

August 6, 2002

Pat McNamara  
Central Soya Company, Inc.  
P.O. Box 860  
Morristown, Indiana 46161-0860

Re: Interim Significant Source Modification Petition

**I-145-9618-00035**

Dear Pat McNamara:

On July 10, 2002, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) received an interim significant source modification petition from Central Soya Company, Inc. located at 700 North Rangeline Road in Morristown, Indiana for construction of an expansion to the existing soybean processing plant.

Public notice of the interim significant source modification petition was published on July 13, 2002. The public comment period ended on July 27, 2002. Since there are no comments received during the public comment period, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(i), the interim significant source modification petition is in effect on July 30, 2002 and expires on the effective date of the final significant source modification permit. The interim significant source modification petition may be revoked after this effective date upon a written finding by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) that any of the reasons for denial in 326 IAC 2-13-1(h) exist or if the final significant source modification permit is denied. The IDEM has reviewed this interim significant source modification petition and has not found any such reason. The facilities specified in the interim significant source modification petition may not operate until the final significant source modification permit is issued by OAQ.

The interim significant source modification petition is federally enforceable. Detailed construction and operation conditions will be specified in the final significant source modification permit 145-9618-00035.

If you have any questions regarding this interim significant source modification petition, please contact Donald Poole of my staff at 317-232-8327, or at 1-800-451-6027 (ask for extension 2-8327).

Sincerely,

Original signed by Paul Dubenetzky

Paul Dubenetzky, Chief  
Permits Branch  
Office of Air Quality

drp  
Enclosure: Interim Permit Evaluation (3 pages)  
cc: File -- Shelby County  
Shelby County Health Department  
Air Compliance Section -- DJ Knotts  
Permit Tracking -- Janet Mobley

**Indiana Department of Environmental Management  
Office of Air Quality**

**Interim Significant Source Modification Evaluation Sheet**

Company Name: Central Soya Company, Inc.	
Location: Morristown	Permit No: I-145-9618-00035
Permit Reviewer: drpoole	Date Receipt of Application: 7/10/02 Date of review: 7/24/02
Description of the interim construction: Expansion of soybean processing plant	
Public Notice Date + 17 days = July 13 + 17 = July 30	
Date the Application was received + 19 days = July 10 + 19 = July 29	

Interim Petition Applicability: 326 IAC 2-13-1

- (a) Existing Source with valid permit;
- (b) Exemptions:
- (1) construction of a PSD source or PSD modification;
  - (2) construction or modification in nonattainment area that would emit those pollutants for which the nonattainment designation is based.
- (3) any modification subject to 326 IAC 2-4.1.
- (c) Public notice comment period is 14 calendar days.

**Instructions: Check ( T ) appropriate answers and make a recommendation.**

1. Did the applicant submit a written petition for an interim permit?  
 Yes Go to question 2.  
 No Ignore verbal request.
2. Did the applicant pay the \$500 interim permit fee?  
 Yes Go to question 3.  
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(1).
3. Did the applicant state acceptance of federal enforceability of an interim permit?  
 Yes Go to question 4.  
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(D).
4. Did the applicant or its authorized agent sign the application?  
 Yes Go to question 5.  
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(E).
5. Did the applicant submit a notarized affidavit stating that the applicant will proceed at its own risk (if the interim permit is issued), including, but not limited to:
  - (a) Financial risk,
  - (b) Risk that additional emission controls may be required,
  - (c) Risk that the final permit may be denied. Yes Go to question 6.  
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(F).
6. Did the applicant begin construction prior to submitting the interim permit application?  
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(6).



17. Does the applicant dispute applicability of any applicable state or federal rule?  
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(c)(2)(C).  
 No Go to question 18.
18. Is there good reason to believe that the applicant does not intend to construct in accordance with the interim permit petition?  
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(1).  
 No Go to question 19.
19. Is there good reason to believe that information in the petition has been falsified?  
 Yes Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(h)(7).  
 No Approve the interim permit petition.
20. Has the petition been adequately public noticed? A proof of publication copy is necessary.  
 Yes Go to question 21.  
 No Deny the application, pursuant to 326 IAC 2-13-1(e).

Newspaper: The Shelbyville News

Date of publication: July 13, 2002

21. Were comments received within seventeen (17) days after the public notice of the interim permit?  
(14 calendar days for comment period + 3 working days for mailing)  
 Yes Evaluate the comments received, and make a recommendation.  
 No Issue the final interim permit approval.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Recommendation: Approve the interim.

Date the applicant was informed of the decision: July 30, 2002

Method of informing the applicant: By phone