS03, constructed in 2003, and exhausting to stack #48. PS03 has both RTV and conformal coating capabilities but materials may not be dispensed by the machine simultaneously.
 - (2) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line A, identified as NS10 and PS01, constructed in 1999 and 2003, respectively, with a total maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE04), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #48.

- (3) Three (3) conformal coaters with Line B, identified as NS08, PS02, and PS05 (RTV and conformal capability) constructed in 1999, 2003, and 2009 respectively, with a total maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE03), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #6.
 - (4) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line C, identified as PS04 and PS06, both constructed in 2005 and 2009 respectively, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE08), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #52.
 - (5) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line D, identified as PS11 and PS12, both approved for construction in 2012, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE09), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #20.
 - (6) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line E, identified as NS07 and NS09, constructed in 1995 and 1998, respectively, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE11), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #53.
 - (7) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line F, identified as PS07 and PS08, both constructed in 1999, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE05 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #47.
 - (8) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line G, identified as PS10 and PS09, both constructed in 2012, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE 12 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #58.
 - (9) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line K, identified as PS13 and PS14, both constructed in 2014, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE 15 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (c) Ten (10) printing operations, constructed after 1995, including the following:
- (1) Two (2) screen printing operations with Line A, identified as DE07, constructed in 2013, and DE03, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, each.
 - (2) Two (2) ink jet printing operations with Line D, identified as PM02 and PM03, with a total maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, each.
 - (3) Two (2) ink jet printing operations with Line F, identified as PM04 and PM05, with a maximum throughput rate of 450 boards per hour, each.
 - (4) Two (2) screen printing operation, identified as DE05 and DE06, both constructed in 2011, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour.
 - (5) One (1) screen printing operation with Line A, identified as DE04 bottom-side SMT Line #2, with a maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour.

(6) One (1) screen printing operation, identified as DE08, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 800 boards per hour.

(d) One (1) stencil cleaner with Line A, identified as SC01, constructed in 1995, with a maximum throughput rate of 250 boards per hour.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(1)]

D.1.1 Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Avoidance Limit - VOC [326 IAC 8-1-6]

In order to render the requirements of 326 IAC 8-1-6 not applicable, the input of VOC to the one (1) stencil cleaner with Line A, identified as SC01, shall be less than 24.9025 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period, with compliance determined at the end of each month.

Compliance with this limit shall limit the potential to emit VOC from SC01, to less than twenty-five (25) tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period, and shall render the requirements of 326 IAC 8-1-6 (New Facilities; General Reduction Requirements) not applicable to one (1) stencil cleaner with Line A.

D.1.2 Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2, the allowable particulate emission rate from the nine (9) wave solder machines shall be limited as follows:

Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to 60,000 pounds per hour shall be accomplished by use of the equation:

$$E = 4.10 P^{0.67}$$

Where:

E = rate of emission in pounds per hour;

P = process weight rate in tons per hour.

D.1.3 Preventative Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-8-4(9)]

A Preventive Maintenance Plan is required for these facilities and any control devices. Section B – Preventive Maintenance Plan contains the Permittee's obligation with regard to the preventive maintenance plan required by this condition.

Compliance Determination Requirements

D.1.4 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)[326 IAC 8-1-2] [326 IAC 8-1-4]

Compliance with the VOC usage limit contained in Condition D.1.1 shall be determined pursuant to 326 IAC 8-1-4(a)(3) and 326 IAC 8-1-2(a) by preparing or obtaining from the manufacturer the copies of the "as supplied" and "as applied" VOC data sheets. IDEM, OAQ, reserves the authority to determine compliance using Method 24 in conjunction with the analytical procedures specified in 326 IAC 8-1-4.

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(2)]

D.1.5 Record Keeping Requirements

(a) To document the compliance status with Condition D.1.1, the Permittee shall maintain records in accordance with (1) through (3) below. Records maintained for (1) through (3) shall be taken monthly and shall be complete and sufficient to establish compliance with the VOC emission limit established in Condition D.1.1. Records necessary to demonstrate

compliance shall be available within thirty (30) days of the end of each compliance period.

- (1) The VOC content of each Clean-Up Operations solvent used.
 - (2) The amount of solvent used on monthly basis. Records shall include purchase orders, invoices, and material safety data sheets (MSDS) necessary to verify the type and amount used.
 - (3) The total VOC usage for each month.
- (b) Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements, of this permit contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to the records required by this condition.

D.1.6 Reporting Requirements

A quarterly summary of the information to document the compliance status with Condition D.1.1 shall be submitted using the reporting forms located at the end of this permit, or their equivalent, not later than thirty (30) days after the end of the quarter being reported. Section C - General Reporting contains the Permittee's obligations with regard to the records required by this condition.

SECTION D.2 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emissions Unit Description:

- (l) Two (2) natural gas fueled boilers, identified as Boiler 1 and Boiler 2, rated at 2.0 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour, each, constructed in 2008.
- (m) One (1) natural gas water heater, identified as Water Heater, rated at 2.3 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour, constructed in 2008.

(The information describing the process contained in this emissions unit description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(1)]

D.2.1 Particulate [326 IAC 6-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-2-4(a) (Particulate Emission Limitations for Sources of Indirect Heating), particulate emissions from each boilers rated at 2.0 MMBtu/hr and the one (1) water heater rated at 2.3 MMBtu/hr shall be limited to 0.6 pounds per MMBtu heat input, each.

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality Compliance and Enforcement Branch

MSOP Quarterly Report

Source Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Source Address: 3650 W. 200 N, Huntington, Indiana 46750
MSOP Permit No.: M069-27290-00030
Facility: Clean-Up Operations - Stencil Cleaner
Parameter: VOC Input
Limit: The input of VOC to the one (1) stencil cleaner with Line A, identified as SC01, shall be less than 24.90 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period, with compliance determined at the end of each month.

QUARTER: _____ YEAR: _____

Month	Column 1	Column 2	Column 1 + Column 2
	VOC Input (tons)	VOC Input (tons)	VOC Input (tons)
	This Month	Previous 11 Months	12 Month Total

Form Completed by: _____
Title / Position: _____
Date: _____
Phone: _____

**INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY
COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BRANCH**

**MINOR SOURCE OPERATING PERMIT
ANNUAL NOTIFICATION**

This form should be used to comply with the notification requirements under 326 IAC 2-6.1-5(a)(5).

Company Name:	UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Address:	3650 W 200 N
City:	Huntington, Indiana 46750
Phone #:	260-358-0888
MSOP #:	M069-27290-00030

I hereby certify that UT Electronic Controls, Inc. is :

still in operation.

no longer in operation.

I hereby certify that UT Electronic Controls, Inc. is :

in compliance with the requirements of MSOP M069-27290-00030.

not in compliance with the requirements of MSOP M069-27290-00030.

Authorized Individual (typed):
Title:
Signature:
Date:

If there are any conditions or requirements for which the source is not in compliance, provide a narrative description of how the source did or will achieve compliance and the date compliance was, or will be achieved.

Noncompliance:

MALFUNCTION REPORT

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY FAX NUMBER: (317) 233-6865

This form should only be used to report malfunctions applicable to Rule 326 IAC 1-6 and to qualify for the exemption under 326 IAC 1-6-4.

THIS FACILITY MEETS THE APPLICABILITY REQUIREMENTS BECAUSE IT HAS POTENTIAL TO EMIT 25 TONS/YEAR PARTICULATE MATTER ?_____, 25 TONS/YEAR SULFUR DIOXIDE ?_____, 25 TONS/YEAR NITROGEN OXIDES?_____, 25 TONS/YEAR VOC ?_____, 25 TONS/YEAR HYDROGEN SULFIDE ?_____, 25 TONS/YEAR TOTAL REDUCED SULFUR ?_____, 25 TONS/YEAR REDUCED SULFUR COMPOUNDS ?_____, 25 TONS/YEAR FLUORIDES ?_____, 100 TONS/YEAR CARBON MONOXIDE ?_____, 10 TONS/YEAR ANY SINGLE HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANT ?_____, 25 TONS/YEAR ANY COMBINATION HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANT ?_____, 1 TON/YEAR LEAD OR LEAD COMPOUNDS MEASURED AS ELEMENTAL LEAD ?_____, OR IS A SOURCE LISTED UNDER 326 IAC 2-5.1-3(2) ?_____. EMISSIONS FROM MALFUNCTIONING CONTROL EQUIPMENT OR PROCESS EQUIPMENT CAUSED EMISSIONS IN EXCESS OF APPLICABLE LIMITATION _____.

THIS MALFUNCTION RESULTED IN A VIOLATION OF: 326 IAC _____ OR, PERMIT CONDITION # _____ AND/OR PERMIT LIMIT OF _____

THIS INCIDENT MEETS THE DEFINITION OF "MALFUNCTION" AS LISTED ON REVERSE SIDE ? Y N

THIS MALFUNCTION IS OR WILL BE LONGER THAN THE ONE (1) HOUR REPORTING REQUIREMENT ? Y N

COMPANY: _____ PHONE NO. () _____
LOCATION: (CITY AND COUNTY) _____
PERMIT NO. _____ AFS PLANT ID: _____ AFS POINT ID: _____ INSP: _____
CONTROL/PROCESS DEVICE WHICH MALFUNCTIONED AND REASON: _____

DATE/TIME MALFUNCTION STARTED: ____/____/20____ _____ AM / PM

ESTIMATED HOURS OF OPERATION WITH MALFUNCTION CONDITION: _____

DATE/TIME CONTROL EQUIPMENT BACK-IN SERVICE ____/____/20____ _____ AM/PM

TYPE OF POLLUTANTS EMITTED: TSP, PM-10, SO2, VOC, OTHER: _____

ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF POLLUTANT EMITTED DURING MALFUNCTION: _____

MEASURES TAKEN TO MINIMIZE EMISSIONS: _____

REASONS WHY FACILITY CANNOT BE SHUTDOWN DURING REPAIRS:

CONTINUED OPERATION REQUIRED TO PROVIDE ESSENTIAL* SERVICES: _____

CONTINUED OPERATION NECESSARY TO PREVENT INJURY TO PERSONS: _____

CONTINUED OPERATION NECESSARY TO PREVENT SEVERE DAMAGE TO EQUIPMENT: _____

INTERIM CONTROL MEASURES: (IF APPLICABLE) _____

MALFUNCTION REPORTED BY: _____ TITLE: _____
(SIGNATURE IF FAXED)

MALFUNCTION RECORDED BY: _____ DATE: _____ TIME: _____

*SEE PAGE 2

Please note - This form should only be used to report malfunctions applicable to Rule 326 IAC 1-6 and to qualify for the exemption under 326 IAC 1-6-4.

326 IAC 1-6-1 Applicability of rule

Sec. 1. This rule applies to the owner or operator of any facility required to obtain a permit under 326 IAC 2-5.1 or 326 IAC 2-6.1.

326 IAC 1-2-39 "Malfunction" definition

Sec. 39. Any sudden, unavoidable failure of any air pollution control equipment, process, or combustion or process equipment to operate in a normal and usual manner.

***Essential services** are interpreted to mean those operations, such as, the providing of electricity by power plants. Continued operation solely for the economic benefit of the owner or operator shall not be sufficient reason why a facility cannot be shutdown during a control equipment shutdown.

If this item is checked on the front, please explain rationale:

**Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Quality**

Technical Support Document (TSD) for an Administrative Amendment to a
Minor Source Operating Permit (MSOP)

Source Description and Location

Source Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Source Location: 3650 W 200 N, Huntington, Indiana 46750
County: Huntington
SIC Code: 3822 (Automatic Controls for Regulating Residential and Commercial Environments and Appliances)
Operation Permit No.: M069-27290-00030
Operation Permit Issuance Date: May 11, 2009
Administrative Amendment No.: 069-35080-00030
Permit Reviewer: Anh Nguyen

On October 27, 2014, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) received an application from UT Electronic Controls, Inc. related to a amendment to an existing stationary printed circuit board manufacturer.

Existing Approvals

The source was issued MSOP Renewal M069-27290-00030 on May 11, 2009. The source has since received the following approvals:

- (a) Notice-Only Change No. 069-30432-00030, issued on May 11, 2011;
- (b) Review Request No. 069-30864-00030, issued on September 22, 2011; and
- (c) Administrative Amendment No. 069-32264-00030, issued on September 26, 2012.
- (d) Minor Permit Revision No. 069-33508-00030, issued on February 7, 2014

County Attainment Status

The source is located in Huntington County.

Pollutant	Designation
SO ₂	Better than national standards.
CO	Unclassifiable or attainment effective November 15, 1990.
O ₃	Unclassifiable or attainment effective July 20, 2012, for the 8-hour ozone standard. ¹
PM _{2.5}	Unclassifiable or attainment effective April 5, 2005, for the annual PM _{2.5} standard.
PM _{2.5}	Unclassifiable or attainment effective December 13, 2009, for the 24-hour PM _{2.5} standard.
PM ₁₀	Unclassifiable effective November 15, 1990.
NO ₂	Cannot be classified or better than national standards.
Pb	Unclassifiable or attainment effective December 31, 2011.

¹Unclassifiable or attainment effective October 18, 2000, for the 1-hour ozone standard which was revoked effective June 15, 2005.

- (a) Ozone Standards
Volatile organic compounds (VOC) and Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) are regulated under the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the purposes of attaining and maintaining the National Ambient

Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone. Therefore, VOC and NO_x emissions are considered when evaluating the rule applicability relating to ozone. Huntington County has been designated as attainment or unclassifiable for ozone. Therefore, VOC and NO_x emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2.

- (b) **PM_{2.5}**
Huntington County has been classified as attainment for PM_{2.5}. Therefore, direct PM_{2.5}, SO₂, and NO_x emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2.
- (c) **Other Criteria Pollutants**
Huntington County has been classified as attainment or unclassifiable in Indiana for all pollutants. Therefore, these emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2.

Fugitive Emissions

- (a) The fugitive emissions of regulated pollutants, criteria pollutants, hazardous air pollutants are counted toward the determination of 326 IAC 2-6.1 (Minor Source Operating Permits) applicability.
- (b) Since this type of operation is not one of the twenty-eight (28) listed source categories under 326 IAC 2-2, 326 IAC 2-3, or 326 IAC 2-7, and there is no applicable New Source Performance Standard that was in effect on August 7, 1980, fugitive emissions are not counted toward the determination of PSD, Emission Offset, and Part 70 Permit applicability.

Status of the Existing Source

The table below summarizes the potential to emit of the entire source, prior to the proposed amendment, after consideration of all enforceable limits established in the effective permits:

This PTE table is from the TSD or Appendix A of permit No. 069-33508-00030, issued on February 7, 2014

Process/ Emission Unit	Uncontrolled/Unlimited Potential To Emit of the Entire Source Prior to the Amendment (tons/year)*									
	PM	PM10*	PM2.5*	SO ₂	NO _x	VOC	CO	GHGs as CO ₂ e****	Total HAPs	Worst Single HAP
Existing Wave Solder Machines	0	0	0	0	0	2.99	0	--	0	--
Existing Coating and Printing Operations	23.81	23.81	23.81	0	0	1.03	0	--	0	--
Stencil Cleaner **	0	0	0	0	0	27.43	0	--	0.00	--
Natural Gas - Boilers, Water Heater, Humidifier	0.06	0.23	0.23	0.02	3.01	0.17	2.52	3,629	0.06	0.06 (Hexane)
Total PTE of Entire Source	23.87	24.04	24.04	0.02	3.01	31.53	2.52	3,629	0.06	0.06 (Hexane)
Title V Major Source Thresholds***	NA	100	100	100	100	100	100	NA	25	10

negl. = negligible
*Under the Part 70 Permit program (40 CFR 70), PM10 and PM2.5, not particulate matter (PM), are each considered as a "regulated air pollutant".

- (a) This existing source is not a major stationary source under PSD (326 IAC 2-2), because no PSD regulated pollutant is emitted at a rate of 250 tons per year or more, and it is not one of the twenty-eight (28) listed source categories as specified in 326 IAC 2-2-1(ff)(1).
- (b) This existing source is not a major source of HAPs, as defined in 40 CFR 63.41, because the unlimited potential to emit HAPs is less than ten (10) tons per year for any single HAP and less than twenty-five (25) tons per year of a combination of HAPs. Therefore, this source is an area source under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act (CAA).
- (c) GHG
On June 23, 2014, in the case of *Utility Air Regulatory Group v. EPA*, cause no. 12-1146, (available at http://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/13pdf/12-1146_4g18.pdf) the United States Supreme Court ruled that the U.S. EPA does not have the authority to treat greenhouse gases (GHGs) as an air pollutant for the purpose of determining operating permit applicability or PSD Major source status. On July 24, 2014, the U.S. EPA issued a memorandum to the Regional Administrators outlining next steps in permitting decisions in light of the Supreme Court's decision. U.S. EPA's guidance states that U.S. EPA will no longer require PSD or Title V permits for sources "previously classified as 'Major' based solely on greenhouse gas emissions." The Indiana Environmental Rules Board adopted the GHG regulations required by U.S. EPA at 326 IAC 2-2-1(zz), pursuant to Ind. Code § 13-14-9-8(h) (Section 8 rulemaking). A rule, or part

of a rule, adopted under Section 8 is automatically invalidated when the corresponding federal rule, or part of the rule, is invalidated. Due to the United States Supreme Court Ruling, IDEM, OAQ cannot consider GHGs emissions to determine operating permit applicability or PSD applicability to a source or modification.

Description of Proposed Amendment
--

The Office of Air Quality (OAQ) has reviewed an application, submitted by UT Electronic Controls, Inc. on October 27, 2014, relating to:

The following is a list of the new emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) One (1) screen printing operation, identified as DE08, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 800 boards per hour.
- (b) One (1) wave solder machine with Line K, using tin/lead-based solder and water-based flux as a control, identified as ES10, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (c) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line K, identified as PS13 and PS14, both constructed in 2014, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE 15 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #16
- (d) One (1) electric reflow oven with line Top J, identified as HE14, and exhausting through stack #49.

Enforcement Issues

There are no pending enforcement actions related to this amendment.

Emission Calculations

See Appendix A of this TSD for detailed emission calculations.

Permit Level Determination – MSOP Administrative Amendment

The following table is used to determine the appropriate permit level under 326 IAC 2-6.1-6. This table reflects the PTE before controls of the proposed amendment. Control equipment is not considered federally enforceable until it has been required in a federally enforceable permit.

Process/ Emission Unit	PTE of Proposed Amendment (tons/year)								
	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SO ₂	NO _x	VOC	CO	Total HAPs	Worst Single HAP
(1) Wave Solder Machines (ES10)	0	0	0	0	0	0.44	0	0	--
Coating and Printing Operations									
(2) Conformal Coatings (PS13, PS14)	2.12	2.12	2.12	0	0	0.03	0	0	0
(1) Alpha / Indium Solder Paste (DS08)	0	0	0	0	0	0.10	0	0	0
Total PTE of Proposed Amendment	2.12	2.12	2.12	0	0	0.57	0	0	0
negl. = negligible									

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-6.1-6(d)(11), this change to the permit is considered an administrative amendment because the permit is amended to add a modification, subject to 326 IAC 2-1.1-3 (Exemptions), at the request of the applicant.

PTE of the Entire Source After Issuance of the MSOP Administrative Amendment

The table below summarizes the uncontrolled/unlimited potential to emit of the entire source, with updated emissions shown as **bold** values and previous emissions shown as ~~strikethrough~~ values.

Process/ Emission Unit	Uncontrolled/Unlimited Potential To Emit of the Entire Source After Issuance of the Amendment (tons/year)									
	PM	PM10*	PM2.5*	SO ₂	NO _x	VOC	CO	GHGs as CO ₂ e**	Total HAPs	Worst Single HAP
Existing Wave Solder Machines + (ES10)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.99 3.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Existing Coating and Printing Operations +(PS13, PS14, DS08)	23.81 25.93	23.81 25.93	23.81 25.93	0.00	0.00	1.03 1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clean Up Operations - Stencil Cleaner	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.43 27.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Natural Gas - Boilers, Water Heater, Humidifier	0.06	0.23	0.23	0.02	3.01	0.17	2.52	3,629	0.06	0.06 (Hexane)
Total PTE of Entire Source	23.87 25.99	24.04 26.16	24.04 26.16	0.02	3.01	29.08 32.02	2.52	3,629	0.06	0.06 (Hexane)
Title V Major Source Thresholds**	NA	100	100	100	100	100	100	100,000	25	10
PSD Major Source Thresholds**	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	NA	NA	NA
negl. = negligible *Under the Part 70 Permit program (40 CFR 70), PM10 and PM2.5, not particulate matter (PM), are each considered as a "regulated air pollutant". **The 100,000 CO ₂ e threshold represents the Title V and PSD subject to regulation thresholds for GHGs in order to determine whether a source's emissions are a regulated NSR pollutant under Title V and PSD.										

The table below summarizes the uncontrolled/unlimited potential to emit of the entire source after issuance of this revision, reflecting all limits, of the emission units. (Note: the table below was generated from the above table, with bold text un-bolded and strikethrough text deleted)

Process/ Emission Unit	Uncontrolled/Unlimited Potential To Emit of the Entire Source After Issuance of the Amendment (tons/year)								
	PM	PM10*	PM2.5*	SO ₂	NOx	VOC	CO	Total HAPs	Worst Single HAP
Existing Wave Solder Machines + (ES10)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.43	0.00	0.00	0.00
Existing Coating and Printing Operations +(PS13, PS14, DS08)	25.93	25.93	25.93	0.00	0.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clean Up Operations - Stencil Cleaner	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.36	0.00	0.00	0.00
Natural Gas - Boilers, Water Heater, Humidifier	0.06	0.23	0.23	0.02	3.01	0.17	2.52	0.06	0.06 (Hexane)
Total PTE of Entire Source	25.99	26.16	26.16	0.02	3.01	32.02	2.52	0.06	0.06 (Hexane)
Title V Major Source Thresholds**	NA	100	100	100	100	100	100	25	10
PSD Major Source Thresholds**	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	NA	NA
negl. = negligible *Under the Part 70 Permit program (40 CFR 70), PM10 and PM2.5, not particulate matter (PM), are each considered as a "regulated air pollutant". ** PM _{2.5} listed is direct PM _{2.5} .									

(a) MSOP Status

(1) Criteria Pollutants

This amendment to an existing Title V minor stationary source will not change the minor status, because the uncontrolled/unlimited potential to emit criteria pollutants from the entire source will still be less than the Title V major source threshold levels. Therefore, the source will still be subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-6.1 (MSOP).

(2) HAPs

This amendment will not change the minor status of the source, because the uncontrolled/unlimited potential to emit of any single HAP will still be less than ten (10) tons per year and the PTE of a combination of HAPs will still be less than twenty-five (25) tons per year. Therefore, this source is an area source under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and not subject to the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7.

- (b) PSD Minor Source – PM
These modifications to an existing PSD minor stationary source will not change the PSD minor status, because the uncontrolled/unlimited potential to emit PM from the entire source will continue to be less than the PSD major source threshold level. Therefore, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-2, the PSD requirements do not apply.
- (c) PSD Minor Source – Other Regulated Pollutants
These modifications to an existing PSD minor stationary source will not change the PSD minor status, because the uncontrolled/unlimited potential to emit of all PSD regulated pollutants from the entire source will continue to be less than the PSD major source threshold levels. Therefore, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-2, the PSD requirements do not apply. See PTE of the Entire Source After Issuance of the MSOP Amendment Section above or Appendix A.

Federal Rule Applicability Determination

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

- (a) This source does not have any rotogravure printing lines. Therefore, the New Source Performance Standards for Publication Rotogravure Printing (40 CFR 60.430-60.453, Subpart QQ) (326 IAC 12) is not included in the permit.
- (b) This source does not apply the surface coating to any business machines. Therefore, the New Source Performance Standards for Surface Coating of Plastic Parts for Business Machines (40 CFR Part 60.720 - 60.726, Subpart TTT) (326 IAC 12) is not included in the permit.
- (c) There are no other New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) (326 IAC 12 and 40 CFR Part 60) included for this proposed amendment.

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)

- (d) This amendment does not perform any of the following operations: publication rotogravure, product and packaging rotogravure, or wide-web flexographic printing. Therefore, the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) for the Printing and Publishing Industry (40 CFR 63.820 - 63.839, Subpart KK) (326 IAC 20-18) is not included in the permit.
- (e) This amendment is not a major source of HAPs. Therefore, the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) for the Miscellaneous Metal Parts and Products Surface Coating (40 CFR 63, Subpart MMMM) (326 IAC 20-80) are not included in the permit.
- (f) The primary activity of the sources facilities is not one of the nine source categories listed in XXXXXX as a regulated category or potentially affected entity. Therefore, the National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) Area Source Standards for Nine Metal Fabrication and Finishing Source Categories (40 CFR 63, Subpart XXXXXX) (326 IAC) is not included in the permit.
- (g) There are no other National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) (326 IAC 14, 326 IAC 20 and 40 CFR Part 63) included in the permit.

Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM)

- (h) Pursuant to 40 CFR 64.2, Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) is not included in the permit, because the unlimited potential to emit of the source is less than the Title V

major source thresholds and the source is not required to obtain a Part 70 or Part 71 permit.

State Rule Applicability Determination

The following state rules are applicable to the proposed amendment:

- (a) 326 IAC 2-6.1 (Minor Source Operating Permits (MSOP))
MSOP applicability is discussed under the Permit Level Determination – MSOP section above.
- (b) 326 IAC 2-2 (Prevention of Significant Deterioration(PSD))
PSD applicability is discussed under the Permit Level Determination – MSOP section above.
- (c) 326 IAC 2-4.1 (Major Sources of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAP))
The proposed amendment is not subject to the requirements of 326 IAC 2-4.1, since the unlimited potential to emit of HAPs from the new units are less than ten (10) tons per year for any single HAP and less than twenty-five (25) tons per year of a combination of HAPs.
- (d) 326 IAC 8-1-6 (VOC Rules: General Reduction Requirements for New Facilities)
 - (1) The wave solder machine, identified as ES10, constructed after January 1, 1980, has potential VOC emissions less than 25 tons per year. Therefore, the requirements of 326 IAC 8-1-6 are not applicable to the solder machine at this source.
 - (2) The coating and printing at this source were constructed after January 1, 1980, and the potential VOC emissions from each of these operations are less than 25 tons per year. Therefore, the requirements of 326 IAC 8-1-6 are not applicable to the coating operations at this source.
- (e) 326 IAC 6-3-2 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes)
Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2, the allowable particulate emissions from one (1) wave solder machine, identified as ES10 shall be limited by the following:

Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to sixty thousand (60,000) pounds per hour shall be accomplished by use of the equation:

$$E = 4.10 P^{0.67}$$
 where E = rate of emission in pounds per hour and
P = process weight rate in tons per hour
- (f) 326 IAC 6-3 (Particulate Emission Limitations for Manufacturing Processes)
The maximum coating usage for each conformal coater at this source is less than 5 gallons per day. Therefore, pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-1(a)(15), the requirements of 326 IAC 6-3 are not applicable to the coating operations at this source.
- (g) 326 IAC 8-2-9 (Miscellaneous Metal Coating Operations)
VOC emissions from each of the coating operations for this amendment are constructed after July 1, 1990; each has emissions of less than 15 pounds per day before add-on controls. Therefore, Pursuant to 326 IAC 8-2-1(a)(4), the requirements of 326 IAC 8-2-9 are not applicable to the coating operations at this source.
- (j) There are no other 326 IAC 8 Rules that are applicable to the facility.

- (k) 326 IAC 12 (New Source Performance Standards)
See Federal Rule Applicability Section of this TSD.
- (l) 326 IAC 20 (Hazardous Air Pollutants)
See Federal Rule Applicability Section of this TSD.

Compliance Determination, Monitoring and Testing Requirements

The existing compliance requirements will not change as a result of this amendment. The source shall continue to comply with the applicable requirements and permit conditions as contained in MSOP No: M069-27290-00030, issued on May 11, 2009.

Proposed Changes

The following changes listed below are due to the proposed amendment. Deleted language appears as ~~strikethrough~~ text and new language appears as **bold** text:

A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary

This stationary source consists of the following emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) ~~Eight (8)~~ **Nine (9)** wave solder machines, including the following:
 - (1)-(8) ...
 - (9) **One (1) wave solder machine with Line K, using tin/lead-based solder and water-based flux as a control, identified as ES10, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #16.**
- (b) ~~Sixteen (16)~~ **Eighteen (18)** coating operations, including the following:
 - (1)-(8)...
 - (9) **Two (2) conformal coaters with Line K, identified as PS13 and PS14, both constructed in 2014, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE 15 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #16.**
- (c) ~~Nine (9)~~ **Ten (10)** printing operations, constructed after 1995, including the following:
 - (1)-(5)...
 - (6) **One (1) screen printing operation, identified as DE08, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 800 boards per hour...**
- (n) **One (1) electric reflow oven with line Top J, identified as HE14, constructed in 2014 and exhausting though stack #49.**

SECTION D.1 EMISSIONS UNIT OPERATION CONDITIONS

- | |
|---|
| (a) Eight (8) Nine (9) wave solder machines, including the following: |
|---|

- (1)-(8) ...
- (9) One (1) wave solder machine with Line K, using tin/lead-based solder and water-based flux as a control, identified as ES10, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (b) ~~Sixteen (16)~~ **Eighteen (18)** coating operations, including the following:
- (1)-(8)...
- (9) Two (2) conformal coaters with Line K, identified as PS13 and PS14, both constructed in 2014, with a total maximum throughput rate of 325 boards per hour, using airless spray equipment, equipped with an electric cure oven (HE 15 Heller), controlled by dry filters, and exhausting through stack #16.
- (c) ~~Nine (9)~~ **Ten (10)** printing operations, constructed after 1995, including the following:
- (1)-(5)...
- (6) One (1) screen printing operation, identified as DE08, constructed in 2014, with a maximum throughput rate of 800 boards per hour.
- ...

...

Conclusion and Recommendation

Unless otherwise stated, information used in this review was derived from the application and additional information submitted by the applicant. An application for the purposes of this review was received on October 27, 2014.

IDEM Contact

- (a) Questions regarding this proposed permit can be directed to Anh Nguyen at the Indiana Department Environmental Management, Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch, 100 North Senate Avenue, MC 61-53 IGCN 1003, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2251 or by telephone at (317) 233-5334 or toll free at 1-800-451-6027 extension (3-5334).
- (b) A copy of the findings is available on the Internet at: <http://www.in.gov/ai/appfiles/idem-caats/>
- (c) For additional information about air permits and how the public and interested parties can participate, refer to the IDEM Permit Guide on the Internet at: <http://www.in.gov/idem/5881.htm>; and the Citizens' Guide to IDEM on the Internet at: <http://www.in.gov/idem/6900.htm>.

**Appendix A: Emission Calculations
Summary**

Company Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Address: 3650 W 200 N, Huntington, IN 46750
Permit No. M069-35080-00030
Reviewer: Anh Nguyen
Date: 10/27/2014

POTENTIAL TO EMIT IN TONS PER YEAR PRIOR TO AMENDMENT									Single Highest HAP
Emission Units	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SO₂	NOx	VOC	CO	Total HAPs	
Existing Wave Solder Machines	0	0	0	0	0	2.99	0	0	0.06 Total HAP from Boiler
Existing Coating and Printing Operations	23.81	23.81	23.81	0	0	0.93	0	0	
Clean Up Operations - Stencil Cleaner	0	0	0	0	0	27.36	0	0.00	
Natural Gas - Boilers, Water Heater, Humidifier	0.06	0.23	0.23	0.02	3.01	0.17	2.52	0.06	
Total	23.87	24.04	24.04	0.02	3.01	31.45	2.52	0.06	

*Note: In order to render the requirements of 326 IAC 8-1-6 not applicable, the input of VOC to the one (1) stencil cleaner with Line A, identified as SC01, shall be less than 24.90 tons per twelve (12) consecutive month period, with compliance determined at the end of each month.

Reported HAP - Toluene removed from use in 2009 for LEED compliance

UNCONTROLLED IN TONS PER YEAR AMENDMENT									Single Highest HAP
New Emission Units	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SO₂	NOx	VOC	CO	Total HAPs	
(1) Wave Solder Machine (ES10)	0	0	0	0	0	0.44	0	0	0.06 Total HAP from Boiler
Coating and Printing Operations									
(2) Comfomal Coating (PS13, PS14)	2.12	2.12	2.12	0	0	0.03	0	0	
(1) Alpha / Indium Solder Paste (DE08)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0.10	0	0	
Total	2.12	2.12	2.12	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.00	0.00	

UNCONTROLLED IN TONS PER YEAR									Single Highest HAP
Emission Units	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SO₂	NOx	VOC	CO	Total HAPs	
existing Wave Solder Machines + (ES10)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.43	0.00	0.00	0.06 Total HAP from Boiler
Coating and Printing Operations + (PS13, PS14, and DS08)	25.93	25.93	25.93	0.00	0.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	
Clean Up Operations - Stencil Cleaner	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.36	0.00	0.00	
Natural Gas - Boilers, Water Heater, Humidifier	0.06	0.23	0.23	0.02	3.01	0.17	2.52	0.06	
Total	25.99	26.16	26.16	0.02	3.01	32.02	2.52	0.06	

**Appendix A: Emission Calculations
Wave Solder Machines**

Company Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Address: 3650 W 200 N, Huntington, IN 46750
Permit No. M069-35080-00030
Reviewer: Anh Nguyen
Date: 10/27/2014

VOC emissions from solder wax flux usage:

Line ID	Unit	Density (lb/gal)	Weight % Volatile (H ₂ O & Organics)	Weight % Water	Weight % Organics	Maximum Throughput (units/hour)	Maximum Usage (gal/unit)	Pounds VOC per gallon of coating	PTE of VOC (lbs/hour)	PTE of VOC (lbs/day)	PTE of VOC (tons/year)
New	ES10	8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	325	0.000913	0.34	0.10	2.4	0.44
	Total								0.1		0.44

The solder machines are similar to flow coaters and the particulate emissions from these units are negligible.

METHODOLOGY

Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating = Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics

PTE of VOC (lbs/hour) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit)

PTE of VOC (tons/year) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * 8760 hours/year * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Percent water and organic content revised based on Alphametals EF2202 MSDS/TDS

Maximum through put rearranged due to line reconfiguration 2012

Usage estimate (gal/minute) shifted to address product mix changed associated with line move 2012

**Appendix A: Emission Calculations
Wave Solder Machines**

Company Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Address: 3650 W 200 N, Huntington, IN 46750
Permit No. M069-35080-00030
Reviewer: Anh Nguyen
Date: 10/27/2014

VOC emissions from solder wax flux usage:

Line ID	Unit	Density (lb/gal)	Weight % Volatile (H ₂ O & Organics)	Weight % Water	Weight % Organics	Maximum Throughput (units/hour)	Maximum Usage (gal/unit)	Pounds VOC per gallon of coating	PTE of VOC (lbs/hour)	PTE of VOC (lbs/day)	PTE of VOC (tons/year)
A	ES03	8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	250	0.001146	0.34	0.10	2.3	0.42
B	ES02	8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	250	0.001146	0.34	0.10	2.3	0.42
C	ES05	8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	325	0.000913	0.34	0.10	2.4	0.44
D		8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	450	0.000330	0.34	0.05	1.2	0.22
E	ES04	8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	450	0.000330	0.34	0.05	1.2	0.22
F	ES07	8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	450	0.000330	0.34	0.05	1.2	0.22
G	ES08	8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	450	0.000913	0.34	0.14	3.3	0.61
AB	ES09	8.44	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	325	0.000913	0.34	0.10	2.4	0.44
	Total								0.6		2.99

METHODOLOGY

Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating = Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics

B

PTE of VOC (lbs/hour) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit)

PTE of VOC (lbs/day) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * 24 hours/day

PTE of VOC (tons/year) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * 8760 hours/year * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Percent water and organic content revised based on Alphametals EF2202 MSDS/TDS

Maximum through put rearranged due to line reconfiguration 2012

Usage estimate (gal/minute) shifted to address product mix changed associated with line move 2012

**Appendix A: Emission Calculations
VOC Emissions
From the Coating and Printing Operations**

Company Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Address: 3650 W 200 N, Huntington, IN 46750
Permit No. M069-35080-00030
Reviewer: Anh Nguyen

Material	Density (lb/gal)	Weight % Volatile (H ₂ O & Organics)	Weight % Water	Weight % Organics	Maximum Throughput (units/hour)	Maximum Usage (gal/unit)	Pounds VOC per gallon of coating	PTE of VOC (lbs/hour)	PTE of VOC (lbs/day)	PTE of VOC (tons/year)	PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (lbs/hour)	PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year)	*Transfer Efficiency (%)
(2) Comformal Coating PS13, PS14	8.18	0.60%	0.0%	0.60%	325	4.58E-04	0.05	0.01	0.18	0.03	0.48	2.12	60%
(1) Alpha / Indium Solder Paste (DE08)	60.00	10.00%	0.0%	10.00%	800	4.60E-06	6.00	0.02	0.53	0.10	0.00	0.00	100%
Total										0.13		2.12	

* The transfer efficiencies were provided by the source. Assume PM = PM10 = PM2.5.

gallon/day = 0.021 < 5 gallon/day
0.009 < 5 gallon/day

METHODOLOGY

Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating = Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics

PTE of VOC (lbs/hour) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit)

PTE of VOC (tons/year) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * 8760 hours/year * 1 ton/2000 lbs

PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (lbs/hour) = Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * Density (lbs/gal) * (1- Weight % Volatile) * (1-Transfer Efficiency %)

PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year) = Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * Density (lbs/gal) * (1- Weight % Volatile) * (1-Transfer Efficiency %) * 8760 hours/year * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Conformal Coating density and percent volatile from Dow Corning Website

(1) Alpha / Indium Solder Paste

Conformal Coating PM emissions increased significantly due to data changes - VOC significantly reduced

Ink for Ink Jet Print (BMS) From MSDS density - 1.07 (8.93 pounds/gal), 5.82 pounds VOC/gallon ((5.82 pounds VOC/gal)/(8.93 pounds Ink/gal))=0.6517 or 65.17% VOC

Solder paste - density is an estimate, Indium SMQ92J is 90% metal-10% flux (VOC)

Dow Corning RTV density and percent volatile from Dow Corning Website

Loctite Adhesive (3616) density from datasheet/web VOC % unavailable - material is no more than 86% organic, but that is not an indication that 86% of the material could be lost to evaporation

Per Henkel Loctite Engineering Support - VOC is less than 1%

**Appendix A: Emission Calculations
VOC Emissions
From the Coating and Printing Operations**

Company Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Address: 3650 W 200 N, Huntington, IN 46750
Permit No. M069-35080-00030
Reviewer: Anh Nguyen

Material	Density (lb/gal)	Weight % Volatile (H ₂ O & Organics)	Weight % Water	Weight % Organics	Maximum Throughput (units/hour)	Maximum Usage (gal/unit)	Pounds VOC per gallon of coating	PTE of VOC (lbs/hour)	PTE of VOC (lbs/day)	PTE of VOC (tons/year)	PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (lbs/hour)	PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year)	*Transfer Efficiency (%)
Comfomal Coating	8.18	0.60%	0.0%	0.60%	3650	4.58E-04	0.05	0.08	1.97	0.36	5.44	23.81	60%
Ink Jek Printing	8.93	65.17%	0.0%	65.17%	3200	2.20E-06	5.82	0.04	0.98	0.18	0.00	0.00	100%
Alpha / Indium Solder Paste	60.00	10.00%	0.0%	10.00%	3200	4.60E-06	6.00	0.09	2.12	0.39	0.00	0.00	100%
RTV 3145 Adhesive		3.10%	0.0%	3.10%	3200	1.87E-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100%
Loctite Adhesive	11.10	1.00%	0.0%	1.00%	3200	8.00E-07	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	100%
Total										0.93		23.81	

* The transfer efficiencies were provided by the source. Assume PM = PM10 = PM25.

METHODOLOGY

Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating = Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics

PTE of VOC (lbs/hour) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit)

PTE of VOC (lbs/day) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * 24 hours/day

PTE of VOC (tons/year) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * 8760 hours/year * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Ink Jek Printing

PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (lbs/hour) = Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * Density (lbs/gal) * (1- Weight % Volatile) * (1-Transfer Efficiency %)

PTE of PM/PM10/PM2.5 (tons/year) = Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * Density (lbs/gal) * (1- Weight % Volatile) * (1-Transfer Efficiency %) * 8760 hours/year * 1 ton/2000 lbs

Conformal Coating density and percent volatile from Dow Corning Website

Conformal Coating PM emissions increased significantly due to data changes - VOC significantly reduced

Ink for Ink Jet Print (BMS) From MSDS - density - 1.07 (8.93 pounds/gal), 5.82 pounds VOC/gallon ((5.82 pounds VOC/gal)/(8.93 pounds Ink/gal))=0.6517 or 65.17% VOC

Solder paste - density is an estimate, Indium SMQ92J is 90% metal-10% flux (VOC)

Dow Corning RTV density and percent volatile from Dow Corning Website

Loctite Adhesive (3616) density from datasheet/web VOC % unavailable - material is no more than 86% organic, but that is not an indication that 86% of the material could be lost to evaporation

Per Henkel Loctite Engineering Support - VOC is less than 1%

Appendix A: Emission Calculations
Clean-Up Operations - Stencil Cleaner

Company Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Address: 3650 W 200 N, Huntington, IN 46750
Permit No. M069-35080-00030
Reviewer: Anh Nguyen
Date: 10/27/2014

Material	Density (lb/gal)	Weight % Volatile (H ₂ O & Organics)	Weight % Water	Weight % Organics	Maximum Throughput (units/hour)	Maximum Usage (gal/unit)	Pounds VOC per gallon of coating	PTE of VOC (lbs/hour)	PTE of VOC (lbs/day)	PTE of VOC (tons/year)
Isopropyl Alcohol	6.55	100.00%	0.0%	100.00%	3200	0.0001843	6.55	3.86	92.7	16.9
OS-30	7.09	100.00%	0.0%	100.00%	3200	0.0001000	7.09	2.27	54.45	9.94
Vigon SC-202 Cleaner	8.26	100.00%	75.0%	25.00%	3200	0.0000175	2.07	0.12	2.78	0.51

Total VOC Emissions = 27.36 tons/yr
Total HAP Emissions = 0.00 tons/yr

METHODOLOGY

Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating = Density (lb/gal) * Weight % Organics

PTE of VOC (lbs/day) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * 24 hours/day

PTE of VOC (tons/year) = Pounds of VOC per Gallon Coating (lb/gal) * Maximum Throughput (units/hour) * Maximum Usage (gal/unit) * 8760 hours/year * 1 ton/2000 lbs

IPA - per most recent datasheet

Vigon SC-202 Cleaner

OS-30 not reported on previous sheet

OS-120 discontinued end of 2011 - OS-120 usage replaced primarily with dry ice cleaning

Toluene discontinued usage in 2009 due to LEED compliance, changed to dry ice cleaning

WHILE PTE IS HIGH FOR IPA, WE HAVE ONLY PURCHASED 6000 POUNDS SO FAR IN 2013

WHILE PTE IS HIGH FOR OS-30, WE HAVE ONLY PURCHASED 805 POUNDS SO FAR IN 2013

WHILE PTE IS HIGH FOR OS-30, WE HAVE ONLY PURCHASED 805 POUNDS SO FAR IN 2013

Appendix A: Emissions Calculations

Natural Gas Combustion Only

MM BTU/HR <100

Company Name: UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
Address City IN Zip: 3650 W 200 N, Huntington, IN 46750
Permit Number: M069-35080-00030
Reviewer: Anh Nguyen
Date: 10/27/2014

Boiler 1 and Boiler 2 rated at 2.0 MMBtu/hr, each
 Water heater rated at 2.3 MMBtu/hr
 Humidifier rated at 0.7 MMBtu/hr
 Total (MMBtu/hr) : 7.0

Heat Input Capacity MMBtu/hr	HHV mmBtu	Potential Throughput MMCF/yr
7.0	1020	60.1

Emission Factor in lb/MMCF	Pollutant						
	PM*	PM10*	direct PM2.5*	SO2	NOx	VOC	CO
	1.9	7.6	7.6	0.6	100 **see below	5.5	84
Potential Emission in tons/yr	0.06	0.23	0.23	0.02	3.01	0.17	2.52

*PM emission factor is filterable PM only. PM10 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM10 combined.
 PM2.5 emission factor is filterable and condensable PM2.5 combined.
 **Emission Factors for NOx: Uncontrolled = 100, Low NOx Burner = 50, Low NOx Burners/Flue gas recirculation = 32

Methodology

All emission factors are based on normal firing.
 MMBtu = 1,000,000 Btu
 MMCF = 1,000,000 Cubic Feet of Gas
 Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03
 Potential Throughput (MMCF) = Heat Input Capacity (MMBtu/hr) x 8,760 hrs/yr x 1 MMCF/1,020 MMBtu
 Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton

HAPS Calculations

Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	HAPs - Organics					
	Benzene	Dichlorobenzene	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene	Total - Organics
	2.1E-03	1.2E-03	7.5E-02	1.8E+00	3.4E-03	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	6.312E-05	3.607E-05	2.254E-03	5.411E-02	1.022E-04	5.656E-02

Emission Factor in lb/MMcf	HAPs - Metals					
	Lead	Cadmium	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel	Total - Metals
	5.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03	
Potential Emission in tons/yr	1.503E-05	3.306E-05	4.208E-05	1.142E-05	6.312E-05	1.647E-04

Methodology is the same as above.

Total HAPs	5.673E-02
Worst HAP	5.411E-02

The five highest organic and metal HAPs emission factors are provided above.
 Additional HAPs emission factors are available in AP-42, Chapter 1.4.



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

We Protect Hoosiers and Our Environment.

100 N. Senate Avenue • Indianapolis, IN 46204
(800) 451-6027 • (317) 232-8603 • www.idem.IN.gov

Michael R. Pence
Governor

Thomas W. Easterly
Commissioner

SENT VIA U.S. MAIL: CONFIRMED DELIVERY AND SIGNATURE REQUESTED

TO: Rudy Mim
UT Electronic Controls, Inc.
3650 W 200 N
Huntington, IN 46750

DATE: December 18, 2014

FROM: Matt Stuckey, Branch Chief
Permits Branch
Office of Air Quality

SUBJECT: Final Decision
MSOP
069-35080-00030

Enclosed is the final decision and supporting materials for the air permit application referenced above. Please note that this packet contains the original, signed, permit documents.

The final decision is being sent to you because our records indicate that you are the contact person for this application. However, if you are not the appropriate person within your company to receive this document, please forward it to the correct person.

A copy of the final decision and supporting materials has also been sent via standard mail to:
OAQ Permits Branch Interested Parties List

If you have technical questions regarding the enclosed documents, please contact the Office of Air Quality, Permits Branch at (317) 233-0178, or toll-free at 1-800-451-6027 (ext. 3-0178), and ask to speak to the permit reviewer who prepared the permit. If you think you have received this document in error, please contact Joanne Smiddie-Brush of my staff at 1-800-451-6027 (ext 3-0185), or via e-mail at jbrush@idem.IN.gov.

Final Applicant Cover letter.dot 6/13/2013

Mail Code 61-53

IDEM Staff	CDENNY 12/18/2014 UT Electronic Controls, Inc. 069-35080-00030 (final)		Type of Mail: CERTIFICATE OF MAILING ONLY	AFFIX STAMP HERE IF USED AS CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
Name and address of Sender		Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality – Permits Branch 100 N. Senate Indianapolis, IN 46204		

Line	Article Number	Name, Address, Street and Post Office Address	Postage	Handing Charges	Act. Value (If Registered)	Insured Value	Due Send if COD	R.R. Fee	S.D. Fee	S.H. Fee	Rest. Del. Fee	Remarks
1		Rudy Mim UT Electronic Controls, Inc. 3650 W 200 N Huntington IN 46750 (Source CAATS VIA: USPS Certified Mail)										
2		Huntington Town Council and Mayors Office 300 Cherry St. Huntington IN 46750 (Local Official)										
3		Huntington County Board of Commissioners 354 N. Jefferson St. Suite 201 Huntington IN 46750 (Local Official)										
4		Frederick & Iva Moore 6019 W 650 N Ligonier IN 46767 (Affected Party)										
5		Ms. Mary Shipley 10968 E 100 S Marion IN 46953 (Affected Party)										
6		Huntington County Health Department 354 N. Jefferson Street, Suite 201 Huntington IN 46750 (Health Department)										
7		Melvin & Deborah Gillespie 5616 N 200 E Huntington IN 46750 (Affected Party)										
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												

Total number of pieces Listed by Sender	Total number of Pieces Received at Post Office	Postmaster, Per (Name of Receiving employee)	The full declaration of value is required on all domestic and international registered mail. The maximum indemnity payable for the reconstruction of nonnegotiable documents under Express Mail document reconstructing insurance is \$50,000 per piece subject to a limit of \$50, 000 per occurrence. The maximum indemnity payable on Express mil merchandise insurance is \$500. The maximum indemnity payable is \$25,000 for registered mail, sent with optional postal insurance. See Domestic Mail Manual R900, S913, and S921 for limitations of coverage on inured and COD mail. See International Mail Manual for limitations o coverage on international mail. Special handling charges apply only to Standard Mail (A) and Standard Mail (B) parcels.
6			